Immediate care of school sport injury
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School sport is a major cause of injury in the post-primary age group. The importance of primary prevention in sport has been identified; however secondary prevention of school related sport injury has not been described in Ireland.

A random sample of 450 schools in Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland was studied using a postal questionnaire. Current management of sport injury, with particular interest in the expertise and training of teachers and coaches, was explored.

Replies were received from 333 (74%) schools. There was no physical education teacher with up to date first aid training in 37% schools. Immediate care in terms of mechanism and equipment to deal with injury was available in 35–81%, of schools. Correct response ranged from 65%–90% to four scenarios: commonly presenting yet potentially serious management problems. This study demonstrated deficiencies in sport injury care. In addition to concern about current training, a need for basic life support training is highlighted. These findings have implications for the prevention of school sports injuries.

The health benefits of exercise are well documented, and school sport is recognised as an important means of promoting physical activity in children. Sport is, however, a major cause of injury in the post-primary age group (11–18 years) in both Europe and North America. Injury occurs during organised sport (62%), physical education class (20%), and in non-organised or unsupervised sport (18%). Reports suggest that a fourth of all school injuries are serious (that is, fracture, dislocation, and brain injuries), and 61% of school injuries are minor (sprains, strains, contusions, abrasions, and lacerations). Fatalities are rare but one national school injury study in USA identified 30 sports related deaths in a six year period, all of which were in the 15–19 age group.

School sport must be safe and enjoyable if we are to implement current public health policy in promoting physical activity. The importance of primary prevention—for example, the wearing of personal protective gear—has been identified.

The epidemiology of school sport injury has been documented in Ireland, but we know little about readiness for and early management of injury, that is, secondary prevention. The literature suggests that appropriate intervention can influence outcome.

The purpose of this study was to identify the current state of equipment and staff to manage injury in post-primary schools throughout Ireland.

School sport is an integral part of the physical activity promotion, with increasing UK government emphasis on physical education in the school. All pupils participate in physical education (PE) unless there is a medical reason for exclusion. The national curriculum for physical education in Northern Ireland (NI) and the Republic of Ireland (ROI) ensures that PE activities are uniform in all schools—that is, percentage of time spent in aerobic activities, contact sport, etc. However extracurricular activities and percentage participation by pupils is variable between schools.

METHOD
This was a postal questionnaire study of post-primary schools in NI and the ROI. We developed our questionnaire in consultation with relevant experts: two physical education teachers, a consultant in accident and emergency (A&E) medicine, and a consultant in sports medicine. The draft questionnaire focused on the following areas: demographic features and location of each school, contact sport participation, current immediate care of sports injury, and education and experience of the teaching and coaching staff. We sought further advice from the advisor on physical education for the education and library boards in NI, and the Physical Education Inspectorate Office in the ROI, and consulted the recommendations of the British Association of Advisors and Lecturers in Physical Education.

We pilot tested the questionnaire for face and content validity on a convenience group of six senior PE teachers from NI and one from the ROI. We explained the aims and objectives of the study and asked for comments on the relevance and clarity of the questionnaire. We invited this pilot group to complete the questionnaire again after modification seeking further comment.

The questionnaire used is illustrated in appendix 1 on the website (www.injuryprevention.com/supplemental).

Our population comprised all post-primary schools in NI and the ROI based on lists obtained from the Department of Education Northern Ireland, and from the Department of Education and Science, Ireland. There were 244 schools in NI and 759 in the ROI. This represented 155 553 pupils aged 11–18 years in NI and 346 409 pupils aged 12–18 years (together with a small number of 11 year olds) in the ROI. We selected a computerised random sample of schools from these two groups using SPSS (9.0). The sample comprised 450 schools: 150 schools from NI and 300 from the ROI. Each school principal was sent a letter of explanation assuring confidentiality and anonymity, together with a questionnaire and reply paid envelope. The principal was asked to give the questionnaire to a member of the PE department for completion. The questionnaires were colour coded so that we could separate responses from NI and the ROI. One reminder was sent to all schools after six weeks, explaining that anonymity prevented identification of schools that had already replied.

Abbreviations: A&E, accident and emergency; NI, Northern Ireland; PE, physical education; ROI, Republic of Ireland
We also attempted to explore the response to common injuries using scenario testing. The scenarios were chosen to represent commonly presenting yet potentially serious problems. These are described in table 1.

The results were entered onto the database using SPSS for Windows and analysed using Mann-Whitney, Spearman’s and Kendall’s correlation coefficients, t test, and Pearson’s χ² as appropriate. All p values are two tailed and a level of significance of 0.05 or less.

RESULTS

We received replies from 128 schools in NI (85.3%) and 205 in the ROI (68.3%) giving us an overall response of 333 (74%), with minimal variation in the response to individual questions. Schools varied greatly in size ranging from 32 to 2300 pupils. NI schools (mean (SD) 700 (342), median 650) and ROI schools (mean (SD) 487 (269), median 450).

We also estimated the proportion of pupils taking part in contact sport both timetabled and extracurricular; this is summarised in table 2.

School policy requires documentation of sports injury in the PE department accident book. Altogether 64% of schools studied documented fewer than five injuries per month and 1.2% more than 15 per month. Seventy nine percent of schools (NI 75.9%, ROI 78.9%) reported that less than 10% of injured pupils attended their hospital A&E department for assessment and treatment of their injury.

Immediate care

There was wide variation in the first aid training of school sport personnel. No PE teacher with up to date first aid training was available in 33.4% of schools (32% NI, 33.9% ROI). The number of pupils per teacher or coach with first aid training ranged from 50–1000 (ROI mean (SD) 298 (184), median 250; NI mean (SD) 312 (99), median 263).

We also explored issues relating to immediate injury treatment and communication with emergency services (table 3).

We explored the relationship between the size of the school, the number and training of staff, and immediate injury care. There was a significant correlation between the size of the school and the proportion of staff with current first aid training so that larger schools had a greater proportion of PE teachers with up to date first aid training (p<0.05). This ratio was greater in NI than in than in the ROI (p<0.01). The ratio of first aid trained coach to pupil was positively correlated with the availability of a portable first aid kit (p<0.001), pupil supervision outside school grounds (p<0.01), and ice availability outside the school grounds (p<0.05).

Interestingly, there were more trained PE teachers per pupil, and more trained games coaches (PE and other staff) per pupil (p<0.05), in those schools where there was more contact sport participation. Similarly, ice availability was more likely with a higher level of pupil participation in contact sports (p<0.05).

Some schools appeared to have better all-round immediate care so that, for example, if one aspect of care was high quality (for example, telephone access) then other areas of care tended to be good (for example, ice availability). The converse was also true.

Injury management

We explored the theoretical management of four potentially serious injury scenarios. Participants were invited to select the one most appropriate response. Almost all participants completed this section, response options and results for each scenario question are summarised in table 1.

### Table 1 Scenario test results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario/optimal response</th>
<th>Percentage of teachers selecting response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A pupil collides with an opponent at a football match and is knocked out for a few seconds. He/she comes round and wants to resume play.</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would allow the pupil to play</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would send the pupil to the changing rooms/bus to rest</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would get the pupil to rest by the pitch side, where someone would observe him/her</td>
<td>94.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would get the pupil to rest for a few minutes before allowing him/her to return to play</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A pupil is hit on the hand with a hurling/hockey stick. He/she complains of pain but wishes to continue playing</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would apply ice (when available) and take the pupil out of play for the rest of the match</td>
<td>91.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would strap the hand and let them return to play</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would allow the pupil to return to play until I could see that he/she was in difficulty</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A pupil/child collapses after a race</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would put the child in the recovery position and call an ambulance</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would try to rouse the child using smelling salts</td>
<td>64.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would check if the child was breathing, if not I would commence basic life support and send someone to call an ambulance</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would keep the child warm with a blanket/clothing and call an ambulance</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A rugby scrum collapses or a child falls 10 feet off the climbing wall. He/she says they can’t move</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would straighten the pupil out to ease discomfort and call an ambulance</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would sit the pupil up to assess him/her more easily</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would place the pupil in the recovery position and call an ambulance</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would immediately immobilise the neck by holding the head and instruct him/her not to move until help arrived (paramedics with a spinal board)</td>
<td>94.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bold type indicates the most appropriate response.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 Proportion of all pupils who participate in contact/collision sport: timetabled and extracurricular; values are number (%)</th>
<th>Percentage pupil participation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Northern Ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>4 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–25</td>
<td>9 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–50</td>
<td>23 (18.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–75</td>
<td>30 (23.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;75</td>
<td>60 (47.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>126 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There was no significant relationship between the proportion of PE teachers with first aid training and scenario score. A total score of four points, one for each correct response was possible for the scenario questions. There was a significantly higher mean total scenario score in NI (mean (SD) 3.61 (0.63), variance 0.4) compared with ROI (mean (SD) 3.39 (0.79) variance 0.63) (p<0.01).

There was a trend for teachers in larger schools to score well in scenario testing overall but this relationship did not achieve statistical significance (p=0.051). There was a significant relationship in selection of correct response to the management of the collapsed child, however, so that teachers from larger schools were more likely to give the correct response (p<0.01). There was, interestingly, no significant relationship between the proportion of PE teachers with first aid training and scenario score. In schools where all PE teachers had up to date first aid training the mean scenario score was 3.51 and in those schools where not all had up to date training the score was 3.46.

Advice to parents on the need to take a pupil to hospital after head injury or hand injury was not influenced by travel time to an A&E department or first aid training ratios of staff. However, teachers who scored more highly in scenario testing were more likely to advise A&E referral (p<0.01). There was no correlation between scenario score and travel time from an A&E department.

Interest in further training
We asked participants if a member of the school games staff would be interested in attending a first aid workshop with particular reference to the sporting environment and 93% (NI 95.2%, ROI 91.6%) indicated that they would be interested. We explored the relationship between reported interest in attending a workshop and the score on scenario testing and found that those interested in attending had a significantly higher mean score on scenario testing (3.5) than those who were not (3.0) (p<0.01).

**DISCUSSION**
The overall response rate of 74% is comparable to a recent similar survey of schoolteacher attitudes to first aid training (n=36), with an 83.3% response rate.16 We were interested in the diversity of training of staff in first aid: in 5.4% of schools, all games staff had first aid training yet, there were other schools where no members of staff had up to date first aid training. In those schools with trained staff the ratio of trained games staff to pupil ranged from 1:50 to 1:1000 pupils (mean 1:298). We can compare this finding to Canada where the reported ratio of first aid trained staff to pupil ratio is 1:205.21 It was also interesting to note the trend for larger schools to have greater ratio of first aid training among games staff per pupil. This may account for the greater first aid ratios in NI where there are larger schools than in the ROI. However this may also be influenced by the greater pupil participation in contact sport in schools in NI.

Table 3 illustrates inconsistencies in immediate care. We were concerned about the response to the scenario test and, in particular, in the example of how one should deal with a pupil collapsing after a race. This scenario was designed to test response in a life threatening situation and only 64.5% of teachers selected the correct response in a potentially serious situation. Selection of an inappropriate response by nearly one third of participants may demonstrate limitations in this method in that it is possible that respondents did not consider that the child had stopped breathing. However keeping an unconscious child warm with a blanket without considering the recovery position, option four in the scenario selected by 13.1%, is clearly wrong and indicates inadequate knowledge and poor management. This is in keeping with studies from North America which found that 30% of coaches had no specific training in first aid, and 40% had never been trained in cardiopulmonary resuscitation.18-20

Our inclusion of a question on first aid training allowed us to explore the relationship between current training, and interest in future training, with the scenario tests. That we were unable to demonstrate a relationship between current training and the response to the scenario test raises questions about the quality and value of current training. Clearly, it did not equip the respondents to deal with the scenarios presented. In mitigation, however, there was enormous interest in attending a workshop on first aid with particular reference to the sporting environment. Several teachers made additional comments on the questionnaire to stress their interest. More worrying, however, is the inverse relationship between interest in training and performance on the scenario tests, so that those who appeared to need training most were the least interested.

While some authors have suggested that improved training may lead to a reduction in accidental injury rate,21,22 there are no systematic reviews available to show that improved training in immediate care reduces injury. In addition there is conflicting opinion on the need for childhood injury prevention in relation to sport.

In one review of childhood injury prevention, school sport was not identified as a major issue. Conversely a review of adolescent injury identified legislative and regulatory controls, including environmental factors with relatively low

---

**Table 3** Immediate injury care in schools in Ireland; values are percent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Always</th>
<th>Often</th>
<th>Sometimes</th>
<th>Rarely</th>
<th>Never</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>How often is a portable first aid kit brought by the person in charge when activities are outside the school building (&gt;few minutes walk from departmental first aid box)</em>?</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>How often is a telephone accessible within 2 minutes when activity is in school grounds?</em></td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>How often is a telephone accessible within 2 minutes, when activity is outside school grounds?</em></td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>How often can another member of staff be made available to supervise children while casualty is being taken care of, when activity is in school grounds?</em></td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>How often can another member of staff be made available to supervise children while casualty is being taken care of, when activity is outside school grounds?</em></td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>How often is ice available for treatment of soft tissue injury when activity is in school grounds?</em></td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>How often is ice available when activity is outside school grounds?</em></td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
implementation costs, as the most effective measures to prevent accidental injury in sport. Notwithstanding the need for an evidence base for enhanced training of sports teachers in the secondary prevention of sports injuries, the findings of this study highlight the need for basic life support training in school games staff. This is consistent with the joint recommendations of the British Association of Paediatricians and the British Association of Paediatric Surgeons, and the FIMS/WHO ad hoc committee on sport and children.1

CONCLUSION

In conclusion this study demonstrated deficiencies in sports injury care in all aspects studied: immediate care, staff first aid training, and injury scenario performance. In addition to concern about the quality and value of current training we highlight a need for basic life support training. These findings have significant implications for health care professionals and schools in the secondary prevention of school sports injuries.

See the website for appendix 1 (www.injuryprevention.com/supplemental).

Authors’ affiliations
L Abernethy, Accident and Emergency Department, Ulster Hospital, Dundonald, Belfast
D MacAuley, Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, Queens University of Belfast
O McNally, School of Nursing, University of Ulster at Jordanstown
S McCann, School of Nursing, University of Ulster at Magee

REFERENCES

SPORTS INJURY MANAGEMENT IN POST PRIMARY SCHOOLS

(Please indicate your responses by placing an X clearly in the boxes)

**BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

Q1 Time to get to nearest hospital Accident & Emergency department by car:
- 15mins
- 15-30mins
- 30-45mins
- 45-60mins
- 60+mins

Q2 Approximate numbers of pupils attending school:

Q3 Number of P E teachers *(full time equivalents)*:

Q4 Number of P E teachers with first aid training:
   (first aid certificate completed/updated in last 3 yrs):

Q5 Number of other teachers or coaches who help with games/sports:
   (time tabled and extracurricular):

Q6 Number of these other teachers or coaches with first aid training:
   (first aid certificate completed/updated in last 3 yrs):

Q7 Proportion of all pupils participating in contact/collision sport e.g. football (gaelic/soccer/rugby),
   camogie/hurling/hockey (timetabled and extracurricular):
   - <10%
   - 10-20%
   - 25-50%
   - 50-75%
   - >75%

Q8 In an average month, approximately how many sports injuries are documented in your department accident book:
   - <5
   - 5-10
   - 10-15
   - 15-20
   - >20

Q9 Approximately what percentage of sports injuries attend hospital:
   - <10%
   - 10-20%
   - 20-30%
   - 30-40%
   - >50%

**INJURY MANAGEMENT**

(Please tick the most appropriate answer for each question)

Q10 When sporting activities are outside the school building (>few minutes walk from department first aid box), how often is a portable first aid kit brought by the person in charge?
   - always
   - often
   - sometimes
   - rarely
   - never

Q11 In the event of an emergency how often is a telephone (mobile phone/school phone/pay phone) accessible within 2 minutes?
   a) When activity is in school grounds:
   - always
   - often
   - sometimes
   - rarely
   - never
   b) When activity is outside school grounds:

Q12 In the event of an emergency how often can another member of staff be made available (if not present) to supervise children, while casualty is being taken care of?
   a) When activity is in school grounds:
   - always
   - often
   - sometimes
   - rarely
   - never
   b) When activity is outside school grounds:

Q13 How often is ice available for treatment of soft tissue injury?
   a) When activity is in school grounds:
   - always
   - often
   - sometimes
   - rarely
   - never
   b) When activity is outside school grounds:

(Please note this survey is completely anonymous. The purpose of the bar codes is to enable the computer to recognise both the survey & page numbers for scanning. The questionnaires are automatically scanned in using special computer software.)
PLEASE READ THE FOLLOWING SCENARIOS AND INDICATE THE ONE RESPONSE WHICH YOU CONSIDER THE MOST APPROPRIATE AS THE IMMEDIATE RESPONSE (please tick only one box in each case)

Q14 A child collides with an opponent at a football match and is knocked out for a few seconds. He/She comes round and wants to resume play.
   a) I would allow the child to play
   b) I would send the child to the changing room/bas to rest
   c) I would get the child to rest by the pitch side, where someone would observe him/her
   d) I would get the child to rest for a few minutes before allowing him/her to return to play

Q15 A child is hit on the hand with a hurling/hockey stick. He/she complains of pain but wishes to continue playing. On inspection there is swelling.
   a) I would let the child return to play
   b) I would apply ice (when available) and take the child out of play for the rest of the match
   c) I would strap the hand and let the child return to play
   d) I would allow the child to return to play until I could see that he/she was in difficulty

Q16 In the above scenarios (Questions 14 & 15) I would recommend that parents/guardians take the child to hospital for assessment:
   O14
   O15

Q17 A child collapses after a race.
   a) I would put the child in the recovery position and call an ambulance
   b) I would try to rouse the child with smelling salts
   c) I would check if the child was breathing. If not I would commence basic life support and send someone to call an ambulance.
   d) I would keep the child warm with a blanket/clothing and call an ambulance

Q18 A rugby scrum collapses or a child falls 10 feet off the climbing wall. He/She says they can't move.
   a) I would straighten the child out to ease discomfort and call an ambulance
   b) I would sit the child up to assess him/her more easily
   c) I would place the child in the recovery position and call an ambulance
   d) I would immediately immobilise the neck by holding the child's head and instruct him/her not to move until help arrived (paramedics with spinal board)

Q19 Would you or a member of your staff be interested in attending a workshop on first aid with particular reference to the sporting environment? Yes [ ] No [ ]

Please make any additional comments or suggestions overleaf....