REGIONAL REPORTS

Southern Africa (and beyond) report

I am constantly aware that most of my reports selfishly concentrate on happenings in Southern Africa. Occasionally, I am able to glean the odd item on what is happening further north from news reports, what little there is on the internet, or from that outstanding monthly, “BBC Africa”. Rather than bore readers with poor excuses for this imbalance, may I rather reconfirm that I would welcome news (in any form whatsoever) related to childhood injury in Africa, and inclusion of which would allow this column to become more representative of the entire continent than it currently is. Those who are kind enough to submit news items will be personnel acknowledged.

Having got that off my chest, I am thrilled to report on a fresh and exciting injury prevention campaign that has been hatched in Uganda, thanks to both support and input of Uganda’s International agency. I am extremely grateful to Dr. Olive Kobusingye, Director of the Injury Control Centre based at Makerere Medical School in Kampala, for providing me with the following information:

“Representatives from Ethiopia, Kenya, Uganda, Zambia, Zimbabwe, South Africa, and the World Health Organization (WHO) met on December 15–17 in Entebbe, Uganda at the 1st WHO/IUCO Injury Control Centre Uganda (ICC-U) Working Group Meeting on Injury Prevention and Control in East and Southern Africa. Participants focused on the health sector issues of injury surveillance emergency medical systems, and health professional training in injury epidemiology and trauma care. A set of recommendations was formulated which has the potential to be a milestone for injury prevention in Africa. The adoption of a standardized minimum dataset for hospital based injury surveillance was discussed. A trauma registry form tested and used by the ICC-U will be presented to injury control workers in participating countries for development of a common format; it is hoped that this data set will form the core of a common trauma registry system in these countries. The single page trauma registry form includes ICD-9 categories of injury, a severity instrument (the Kampala score), victim and event information, and intentionality. Define operations for the registry have been written, and the form has already been tested in Uganda and Ethiopia. The trauma registry form is sufficient for baseline injury measurements while at the same time keeping the form short and simple enough for a range of health workers to fill it out”.

Contact details for ICC-U: Dr. Olive Kobusingye, Makerere Medical School, PO Box 7072, Kampala, Uganda (fax: +256 41 530022; e-mail: olive@imul.com).

DAVID BASS
Department of Paediatric Surgery, Red Cross War Memorial Children’s Hospital, Rondebosch 7700, South Africa

Editor’s note: While most Regional Reports have come from regular contributors—our team of Regional Editors—I am always delighted to receive contributions, regular or otherwise, from others, especially from parts of the world where we do not have Editorial Board members. Please send your contributions to the editor, Barry Pless.

Pedestrian and bicyclist safety in New York City

Pedestrian and bicyclist safety in New York City (NYC) has been in the news lately. Mayor Rudolph Giuliani has raised the ire of NYC residents by increasing the fine for jaywalking from $2 to $30, plus making a court appearance mandatory for paying for this offense. In addition, the mayor has recently announced that pedestrian barriers which separate pedestrians and vehicles at certain intersections will be kept up “indeﬁnitely”. Anyone who has walked or driven the streets of New York know that its pedestrians are among the most aggressive in the world. The scene from Midnight Cowboy in which Dustin Hoffman screams to an incensed driver, “I’m walking here. Get that attitude of the New York pedestrian, but only a little. Pedestrian and bicyclist injuries are a serious and sizeable problem in NYC city. There was a 23% increase in the number of pedestrians and bicyclists killed in motor vehicle crashes in NYC last year, from 249 in 1996 to 302 according to preliminary police statistics for 1997, 3700 hospitalizations annually, and an estimated 10,000 pedestrians struck by motor vehicles but not hospitalized. Between 1994 and 1996 pedestrian deaths due to motor vehicles declined slightly from 223 to 213. In this same period motor vehicle occupant deaths decreased more substantially from 207 to 169. Despite the progress, the pedestrian and bicyclist deaths, a study by Transportation Alternatives, a NYC watchdog group, found that most of the $400 million of New York State and NYC funds earmarked for transportation safety in the next five years will go to improve the safety of vehicle occupants rather than the safety of pedestrians and bicyclists.

From a public health perspective, enforcement of laws as well as use of physical barriers to separate pedestrians and vehicles are perfectly respectable counter measures against pedestrian injuries. Some of the uproar is because the less lethal pedesrians in the urban drama, the pedestrians and bicyclists, feel they are being unfairly and illogically singled out. And, of course, other measures could and should be taken, including enforcement of speed limits, use of speed bumps, creation of walking streets in heavily congested areas, and stricter licensing of taxi drivers. But the public ridicule that has been heaped on the Mayor is a reminder of the critical role played by the social context in which environmental and behavioral interventions are launched.

POtY E BJR
Kennedy Center, Room 920, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, 1410 Pelham Parkway South, Bronx, NY 10461, USA

British green papers highlight injury prevention

In February 1998, the British government published two green papers (consultative policy statements) for England and Scotland: Our Healthier Nation and Working Together for a Healthier Scotland. These outline a strategic approach to public health that build on earlier target setting exercises that have met with limited success.

The green papers are especially noteworthy in that the New Labour administration explicitly recognises the strong association between poverty and poor health and the need to tackle the former (as well as lifestyle and behaviour) in the context of a comprehensive health promotion strategy.

For England, 12 year targets will be set to reduce mortality and morbidity in four priority areas: heart disease and stroke, accidents, cancer, and mental health (suicide). Targets do not focus prominently (although they are not ruled out) in the Scottish paper which, in addition to the above four areas, flags up a number of others, particularly teenage pregnancy and dental health.

The green papers have been broadly welcomed by public health professionals. Disappointment has been expressed however on two main counts. First, no targets have been set to monitor progress towards reducing the widening socioeconomic inequalities in health in the UK. Second, the proposed action seems weak on specific, sustained, and adequately resourced measures designed to make a major impact on the underlying social, environmental and economic causes of ill health. Moreover, while the poorer health (including injury) record of the Scots is acknowledged, this is not backed up by a commitment to mount a proportionately more vigorous health improvement programme north of the border.

For injury prevention professionals, the statements are a mixed blessing. On the positive side, “accidents” have held their place as priority areas in both England and Scotland. Unfortunately, the writers of the green papers have clung to an outmoded and discredited terminology, have offered virtually no new ideas to address the injury problem, and have proposed targets that are likely to be met in the absence of any further policy initiatives whatsoever. Cynics might argue that therein lies the political appeal of the target setting exercise!

DAVID STONE
The PEACH Unit, Department of Child Health, Yorkhill Hospital, Glasgow G3 8SJ Scotland, UK

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Safety strategies

EDITOR.—Jan Shield is to be commended for rallying the troops in favour of “active” safety strategies, and most of her arguments in favour of education and enforcement would undoubtedly be valid in a developed country. However I would like to offer two contrasting viewpoints on the subject which are based primarily on personal observations related to the challenges of traffic safety confronting us in a cash strapped, developing country.

Firstly, in support of passive measures is the increasing strain placed on the human and financial resources essential to conceive and sustain education programmes and law enforcement, particularly in developing countries. As such, traffic calming measures are likely to be more effective than nothing—simply because there is no other affordable solution to undisciplined traffic flow on a...
particular thoroughfare. Twelve months ago, the community in which I live opted for a system of restricted entry through the suburb to reduce to number of "rat runners" speeding along a particular route during the early morning. At the time the system was put in place, law enforcement of the system was sufficiently regular to be taken for granted, and to ensure an 86% reduction in traffic flow. Then, three months ago, the traffic department underwent severe rationalisation, and all officers was axed. Now there is no enforcement of the restricted entry system and the "rat runners" are back in force. In retrospect, a passive measure such as closure of the main access road would obviously have been the better choice. In South Africa, where formal education limping along on a shoestring budget, and law enforcement (for a multitude of reasons) is virtually non-existent in some areas, the option of passive safety measures must be placed high on any agenda—certainly where traffic safety is concerned.

Against what I have argued above is a word of caution. Just as active measures may fail, so may the too hasty adoption and construction of a passive measure which is inappropriate for the identified purpose. Possibly because environmental modification may be the quickest and cheapest solution to an injury hazard—a form of instant gratification—the device too hastily chosen may fail dismally to counter that hazard simply because of a lack of adequate research into the hazard itself, or failure to consult expert opinion before firing up the cement mixer. Again, in South Africa, I notice a growing trend for traffic calming measures to be demanded by community groups, often in response to a spate of casualties in a residential area, or because a particular intersection has been identified as a "black spot". Lay people may go one step further and put pressure on a municipality to construct a specific kind of device, speed humps being particularly popular, although by no means a panacea where the hazard may be built up by a complexity of factors of which vehicle speed is only one. Also, piecemeal engineering may simply divert a hazard elsewhere so that it becomes the problem of a neighbouring suburb instead.

The most effective passive strategies may simply prevent an ad hoc solution which is too hasty to be evaluated properly. This "frying in the dyke" approach will plug the gaps later on. Resorting to an ad hoc solution reflects that town planners eschewed safety considerations from the outset and the attitude that condones such blinkered thinking must be discouraged. There is currently a backlog of over two million subsidised houses in South Africa. These can be constructed either to an inexpensive price plan which caters for a lot of accommodation, and many attendent hazards, or by careful planning that can ensure that safety features are built into the scheme as a whole, for example, sufficient recreational space and play areas, shorter streets, restricted access for through traffic, etc. In that effective, enduring passive safety measures do indeed require foresight, research, and careful consideration, these should not be either reduced to police to designated as a "cop out", or even worse, as a quick fix.

DAVID BASS
Department of Paediatric Surgery,
Red Cross War Memorial Children's Hospital,
Rondebosch 7700, South Africa
(e-mail: DMVB@hscap.nscop.gov.za)

1 Shield J. Have we become so accustomed to being passive that we've forgotten how to be active? Inj Prev 1997;3:234–4.

Challenge of drowning prevention in low and middle income countries

EDITOR,—We read the editorial on "The challenge of drowning prevention" with great interest. There is no doubt that drowning is a major but under-researched cause of premature loss of life and disability. This has been borne out by the Global Burden of Disease Study which highlights the scale of the problem, by region and by age and sex characteristics. It is worth examining their findings further.

At a worldwide level, Murray and Lopez estimated that drowning was responsible for about half a million deaths in 1990 and ranked 20th in the list of leading causes of mortality, after road traffic accidents (9th), self inflicted injuries (12th), and violence (17th) as the other injury related causes. Mortality rates from drowning were highest for children under 5 in China, followed by countries belonging to the "other Asia and islands" region, and sub-Saharan Africa, with the lowest rates in the "established market economies" (EME). In this large group, the mortality rate ratio between China and the EME was 13:1 in boys and 22:1 in girls.

The large degree of variation between the different regions in the study must belie an even greater variation, both between and within countries, given the different geography and populations. There is great diversity in the circumstances in which drowning occurs in these different areas. Whereas swimming pools, sailing, and water skiing may be priority areas in the EME, in low income countries attention must be paid to drowning in streams, wells, dams, cisterns, and while fishing. Clearly there are a huge range of different environmental and behavioural circumstances. The obvious intervention to keep the child who cannot swim away from water must have a different interpretation in the different regions. Although swimming pools could be fenced in EME countries, the fencing of waterways would be impractical in countries where this runs into thousands of kilometres.

This is not to say that there are no common approaches. As the editorial rightly points out, education about water safety, the provision of supervision, and training in resuscitation are essential first steps which could be applied globally. Researchers also need to study the circumstances under which drowning occurs and the first aid and health care response, within countries and cross nationally. Data on good practice need to be collated so that appropriate interventions which are transferable to other low and middle income countries can be easily identified. Whatever the findings, there is an urgent need to get drowning higher on the agenda for policy makers and researchers.

The Global Burden of Disease Study used the eight global regions identified by the World Bank for the World Development Report 1993 with similar levels of socioeconomic development, epidemiological homogeneity, and geographical contiguity: the EME, former socialist economies of Europe, India, China, other Asia and islands, sub-Saharan Africa, Latin America and the Caribbean, and the Middle East crescent (which includes North Africa, the Middle East, Pakistan, and the Central Asian republics of the former Soviet Union).

DINESH SETHI
ANTHONY ZWI
Health Policy Unit,
London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine,
Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT, UK


Injuries in less industrialised countries

EDITOR,—I read with interest the report by Mohan published in December. I agree that "Priorities for injury control have to be based on intelligent assessments of official statistics. This is what prevented me from paying attention to the improper use of the word "rate" as presented in the second paragraph, where the author writes "...the rate in India (8.6) is..." in reference to table 1 "Distribution of deaths as a percentage of regional total".

Rates and proportions (expressed as percentages) are different. A rate is the ratio of two different quantities (generally symbolised by the equation a/b) while a proportion is the result of dividing two quantities where the numerator forms part of the denominator (symbolised by the equation a/(a+b)). A proportion multiplied by 100 is a percentage.

Rates and proportions are not synonyms. It seems to me also that the author meant to say "percentage" and not "rate". This mistake could confuse those beginning in the field of epidemiology, prompting them to think that "percentage" and "rate" are synonymous. This is not the case.

ALFREDO CELIS
Public Health Department, CUCS,
University of Guadalajara,
Sierra Mojada 8950,
Colonia Independencia, Coyoacan,
44242, Guadalajara,
Jalisco, Mexico


BOOK REVIEW


In Target Risk, Professor Gerald Wilde of Queen's University in Ontario, Canada assembles an impressive body of theory and evidence to support a provocative conclusion: the only effective strategy for achieving substantial and durable reductions in the rate of injury in a population is to increase people's desire to be safe and healthy. Traditional measures of injury prevention—engineering, education, and enforcement—are doomed to failure because they do not alter the "target levels of risk" that govern risk taking behaviors. The process of "risk homeostasis" will ultimately undermine all non-motivational countermeasures, since people will alter behaviors to achieve an equilibrium between the overall amount of risk they perceive and their overall desired level of risk. The key to success, Wilde argues, is "expectationism": promoting people's interest in their future wellbeing in order to motivate adoption of smaller risk targets.

DAVID BASS
Department of Paediatric Surgery,
Red Cross War Memorial Children's Hospital,
Rondebosch 7700, South Africa
(e-mail: DMVB@hscap.nscop.gov.za)
Wilde is not arguing that people enjoy or seek risk of injury. Like behavioral decision analysts and economists, he postulates that people select or accept risk targets in order to achieve other desired ends in life. When safer highways are built, drivers trade some or all of the extra safety for faster travel speeds and more relaxation (and inattention) in driving. When road conditions deteriorate (due to ice or fog), people sense elevation in risk and respond by slowing down and driving with more caution. Use of variations on this adjustment theme, Wilde challenges the effectiveness of most mainstream injury prevention measures: seat belt laws, antilock brakes, traffic lights, driver training/education, crackdowns on speeding and driving, highway design improvements, motorcycle helmet laws, you name it! Even more provocatively, Wilde hints that any long term progress that might be made in fatal injury could be offset by increases in the risk of fatal diseases (since people’s overall risk target is maintained).

Technical specialists will certainly find fault with Professor Wilde’s handling of a variety of empirical questions. For example, I thought his discussion of the association between the business cycle and injury frequencies was fair and insightful, yet his assessment of the effectiveness of safety belts was highly selective, one sided, and arguably deceptive. Professor Wilde also has a tendency to see homeostatic explanations behind all empirical anomalies. Again, on safety belt use laws, Wilde notes that if belts are 50% effective in saving lives, and if belt use rates increased 50 percentage points following laws, why didn’t laws cause an immediate 25% decline in occupied fatality counts? (Wilde is correct that few jurisdictions have experienced 25% reductions in fatalities after belt laws.) Aha, Wilde asserts, maybe drivers offset the benefit of the safety belts by taking more risks. Some alternative explanations that Wilde ignores are (a) the mix of drivers (e.g., car drivers, truck drivers, drunk and young males) may be least likely to comply with the law, (b) the 50% increase in use is an exaggeration, and even (c) the 50% effectiveness number may be biased upward (since thought belts might be 60–90% effective).

Yet I would urge specialists to overlook Wilde’s handling of detailed technical matters because such focused emphasis might cause the reader to shortchange Wilde’s overall message. It is a message that the field of injury prevention needs to hear. We spend remarkably little effort on bottom-up approaches to motivating safety (for example, incentives) and inordinate resources on top-down measures aimed at protecting people from their folly (for example, helmet laws and speeding controls). A deeper understanding of the motivational barriers experienced to frustrate injury prevention measures is critical to the advancement of our field. Professor Wilde makes a lasting contribution by shedding some light on this neglected area.

This book has a length of 234 pages. It is comprehensive in topic coverage. The topics are as follows: the concept of homeostasis, compact theory of risk taking, theory of risk homeostasis, and individual differences, and motivation for safety and health.

JOHN D GRAHAM
Harvard School of Public Health
Boston, USA

PEDNET

One of the strengths of the pedestrian e-mail network, PEDNET, was its diverse background of the participants. Last month, a physicist, Alan Streeter (ads4@lehigh.edu), used his analytical skills to examine how major newspapers covered 42 motor vehicle pedestrian deaths. He termed his analysis "quick and dirty" but it provides insight into the quality of coverage. He categorized the wording in the reports into neutral, slightly biased against the pedestrians (for example, pointing out twice that it was dark or that the pedestrian was not on a crosswalk), or very biased against pedestrians (for example, "darted out", "ran out into traffic", etc.). He found the wording was mostly neutral in 26 out of 42 (62%), partially biased in five cases (12%), and clearly biased in 10 cases (24%). In six cases (14%) the report provided additional wording to excuse the driver, such as "it was raining and hard to see". There were no cases in which wording appeared to exonerate the pedestrian in any way.

Alan had a disturbing observation—that newspaper reporters obtain their understanding of the fatality from police reports. He sees the need for a more careful analysis of biased language in newspaper coverage and, perhaps more importantly, police reports. The consequences of this bias may be more than just public perception; this bias may also jeopardize the prosecution of dangerous drivers. He also recommended comparing interregional and international differences in bias. He also reported the coverage of charges filed. A driver was reported to be charged in only one case out of 42 (2%). In all other cases (98%) the police apparently did not even issue a traffic ticket to the driver at the scene of the crash or shortly thereafter. In six cases, the crash was reported to be still under investigation, implying there is still a chance that some of these drivers might be charged later. Two cases were hit and run, and in one case the driver died. This analysis closely matches Amy Lightstone's recent analysis of drivers who kill child pedestrians. She found that 214 out of 237 drivers were not cited (90%). Can something be done to change this obviously dangerous situation?

Again, the diversity of PEDNET participants provides insight into addressing driver behavior. Osias Baptista Neto (techtran@ouro.alcance.com.br) reported that Brazil has reduced casualties dramatically after a change in traffic law at the beginning of the year. The new laws recognize that vehicular homicide may be unintentional but none the less results from risky behavior. Killing another person in a traffic crash results in imprisonment for two to four years, and a suspension or revocation of the driving license. It increases the penalty by half for striking a pedestrian in a crosswalk or on the sidewalk (pavement). He reports that preliminary data showed a 70% drop in casualties in the major cities like Sao Paulo, Belo Horizonte, and Curitiba. His report illustrates the benefits of global comparisons of injury control efforts. He notes that this connects to the English speaking world, but extra effort is required to reach beyond the barrier of differing language.

The barrier is especially significant with legal terms and concepts. However difficult to analyze, injury prevention specialists need to examine international differences in how legal systems treat motor vehicle injuries.

PETER JACOBSEN
PO Box 712433,
Los Angeles,
CA 90071-7433, USA

Correction

We regret that a production error occurred in the March issue; this resulted in three addresses being omitted. The missing addresses are as follows:

- Charles Larson (Going international: what are the implications? p 4), Pediatrics
- Robert W Platt (ANOVA, t tests, and linear regression, p 52), McGill University/Children's Hospital, 2300 Tupper, Montreal, Quebec H3H 1P3, Canada
- Chester S Jones (Children and personal watercraft: injury characteristics and potential countermeasures, p 61), Health Sciences, University of Arkansas, 308 HPER Building, Fayetteville, AR 72701, USA.

CALENDAR

18–22 October 1998. Fourth International Symposium: Rural Health And Safety In A Changing World, Delta Bessborough Hotel, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada. Organized by the Centre for Agricultural Medicine, University of Saskatchewan in cooperation with the Canadian Coalition for Agricultural Safety and Rural Health, Committee on Occupational Health in Agriculture of the International Commission on Occupational Health and others. This conference seeks to capture the emerging science of health, safety, and sustainability in agriculture and rural life, and to probe beyond these issues to address the thriving and survival issues of the future. In addition to abstracts from scientists, health care workers and others, abstracts are also invited from rural people with views on this topic. Further details: Fourth International Symposium: Rural Health and Safety in a Changing World, Centre for Agricultural Medicine, RUH, PO Box 120, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan S7N 0W8, Canada (e-mail: symposium98@usask.ca, web site: www.usask.ca/medicine/agmedicine/sym98.html).

Notice to authors: a new section

Brief reports

All editors would like to publish as many worthy papers as possible, with minimal delay. To facilitate this, in future, the journal will include a section entitled "Brief reports". These will be peer reviewed and when approved, publication will be expedited.

Please note: papers being submitted for this section should not exceed 1500 words.