Association between handgun purchase and mortality from firearm injury
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Objective: To determine the association between mortality from violent or firearm related injury and previous handgun purchase.

Methods: Case-control study of 213,466 Californians ages 21 and older who died in 1998; cases were the 4,728 violent or firearm related injury deaths, with subsets by specific cause and means of death, and controls were the 208,738 non-injury deaths. The exposure of interest was the purchase of a handgun during 1996-98. The main outcome measure was the odds ratio for handgun purchase, adjusted for sex, age, race, education, and marital status.

Results: Handgun purchase was more common among persons dying from suicide (odds ratio [OR] 6.8, 95% confidence interval [CI] 5.7 to 8.1) or homicide (OR 2.4, 95% CI 1.6 to 3.7), and particularly among those dying from gun suicide (OR 12.5, 95% CI 10.4 to 15.0) or gun homicide (OR 3.3, 95% CI 2.1 to 5.3), than among controls. No such differences were seen for non-gun suicide or homicide. Among women, those dying from gun suicide were much more likely than controls to have purchased a handgun (OR 109.8; 95% CI 61.6 to 195.7). Handgun purchasers accounted for less than 1% of the study population but 2.4% of gun homicides, 14.2% of gun suicides, and 16.7% of unintentional gun deaths. Gun suicide made up 18.9% of deaths among purchasers but only 0.6% of deaths among non-purchasers.

Conclusion: Among adults who died in California in 1998, those dying from violence were more likely than those dying from non-injury causes to have purchased a handgun.

Although firearm mortality rates in the United States have decreased since 1993, firearms accounted for 28,663 deaths in 2000 and ranked 11th among all causes of death. Gun homicide rates have fallen dramatically in the last decade, but gun suicide rates have seen little change. In 2000 there were 16,586 gun suicides among persons age 21 and older, outnumbering the 10,801 gun homicides by 54%.

Case-control studies find that gun availability increases risk of homicide, while one cohort study finds this to be true for women, but not for men. With one exception, studies of suicide have consistently shown that the risk of suicide increases when a firearm is available. The majority of these studies, however, inferred a passive exposure to a handgun (for example, a handgun present in the home). Little is known about the relationship between handgun purchase itself—the conscious decision to undergo a sustained close exposure to firearms—and subsequent risk of violent firearm related mortality.

In a cohort study of handgun purchasers in California in 1991, the purchase of a handgun was associated with a substantial increase in risk of firearm suicide that was present within a week of purchase and persisted for at least six years. The continuing decline in overall rates of firearm related death since that study was conducted may have affected the association between handgun purchase and mortality. In addition, that earlier study left several important questions unanswered: Among those who die from gun violence, particularly suicide, what proportion have recently purchased a handgun? Are very recent handgun purchasers most likely to die from violence, especially firearm related violence?

To answer these questions, we performed a case-control study of all people age 21 and older who died in California in 1998. Our hypothesis was that people dying from violence, and especially firearm related violence, were more likely to have bought a handgun in the three years before their death than those who died from non-injury causes. We also hypothesized that those who died from violence were much more likely to have bought a handgun very recently—within one year of death—than were those who died from non-injury causes. We also compared the prevalence and ranking of deaths from violence and firearm related injury among handgun purchasers and non-purchasers.

METHODS

California’s automated Death Statistical Master File contains information on all deaths that occur in the state. We used this file to identify all persons age 21 and older who died in California in 1998 (n=221,317). The file provided information on sex, age, race, marital status, years of education, date of birth, date of death, and cause of death (International Classification of Diseases, 9th revision, codes including four digit E codes). We used California’s state handgun purchase data from 1996–98 to identify handgun purchasers. The handgun purchase data contain records of all legal handgun purchases made from licensed California firearm retailers. Handgun sales between private parties would be included in these data if they were conducted legally, as California law requires all such sales to go through a licensed retailer. Any private sales or transfers not going through a licensed retailer would not appear in the purchase data.

The mortality file was joined with the purchase data by matching on last name and date of birth. Apparent matches were manually reviewed and verified using additional data such as sex, place of birth, and middle name. Subjects in the mortality file with no match in the purchase data were considered not to have purchased a handgun within three years of death.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio
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We used a case-control study design, rather than a proportional mortality design, to enhance the validity of the study. As recommended by Rothman and Greenland and Miettinen and Wang, cases in such a design are persons who died from the causes of death that are being investigated. In selecting controls, it is then important to exclude persons for whose causes of death an association with the exposure of interest is “known, suspected, or merely plausible” (Rothman and Greenland, p 771).

In applying that principle to selecting controls for this study, we noted that firearm ownership generally, the ownership of semiautomatic and automatic firearms specifically, and carrying a firearm on one’s person are all associated with abusive consumption of alcohol. As alcohol abuse is one of the most important risk factors for death from unintentional injury, we considered it likely that handgun purchase is associated, though perhaps indirectly, with risk for an unintentional injury death. We therefore excluded from our control population persons who died from unintentional injuries, such as motor vehicle crashes and falls (n=7617). We also excluded persons who died from injuries of undetermined intent (n=185), legal intervention (n=47), and injuries resulting from operations of war (n=2).

The main outcome measure for the study is the exposure odds ratio: the odds of having purchased a handgun before death among cases relative to controls. We used logistic regression to calculate odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals, which we adjusted for age, sex, race, education, and marital status. Age was treated as a categorical variable (table 1). We developed multiple models to compare subsets of our case population with the control group. These subsets included persons who died of any intentional violence, homicide, suicide, and unintentional firearm deaths. We stratified subsets related to violence by whether or not deaths were firearm related. The control population remained constant across all comparisons.

**RESULTS**

A total of 213 466 people age 21 and older who died in California in 1998 made up our study population: 4728 cases died from a violent or firearm related injury (2.2% of the study population), and 208 738 controls died from non-injury causes (97.8% of the study population) (table 1). The 1162 persons (0.5% of the study population) who were known to have purchased a handgun between 1996 and 1998 were considered to have sustained the exposure of interest.

Men accounted for 79.3% of cases but only 48.8% of controls (table 1). Those who died from violent or firearm related injury were younger (mean age 44.6) than those who died from non-injury causes (mean age 74.7). There were much higher proportions of Hispanics and black people and a lower proportion of white people among cases compared with controls. There was little difference in educational history between the two groups, but those dying from violent or firearm related injury were more likely to be single or divorced, and less likely to be married or widowed, than were those dying from non-injury causes.

Persons who died from intentional violence were more likely than those who died from non-injury causes to have purchased a handgun (odds ratio (OR) 5.7; 95% confidence interval (CI) 4.8 to 6.8) (table 2). This was also true for the subsets of cases dying from suicide (OR 6.8; 95% CI 5.7 to 8.1) and homicide (OR 2.4; 95% CI 1.6 to 3.7), and particularly for those dying from gun suicide (OR 12.5; 95% CI 10.4 to 15.0) or gun homicide (OR 3.3; 95% CI 2.1 to 5.3). No such difference was seen for cases dying from non-gun suicide or homicide.

Very recent handgun purchase, defined as purchase within one year of death, was strongly associated with violent or firearm related injury death (table 3). This was again the case for both suicide (OR 12.5; 95% CI 10.0 to 15.6) and homicide (OR 3.9; 95% CI 2.2 to 6.8), and particularly gun suicide (OR 22.7; 95% CI 18.2 to 28.4) and gun homicide (OR 5.8; 95% CI 3.1 to 10.8).

The association between handgun purchase and violent death was stronger among women than in the study population as a whole (table 4). In particular, women who died from gun suicide were much more likely to have purchased a handgun than women who died from non-injury causes (OR 109.8; 95% CI 61.6 to 195.7). Since 79.3% (3748 of 4728) of the cases were men, odds ratios for men were very similar to those for all cases and are not shown separately.

Almost 22% (252 of 1162) of deaths among handgun purchasers were firearm related. Gun suicide accounted for 18.9% of deaths among handgun purchasers and 0.6% of deaths among non-purchasers. Gun suicide was the third leading cause of death among male handgun purchasers, accounting for 17.5% of all deaths (188 of 1076), but made up only 1.1% of deaths among male non-purchasers (1155 of 104536). Gun suicide was the leading cause of death for female purchasers and accounted for 37.2% of all deaths in that group (32 of 86), but accounted for only 0.2% of deaths among female non-purchasers (171 of 107768). Gun suicide was also the leading cause of death among persons who purchased a handgun within one year of death, accounting for 29.3% of all deaths in that population (167 of 569).

Handgun purchasers made up just 0.5% of our study population (1162 of 213466 persons), but accounted for 5.8% of all violent deaths (275 of 4728), 7.8% of suicides (237 of 3035), and 1.9% of homicides (32 of 1657). Similarly, purchasers accounted for 14.2% of gun suicides (220 of 1546), 2.4% of gun homicides (26 of 1102), and 16.7% of unintentional gun deaths (six of 36). Of all handgun purchasers who died in 1998, 48.9% (569 of 1162) bought a handgun within one year of their deaths.

Table 1 Characteristics of Californians age 21 and older who died in 1998; results are number (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Violent injury death (n=4728)</th>
<th>Non-violent injury death (n=208738)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purchaser*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>275 (5.8)</td>
<td>887 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>4453 (94.2)</td>
<td>207 851 (99.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent purchaser†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>200 (4.2)</td>
<td>369 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>4358 (95.8)</td>
<td>208 369 (99.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>3748 (79.3)</td>
<td>101 864 (48.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>980 (20.7)</td>
<td>106 874 (51.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;12</td>
<td>1079 (22.8)</td>
<td>53 946 (25.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1792 (37.9)</td>
<td>79 490 (38.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13–15</td>
<td>884 (18.7)</td>
<td>35 259 (16.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>503 (10.6)</td>
<td>20 689 (9.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;17</td>
<td>314 (6.4)</td>
<td>12 729 (6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>156 (3.3)</td>
<td>6625 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>1705 (36.1)</td>
<td>86 231 (41.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>1718 (36.3)</td>
<td>16 326 (7.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>833 (17.6)</td>
<td>25 976 (12.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>381 (8.1)</td>
<td>78 623 (37.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>91 (1.9)</td>
<td>1382 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Purchased a handgun within one year of death.
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DISCUSSION

Among Californians who died in 1998, those whose deaths resulted from violence or firearm related injury were more likely than those who died from non-injury causes to have purchased a handgun between 1996 and 1998. While this association was strongest among persons dying from suicide, it was also present among persons dying from injuries other than suicide. Our findings, which were based on a representative sample of Californians who died in 1998, suggest that the purchase of a handgun is associated with increased risk of death from violence or firearm related injury among both men and women. Our findings are consistent with previous research that has shown a strong association between handgun ownership and firearm related deaths among men and women.

Among women, the association between violent death and handgun purchase was remarkably strong, again due largely to gun suicide. Although the number of female purchasers in our study population was small, these results should not be dismissed. Handgun manufacturers have recently increased the marketing of guns to women by touting the protection that women who died from violence were more likely, not less, to have purchased a handgun within the three years before death.

For three reasons, our findings probably underestimate the association between violent or firearm related death and prior purchase of a handgun. First, although our non-purchasers had not bought a handgun from a licensed California gun retailer within three years before their deaths, they could have purchased a handgun from an unlicensed dealer or from an out-of-state retailer.

Among Californians who died in 1998, those whose deaths resulted from violence or firearm related injury were more likely than those who died from non-injury causes to have purchased a handgun between 1996 and 1998. While this association was strongest among persons dying from suicide, it was also present among persons dying from injuries other than suicide. Our findings, which were based on a representative sample of Californians who died in 1998, suggest that the purchase of a handgun is associated with increased risk of death from violence or firearm related injury among both men and women. Our findings are consistent with previous research that has shown a strong association between handgun ownership and firearm related deaths among men and women.

Among women, the association between violent death and handgun purchase was remarkably strong, again due largely to gun suicide. Although the number of female purchasers in our study population was small, these results should not be dismissed. Handgun manufacturers have recently increased the marketing of guns to women by touting the protection that women who died from violence were more likely, not less, to have purchased a handgun within the three years before death.

For three reasons, our findings probably underestimate the association between violent or firearm related death and prior purchase of a handgun. First, although our non-purchasers had not bought a handgun from a licensed California gun retailer within three years before their deaths, they could have purchased a handgun from an unlicensed dealer or from an out-of-state retailer.
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Key points

- Among adults dying in California in 1998, a handgun purchase during 1996–98 was more common among persons dying from suicide (OR 6.8), gun suicide (OR 12.5), homicide (OR 2.4), and gun homicide (OR 3.3) than among those dying from all other causes.
- Among women, handgun purchase was much more common among those dying from suicide (OR 33.9) and gun suicide (OR 109.8). Gun suicide accounted for 37.2% of all deaths among women who purchased handguns.
- Persons who bought a handgun during 1996–98 made up 0.5% of those who died in 1998, but accounted for 5.8% of all violent deaths, 2.4% of gun homicides, 14.2% of gun suicides, and 16.7% of unintentional gun deaths.
- Gun suicide accounted for 18.9% of deaths among handgun purchasers and 0.6% of deaths among non-purchasers.
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Zipper slips suffered in silence

They may be the tip, so to speak, of a very substantial iceberg. The 13 men and boys who have fronted up to Queensland hospitals in the past four years with trouser-zipper injuries to the penis are probably outnumbered many times by those who bear their affliction privately.

Richard Hockey, data analyst at the Queensland Injury Surveillance Unit, reveals that “people probably try to treat themselves first”. “The embarrassment factor might put you off”, he said.

Mr Hockey said he was surprised to discover that trapped genitals were far and away the leading cause of clothing related injury when he analysed emergency department statistics from 1998 to 2001. It could be a subtropical issue, Mr Hockey said. “Maybe it is seasonal. In the hotter weather, anecdotally, people are going without underpants. Perhaps it is now time to return to button flies”.

In total, clothes were implicated in 81 injuries, including finger dislocations from putting on or removing socks, and fractures sustained in falls during that tricky stage when one leg is in the pants and the other is trying to locate the other hole.

Dressing was a highly personal ritual, Mr Hockey said, and one, perhaps, that people did not adapt sufficiently to with their age, mobility, and health. “People keep on doing it the same way, but maybe when they are a bit older can’t reach their feet as well” (from the Sydney Morning Herald. Contributed by Richard Hockey).

Mike Hayes, Injury Prevention deputy editor adds: A similar cause of injury to children showed up several years ago when we undertook work on clothing safety for the UK’s Department of Trade and Industry. I would not describe the UK as tropical!