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Cross country variation of fractures in the childhood population. Is the origin biological or “accidental”?

Editor.—The interesting paper by Lyons et al revealed that the annual incidence rate of fractures among children aged 0–12 years was two to three times higher in Wales than in other western European countries. This discrepancy prompted us to briefly report on the epidemiological profile of fractures in the childhood population of Greece and discuss the implications of the observed differences.

Our data derive from the Emergency Department Injury Surveillance System (EDISS) database which is run by the Center for Research and Prevention of Injuries among the Young (CEREPRI). All types of childhood injuries treated at the emergency departments of the participating hospitals, which have well circumscribed catchment areas in rural and urban Greece, are routinely recorded in this database. The catchment area includes the Greater Athens area, where about 40% of the country’s population resides, Magnesia county in Greece mainland, and Corfu county on the island of Corfu. Our methodology was similar to that followed by Lyons et al thus allowing for reasonable comparisons.

A total of 8557 fractures were recorded during the 6-year period 1996–97 among children 0–14 years old and the estimated annual incidence rate was 12 fractures/1000 children. No significant variation was noted among children from the different sites participating in EDISS. This rate is just one third of that recorded in the Welsh childhood population. In line with what is reported by Lyons et al, and other investigators, boys were also over represented in the Greek data set (male:female ratio: 1.9) and this preponderance increased with age. Altogether 2.7% of the injured children presented with multiple fractures, a figure that is higher than that reported by Lyons et al (1.8%) and much higher than the high road traffic injury toll in Greece. In fact, one third of the multiple fracture injuries were the result of a road traffic crash, whereas traffic accidents accounted for less than 5% among injured children with one fracture.

The distribution of children by injured body part was comparable to that of the Welsh population, with fractures of radius and ulna accounting for 45% of the total, followed by fractures of fingers (3.9%), humerus (6.9%), and carpal/metacarpals (4.8%). The similarity in the pattern of fractures among children who sought emergency hospital care in the two countries can be considered as an indicator of the high quality registration system in both sites and enhances the possibility that the observed difference of the fracture incidence rate is genuine. It is worth noting, however, that despite the low overall incidence of fractures in the Greek childhood population, the proportion of skull fractures was more than twice as high as that reported in the Welsh and in a related Swedish study. Cycle helmet use may not be optimal in Greece but according to data derived from EDISS, use of protective devices for road traffic injuries is unacceptable low, and playgrounds do not usually comply with international standards. Therefore, the underlying causes for the discrepancy in skull fracture incidence should be carefully monitored in Greece, whether it is caused by reluctance to wear helmets or otherwise, and corrective action taken.

One third of the recorded fracture injuries in both studies occurred in residential areas, where children spend most of their time, followed by school areas and public premises. An average of 40% of fractures resulted from sports and leisure activities. Cultural differences and different sports and leisure time preferences between the two population groups, however, become obvious when the injuries are further analyzed by type of sport activity. Thus, ball related injuries were dominant in our population (70% of sports related injuries among Greek compared with 40% among Welsh children), whereas wheeled sports activities were almost twice as common in Welsh compared with Greek children (35% and 20% respectively).

In conclusion, comparison of data from these studies indicate that the incidence of fractures in the Greek childhood population is similar to that observed in Sweden but much lower than that reported by Lyons and his colleagues. A question is: could this variation simply be attributed to different exposure levels and/or different prevention strategies that are followed in the respective countries, or does it reflect the expression of a biological mechanism, possibly related to nutritional factors, that accounts for fewer Greek children suffering from fractures. The latter hypothesis cannot be properly evaluated without careful consideration of differences in data collection, coding, and processing methods. To test this hypothesis, comparative, population based crude and fracture specific injury incidence data were collected from different areas in northern and southern European countries could be used to elucidate whether the observed differences simply reflect a corresponding difference in exposure or incidence, or whether differences are mainly related to differences in the incidence of fractures. If the latter is the case, further investigation focusing on possible differences in bone mass density or dietary intake should be considered in the interpretation of the observed variation of fractures on different population groups.

M. MOUSTAKI
M. LARIOU
Department of Hygiene and Epidemiology, Athens University Medical School, Greece

E. PETRIDOU
Department of Hygiene and Epidemiology, Athens University Medical School, 75 Mikras Axios, Goudi, Athens 11527, Greece

Department of Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, USA

Correspondence to: Dr Petridou in Athens epetridk@cc.uoa.gr


LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Speed reductions, inequalities, and transport

Editor.—We read the editorials in the BMJ and Injury Prevention about speed limits with interest and would like to share some of the Scottish experience in this area.

Councils throughout Scotland are conducting trials of advisory 20 mph limits as part of a Scottish Executive initiative. In Lothian these are generally in residential areas and often linked with Safe Routes to Schools projects run by the councils in partnership with Lothian Safe Routes, SPOKES (an Edinburgh based cycle group), Lothian Health, and the police. In addition a small number of mandatory 20 mph zones exist, with proposals in Edinburgh for a city wide 20 mph limit in residential areas and on shopping streets.

Road traffic accidents (RTAs) are not spread evenly across communities, with disadvantaged children having a much worse experience of RTAs. In Edinburgh the City of Edinburgh Council has made traffic calming measures in areas with high accident rates a feature of the city’s road safety strategy since the early 1990s. These have been mainly engineering measures to calm traffic in more disadvantaged parts of the city. This has resulted in a reduction in speeds and a 39% reduction in reported accidents in areas calmed under the “casualty reduction” programme (compared with 29% reduction where “environmental traffic management” was the aim and 4% reduction where measures were in connection with bus priority routes). This is against a picture of relatively stable accident levels in the council areas during the 1990s. This suggests that getting areas with high accident levels can produce good results and ties in with other Scotland wide data (where increased walking and cycling was also demonstrated).

Engineering measures are costly, with the council spending some £1.2 million for the “casualty reduction” programme. While it remains to be seen whether the much less expensive advisory 20 mph schemes will be of similar benefit, there are some lessons about implementing and enforcing these schemes. As these 20 mph schemes are merely advisory, they can only be enforced if motorists are driving dangerously. Anecdotal evidence from early 20 mph schemes suggest that, while speeds are in general reducing, a significant proportion of motorists have not moderated their speed. These motorists are often local residents who believe they “know the road” (Lothian and Borders Police, personal communication). This emphasises the importance of community consultation before schemes are introduced and regular feedback to the community after they are in place—in Scotland only around a third of
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This book is an important contribution to the field of accident and injury research. It is a book for newcomers to qualitative research and as such would be an excellent key text for teaching. The book outlines a range of qualitative research methods and builds this into an appreciation of the theoretical underpinnings that favour one method more than another. Beyond pure methods and theory, the book conveys the realities of planning, undertaking, and finishing a research project.

The initial chapter “injury and the disclosure of the truth” is particularly compelling as it guides us into the minds of those who engage in qualitative research; those seeking “the insider perspective on injury” (p xviii).

For qualitative researchers there is “no ultimate truth” (p xix) but a series of constructed relationships grounded in cultural and social phenomena.

Impressively, it addresses the issue of analyzing and writing up the research—an area often ignored in methodological texts. We are given the opportunity to look over a raw transcript and try to do so again with the use of public meetings. This section could be better ordered to promote the actual methods rather than differences in the field location and groupings of participants. It would contest his belief that content analysis can be qualitative as I cannot see how counting can be anything other than quantitative—regardless of what you are counting.

The insights into fieldwork experience are invaluable (chapter 7). Often methods books offer a systems overview without furnishing us with insights into the actual hands-on process of conducting research. Similarly the chapter on ethics, brief as it is, is an important inclusion as it is a key consideration of any research project—but particularly so with the degree of personal contact with respondents one often gets when conducting qualitative research.

Minor quibbles with the book are that it has no index; an omission diminished by the excellent glossary and appendices. Frustratingly, the bibliography is a selection of books with no index; an omission diminished by the excellent glossary and appendices.

As a newcomer to the field, I was surprised at the dearth of qualitative research conveyed in papers at the 5th World Conference on Injury Prevention and Control (Delhi, 2000). This book hopefully marks a new era in...
field, one that Jerry Moller has long called for, which will incorporate the “beliefs and behaviours of individuals and the social and cultural structure” (Moller quoted in Rothe, p. 111, and originally, Moller) into accident prevention. Without it our understanding is that of the recent; we fail to appreciate the intricate interplay of causes of unintentional accidents and injuries and in so doing, fail to make real headway to creating effective prevention strategies.
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UPDATE ON ISCAIP

From the Chair of ISCAIP

As many readers will be aware, the elections for the new ISCAIP Board were held in 2000. I feel deeply honoured to have been elected Chair and wish to thank all concerned for their support. My colleagues on the Board are an outstanding team of highly respected injury prevention professionals and I am confident that we, together with the membership, can take ISCAIP into the new century with a renewed sense of purpose and urgency.

I want especially to record my appreciation of the incalculable contribution of Fred Rivara, founding Chair of ISCAIP, in laying the foundations of the organisation and in presiding over a smooth transition to the new regime. Fred’s leadership has been truly inspirational and unlikely to be equalled in the foreseeable future. I am delighted to report that Fred has agreed to participate in ISCAIP Board discussions in his capacity as Immediate Past Chair and we look forward to his continuing involvement with the Society.

Since taking up the position, I have embarked on a steep learning curve. In its short life, ISCAIP has built up an impressive short life, ISCAIP has built up an impressive worldwide network of individual and corporate members. In the words of Fred Rivara, ISCAIP’s current Chair, “To promote a significant reduction in the number and severity of injuries to children and adolescents through international collaboration”.

While progress is difficult to measure, ISCAIP’s close identification with two key vehicles for international communication—Injury Prevention and the World Conference on Injury Prevention and Control—has been instrumental in ensuring that we are moving in the right direction. Under Barry Pless’s wise editorship, the journal is now firmly established as the leading international peer reviewed publication in the field and its profound influence on the research community and service agencies around the world is widely acknowledged. The formal separation of ISCAIP from the journal did not, happily, sever the connection between the two, and the more recent broadening of the journal to include all ages has similarly caused no discernible turbulence in that mutually beneficial relationship. The Fifth World Conference on Injury Prevention and Control in New Delhi, as always, heavily populated with ISCAIP members, some of whom attended a satellite meeting of the Society organised by our enthusiastic Asian representative. We are confident that the international organising committee for the next conference in Montreal in 2002 will be as keen as we are to continue the tradition and ensure a strong ISCAIP presence on that occasion also.

Perhaps I should try to spell out my own hopes and aspirations for ISCAIP. Our foremost strength lies in our potential to foster collaboration and I believe we should seek, through both electronic and conventional means, to develop this role further. Second, the membership of the Society represents an unsurpassed critical mass of expertise and (to use an old fashioned phrase) sapiential authority. Let’s use our collective clout to maximise the effectiveness of injury prevention policies and practices in every comer of the globe through the dissemination of research based evidence and guidelines. Finally, the Delhi conference brought home to me (and I’m sure many others) just how dramatically different are the circumstances and obstacles faced by injury prevention professionals in India and other developing countries compared with the US, Europe, or Australia. Most ISCAIP members are all to well aware of this, of course, yet how many of us have found ways of coping constructively with the implications? Dinesh Mohan has called for the institution of imaginative collaborative projects between researchers working in low income countries (containing most of the world’s population) and high income countries.1 Are injury prevention professionals ready to respond to this challenge? If so, how? Should we seek additional resources to oil the wheels of such “north-south” collaboration and, if so, where will we find them? I appeal to all readers of Injury Prevention to reflect on these questions and to try to articulate some answers. I can think of no more urgent task for ISCAIP— or indeed for the injury prevention community as a whole—in the 21st century.
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CALENDAR

10th Annual Conference on International Safe Communities
21–23 May 2001, Anchorage, Alaska, USA. The theme is Safe Work, Safe Play Around the Clock. Further information and online registration: www.alaska-ips.org or from Conference Manager, Diana Hudson, The Alaska Injury Prevention Center, PO Box 210736, Anchorage, Alaska, USA 99521-0736 (tel: +1 907 929 3919, fax: +1 907 929 3940, email: diana_hudson@hotmail.com).

International Child Passenger Safety Technical Conference
2–6 June 2001, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA. This conference will offer workshops on child passenger safety certification, boosters and belts for school age kids, legislation and advocacy, model law enforcement programs, restraint programs for children with special needs, transportation in other vehicles, and more. Professionals and volunteers from the field of transportation, engineering, public health, education, medical services, and insurance are invited to attend. Further information: International Center for Injury Prevention, www.cipsafe.org.

DETR Good Practice Conference
20–22 June 2001, Bristol, UK. The DETR, the UK government department with responsibility for road safety, is staging this conference to disseminate good practice in road safety engineering and speed management, and to launch their good practice guidelines. Further information: Kevin Clinton, RoSPA, Road Safety Dept, Edgbaston Park, 353 Bristo, Road, Birmingham B5 7ST, UK (tel: +44 (0)121 248 2125, fax: +44(0)121 248 2001).

Nordic Safe Communities Conference
21–24 August 2001, Denmark. Further information: Moa Sundeström, Karolinska Institutet, Department of Public Health Sciences, Division of Social Medicine, Norrbacka, SE-171 76 Stockholm, Sweden (tel: +46 8 517 77948, fax: +46 8 334693, email: moa.sundstrom@socmed.sll.se).

4th International PhD Course on Safety Promotion Research
17–29 October 2001, Stockholm. A course for researchers and PhD students in public health sciences and those interested in injury prevention and safety promotion research. Further information: Moa Sundström, Karolinska Institutet, Department of Public Health Sciences, Division of Social Medicine, Norrbacka, SE-171 76 Stockholm, Sweden (tel: +46 8 517 77948, fax: +46 8 334693, email: moa.sundstrom@socmed.sll.se, website: www.ki.se/phs/education).

1st International Conference on the Global Burden of Injury
30 October–3 November 2001, Stockholm. The aim of the course is to provide a general scientific platform for the understanding of global trends and international differences in injury mortality and morbidity; for PhD and postgraduate students and senior researchers. Further information: Moa Sundström, Karolinska Institutet, Department of Public Health Sciences, Division of Social Medicine, Norrbacka, SE-171 76 Stockholm, Sweden (tel: +46 8 517 77948, fax: +46 8 334693, email: moa.sundstrom@socmed.sll.se, website: www.ki.se/research/courses/postgrad_catalogue/ fall2000_en.html).

6th World Conference on Injury Prevention and Control
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