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Abstract

Objectives—To assess the impact of potentially modifiable environmental factors on the risk for pedestrian and cyclist injuries among school age children.

Setting—Population of school age children in Düsseldorf (population 570 000) in the west of Germany. All pedestrian and cyclist injuries involving children between 6 and 14 years brought to the attention of the police between January 1993 and March 1995 were eligible.

Methods—A case-control design was used, with controls matched by age and sex. Criteria for inclusion of cases were: residence in Düsseldorf, and injury within 500 meters from home. A random sample of 174 cases was selected. For each an age-sex matched child, resident in Düsseldorf, was randomly selected from a list of all school age children. The environment within a radius of 500 meters around the homes of cases and controls was analysed by blinded on site investigators. These used a standardized questionnaire to assess the number of streets with speed limits of 30 kph, the number of pedestrian crossings with traffic lights per street with speed limits of 50 kph or above, and the number of playgrounds for children.

Results—Complete information was available for 170 cases and 168 controls. There were significantly more streets with a speed limit of 30 kph around the homes of controls (p=0.0003; mean 9.5; 95% confidence interval (CI) 8.8 to 10.1) than cases (mean 7.8; 95% CI 7.3 to 8.3). For every five streets with a speed limit of 30 kph injury risk was reduced by nearly 50% (odds ratio 0.57; 95% CI 0.43 to 0.76).

Conclusions—Significant associations with injury risk were identified for some prespecified modifiable environmental factors.

Injuries are the main cause of death in children aged 6–14 years in many western countries. Most fatal injuries in Germany in this age group are related to traffic, and about two thirds of these deaths are pedestrians or bicyclists. Developmental, sociodemographic, and environmental factors contribute to these risks. Developmental and sociodemographic interventions are difficult whereas some environmental factors may be modified more easily.

Environmental factors that may be changed to adapt the traffic environment to the safety of children include reduced speed limits (to 30 kph), pedestrian crossings with traffic lights, and playgrounds. These environmental factors have been identified from studies of the locations where traffic accidents occur frequently. Such studies, however, included numerator data but lack a denominator (the number of children exposed to any specific traffic environment). Therefore these do not allow us to measure the benefit to be attained with modifications of these environmental factors. Consequently much controversy remains as to the efficacy of such interventions.

Case-control studies offer an opportunity to assess the effect of these environmental factors but, to our knowledge, none focusing on traffic regulation in Europe have been published.

Methods

A case-control study, with matching on date of birth and sex, was performed to assess the effect of environmental factors on the risk for pedestrian or cyclist injuries in children in Düsseldorf (population 570 000). Police officers in Düsseldorf are required to fill out a structured questionnaire for all injury accidents to which they are called. Such injuries may occur in any public street including parking lots, alleys, and driveways. The questionnaire contains information—for example on the date, time of the day, location, type and time sequence of the accident, a police judgment as to who had caused the accident, vehicles involved, a coded address and age of the injured child, severity of the injury (lethal, severe, for example requiring overnight in hospital treatment, or mild, for example outpatient or no medical treatment). Altogether 481 traffic injuries involving children aged 6–14 as pedestrians or cyclists from January 1993 to March 1995 were considered for this study. Criteria for inclusion were: residence within the boundaries of the municipality, and injury within a radius of 500 meters from the home. A total of 278 children fulfilled these inclusion criteria. Funding only allowed analysis of the
environment of 174 cases and their controls. A random sample of 174 was, therefore, drawn for the study.

For each case, a control child, resident in Düsseldorf, matched by age and sex, was recruited from a complete list of all school age children. For data protection reasons, the offices gave home addresses of cases and controls only within a range of five consecutive house numbers (the true house number of the individual child could be any of these five numbers). Within a radius of 500 meters around the median of these five houses numbers, environmental factors associated with road safety were assessed. All streets in this area were identified from maps. Information about the characteristics of these streets and their environment was obtained by trained students, who recorded each of these systematically, while not aware of the case or control status of the child living in the respective area.

The characteristics for each street were assessed following a standardised, pretested questionnaire: speed limit of 30 kph, as indicated by traffic signs on individual streets; number of pelican crossings (pedestrian crossings with traffic lights) per street with a speed limit of 50 kph or above; and number of playgrounds in the area.

Descriptive statistics and comparisons of cases and controls, using the likelihood ratio $\chi^2$ test or Mann-Whitney U test, were calculated with SPSS release 6.1. Odds ratios were calculated with conditional logistic regression with m:n matching on age (years) and sex (with PROC PHREG in SAS release 6.11) for example to assess the risk associated with the number of streets with speed limits with 30 kph.

**Results**

Altogether 174 cases and their controls were included in this study. Complete information on the home environment (number of streets, speed limits on these streets, number of pelican crossings per street with speed limits of 50 kph or above, number of playgrounds) was available for 170 cases and 168 controls. Baseline category: mean number of these streets were categorised (five streets/category) and the risk for each category compared with a base category of more than 15 streets (table 1). The injury risk for a child living in an area with only zero to five streets with a speed limit of 30 kph was about five times higher (odds ratio 5.3; 95% CI 1.6 to 17.6) compared with a child living in an area with 15 or more streets with a speed limit of 30 kph. The respective risk for children living in an area with six to 10 streets with a speed limit of 30 kph was about four times higher, and that of a child living in an area with 11 to 15 such streets about 2.5 times higher than that for children living in an area with over 15 streets with a speed limit of 30 kph. This may also be expressed as the protective effect per five streets with a speed limit of 30 kph: for every five such streets the injury risk was reduced by nearly 50% (odds ratio 0.57; 95% CI 0.43 to 0.76).

**NUMBER OF PELICAN CROSSINGS ON STREETS WITH A SPEED LIMIT AT 30 KPH OR ABOVE**

The mean number of streets with a speed limit of 50 kph or above was significantly higher in the areas surrounding the homes of the cases (p=0.006; mean 3.0, 95% CI 2.7 to 3.3) than in controls (mean 2.3; 95% CI 2.2 to 2.8). There were, however, significantly more pelican crossings in the areas surrounding the homes of the controls (p=0.0004; mean 2.7; 95% CI 2.4 to 2.9) compared with cases (mean 2.1; 95% CI 1.9 to 2.3). All of these pedestrian crossings with traffic lights were on straight streets and were not related to junctions. The smallest risk was observed for children living in areas with four or more pelican crossings on streets with a speed limit of 50 kph or more.

The injury risk for children living in areas with zero to two pelican crossings on streets with a speed limit of 50 kph or above was more than two times higher compared with children living in areas with four or more pelican crossings on such streets (table 2).

**Table 1** Injury risk by number of streets with a speed limit of 30 kph

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No of streets</th>
<th>Odds ratio*</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>1.6 to 17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1.4 to 13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-15</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.8 to 8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;15</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Calculations with "conditional logistic regression" (SAS: PROC PHREG) with matching variable age and sex (170 cases and 168 controls). Baseline category: number of streets with speed limit at 30 kph >15.

**Table 2** Injury risk by number of pelican crossings on streets with a speed limit of 50 kph or above

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean No of pelican crossings/street</th>
<th>Odds ratio*</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.2 to 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1-2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.3 to 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2-3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.6 to 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Calculations with "conditional logistic regression" (SAS: PROC PHREG) with matching variable age and sex (170 cases and 168 controls). Baseline category: mean number of pelican crossings per street >3.
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The mean number of playgrounds near homes of controls was significantly higher (p=0.04; Mann-Whitney U test; mean 1.9; 95% CI 1.7 to 2.2) than the number surrounding the homes of cases (mean 1.7; 95% CI 1.4 to 2.0). The odds ratio of the risk for less than one playground in the neighbourhood compared with four or more playgrounds was 1.8 (95% CI 0.90 to 3.50; table 3).

Discussion

In this study attempts were made to minimise ascertainment and information bias. The ascertainment of cases during the observation period was checked by comparison with national figures. The number of expected cases for the observation period was 523 for Düsseldorf (national traffic injury figures per 100 000 for the 6–14 age group in 1994 multiplied by the number of children at risk), and the number cases reported by the police offices was 481. The selection of controls was at random from a sampling frame that reflected exactly the population in which the cases occurred. Losses from non-compliance were not relevant because information on environmental factors was collected without direct involvement of cases and controls.

A bias towards unity is possible due to imprecision from two potential sources: the house number was only given as a range of five consecutive house numbers. The exact place of the children’s home therefore could not be identified leaving some room for random misclassification of the neighbourhood areas. Three students did the field work, each of whom was thoroughly trained. Nevertheless some misclassification cannot be excluded. As the codes to identify cases and controls were only disclosed after all information had been collected, this can only have resulted in random misclassification.

From our data it appears that increasing the number of streets with a speed limit of 30 kph, increasing the density of pelican pedestrian crossings on streets with an allowed speed of 50 kph or above, and increasing the number playgrounds is likely to be effective in reducing the risk of traffic injuries to school age children. One possible objection to this conclusion is that the children living in areas where the risk of traffic injuries is higher due to few streets with a street limit of 30 kph, few pelican crossings, or few playgrounds, are also children from low social class families. Low social class is an established risk factor for traffic injuries. In this study we could not control for socioeconomic factors because individual access to the children was not possible due to data protection rules in Germany.

Furthermore, the traffic volume could not be measured. It appears possible that neighbourhoods with a high number of streets with speed limits of 30 kph are those with low traffic density, which may account for the lower injury risk for children living in these areas. One of the aims of reducing speed limits is to keep busy, through traffic out of the respective streets. The reduction of traffic volume, therefore, would be in the causal pathway of how the introduction of speed limits of 30 kph reduce the risk.

Another objection involves the exposures analysed. It is not clear which components of the possible interventions are most relevant. For example on a street with a speed limit of 30 kph drivers almost never have priority at street junctions. Priority must be given to vehicles coming from the right. This alone may cause drivers to drive slowly. For decision making in traffic planning it might be important to disentangle the effects of the speed limit and priority regulations in order to decide whether additional speed limits are needed for specific streets. An extension of this study in close cooperation with experts on traffic planning should be the next step in defining the most important measures needed to make the street environment safer for children.

At least one conclusion may be drawn from these data: there is certainly no justification to reduce the number of streets with speed limits of 30 kph, as frequently demanded by the automobile lobby.
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Table 3  Injury risk by number of playgrounds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No of playgrounds</th>
<th>Odds ratio*</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.9 to 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.7 to 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Calculations with “conditional logistic regression” (SAS: PROC PHREG) with matching variable age and sex (170 cases and 168 controls). Baseline category: number of playgrounds >3.