ORIGINAL ARTICLES

Home drowning among preschool age Mexican children

Alfredo Celis

Abstract

Objectives—To estimate the risk of drowning by different bodies of water in and near the home for children aged 1 to 4 years.

Setting—The Metropolitan Area of Guadalajara, Mexico.

Methods—A population case-control study. Cases (n=33) were children 1 to 4 years old who drowned at their home; controls (n=200) were a random sample of the general population.

Results—The risk of drowning for children whose parents reported having a water well at home was almost seven times that of children in homes without a water well (adjusted odds ratio (OR)=6.8, 95% confidence interval (CI)=2.2 to 20.5). Risk ratio estimates for other bodies of water were: swimming pools (OR=5.8, 95% CI=0.9 to 37.5), water barrel (OR=2.4, 95% CI=1.0 to 5.6), underground cistern (OR=2.1, 95% CI=0.8 to 5.2), and a basin front (courtyard pool to store water) of 35 or more litres (OR=1.8, 95% CI=0.8 to 4.4).

Conclusion—Drowning at home is frequent in the Metropolitan Area of Guadalajara, but the causes are different from those reported in developed countries. Accordingly, the preventive strategies must also be different.

(*Injury Prevention* 1997; 3: 252–256)

Keywords: drowning; home injuries; Mexico; risk factors.

Due to its high incidence in many places around the world, drowning is an important public health issue. It is often most frequent in the 1 to 4 year old group^{1–6} where annual rates are from 5/100 000 to 13/100 000.^{7,8} As with many other kinds of injury, males are at higher risk.^{9,10} In Mexico, from 1985 to 1990, 17 092 deaths by injury occurred among children aged 1 to 4 years. Of those 3408 events (19.9%) were drownings (International Classification of Diseases E910), placing it first in the mortality list.¹¹ As well as the high frequency of these events, another important aspect is that 63.1% happen at home.¹²

The Metropolitan Area of Guadalajara includes approximately three million inhabitants. This population is located 1500 meters above sea level. Although deposits of under-

ground water exist, these are insufficient to satisfy the needs of the population. The most important source is the Lake of Chapala, about 50 kilometres away, from which water is pumped. However, cuts are frequent, particularly in the 'dry' season (approximately four months every year), during which the rains diminish and the natural deposits of water diminish. Because of this many people store water in wells, barrels, tubs, pails, and underground cisterns ('aljibes'; see figure). Due to economic conditions, in this region houses with swimming pools or bathtubs are scarce.

Several studies show that almost any body of water at home poses a risk for drowning. Those most frequently reported are swimming pools, bathtubs, and buckets.^{8,13–18} However, most of these studies come from developed countries whose economic conditions are very different from Mexico. Particularly in the Metropolitan Area of Guadalajara (the second main urban concentration in Mexico) it has been observed that approximately 60% of drowning in children aged 1 to 4 years occurred in underground cisterns or aljibes.¹² Other bodies of water associated with drowning in this age group include water wells or draw wells (17.6%), tubs or buckets (11.3%), swimming pools (4.4%), and basin fronts (courtyard pools to store water) (4.4%) (A Celis, unpublished data). None of these has been evaluated as a risk factor in these localities and some have never been reported in the international literature.

Accordingly, the aim of this study was to estimate the relative risk for drowning in the 1 to 4 year age group, presented by different bodies of water found in or near Mexican homes.

Methods

A case-control study was conducted. Cases were children 1 to 4 years of age who were inhabitants of the counties that compose the Metropolitan Area of Guadalajara (Guadalajara, Zapopan, Tonalá, and Tlaquepaque) and who were fatally drowned at their homes between 1991 and 1993. All cases were identified from death certificates provided by the statistics office of the Jalisco State Public Health Department. Additional information was obtained by interview with the mother. The date of death was taken as the reference date, and all other information was related to this date.

Controls were children randomly selected from among the urban residents living in the

Sierra Mojado #950,
Colonia Inderendecia
Centro Medico,
44340, Guadalajara,
Jalisco, Mexico

Correspondence to:
Dr Celis.

An aljibe or underground cistern.

Metropolitan Area of Guadalajara, matched by sex and age at the time of the reference date of the case (for example, if the case was 2 years old on the date of the drowning, the control had to be between his or her 2nd and 3rd birthday). For this random selection we used the Metropolitan Area of Guadalajara land register charts by means of the following algorithm in which the house selection was made in two stages: (a) selection of the block and (b) selection of the home. By using the codes contained in the land register, the block was chosen with the aid of randomly selected numbers that pinpoint the land register area, the block, and the sidewalk. From there, all houses in that side of the block were numbered and one was selected randomly.

At each selected home we proceeded as follows: after the presentation and before the initiation of the questionnaire, the interviewer asked if there was another child of the same sex and age living there at the time of death of the case. If not, the next home to the right was chosen, or, in the case of an apartment building, the next greater number was used, until an eligible control was identified.

Interviews were conducted by eight social workers trained in the use of a standardized questionnaire. In all but two interviews the data were obtained directly from the mother of the study child. For the cases, all questions were posed with reference to the date the drowning occurred. For the controls, the questions were made using the reference date, as above.

The variables investigated included characteristics of the child (sex and age); the mother (age, marital status, occupation, education); the head of the household (age, sex, occupation, education); the family (monthly family income, number of members of the family); the household (walls, property, type, construction); and bodies of water (underground cistern, bathtub, buckets and/or tubs, barrels

of water, basin front with 35 or more litres, water well, swimming pool). Families of the cases were also asked to describe how the drowning occurred.

Three bodies of water need explanation. Underground cisterns are shaped like a room, with walls, roof, and floor made of concrete. They have a small opening (about 50 × 50 cm) at the top, which is at the same level as the floor of the house. Their capacity varies from 500 to 1000 litres. By barrel we mean a container made of steel, about 200 litres in capacity, into which many liquid substances are delivered to industries (oil, gasoline, etc). In poor areas they are popular. The cover is removed and water is stored in them. Basin fronts (previously explained) are found in every house, but the largest are found in old houses. The water capacity varies, but can reach 200 litres or more. Basin fronts are usually used to wash clothes at home.

Cases were compared with controls to estimate the relative risk of drowning by each body of water. Matched and unmatched analyses were performed and the results compared. Because they were similar, only unmatched results are presented. The odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were computed for each risk factor using logistic regression¹⁹ except for two variables that had three categories of outcome. For these, the Mantel-Haenzel procedure was used and the 95% CI was calculated by the exact method.

Stratified analyses were used to control for confounding by covariates and to assess interactions. The confounding effects of education (schooling of the head of the household) and brick as the main wall building material (associated with socioeconomic status) were both adjusted. After these were in the model, no further adjustment was necessary; nevertheless, the mother's education was included when examining the effect of the education of the head of the household as a risk factor for drowning. Goodness of fit was assessed graphically by delta-beta values, which are an approximation of the amount that an estimated regression parameter would change if a given observation were omitted from the regression fit.²⁰

Results

From January 1991 to December 1993, 65 home drownings were identified. Data were obtained from 33 cases: of the remainder nine were excluded because the event did not occur

Table 1 Bodies of water at home in which the child fell

Bodies of water	Frequency (%)
Aljibe (underground cistern)	13 (39.4)
Bucket/tub	7 (21.2)
Water well	5 (15.2)
Barrel	2 (6.1)
Pot	2 (6.1)
Bathtub	1 (3.0)
Puddle	1 (3.0)
Swimming pool	1 (3.0)
Basin front	1 (3.0)

in the home; nine could not be located because the family moved; one could not be followed up after three home visits; and 13 were not located due to wrong addresses. Thus, ap-

proximately 60% of the cases eligible for the study provided data for the analysis. Using the neighbourhood as an indicator of socioeconomic status, it appears socioeconomic status did not differ between missing and study cases.

All cases were compared with 200 controls. In general, the proportion of non-response was less than 10%, but this differed according to social group: in neighbourhoods of high socioeconomic status the rate of non-response was greater than in those of lower socioeconomic status. Unfortunately, the questionnaires were not designed to register this non-response data.

The places where drownings most often took place are shown in table 1: they include underground cisterns (39.4%), buckets/tubs (21.2%), and wells (15.2%).

Crude and adjusted analysis of the mother's family's head, and household characteristics for cases and controls are compared in tables 2 and 3. The mothers of cases were more likely to be young (adolescents), to live as a couple with someone but unmarried, without paid employment, and were less well educated. Similarly, the family heads of the cases were more likely to be young and with only primary school education. Case families reported lower family income, more family members, and more often lived in houses under construction than controls.

The crude and adjusted risk factors for drowning by different bodies of water are presented in table 4. The presence of wells was the strongest risk factor, with almost six times the risk (OR 5.9; 95% CI 2.2 to 15.4) for those who did not have a well. The second strongest association was with swimming pools (OR 5.8; 95% CI 0.9 to 37.5). Weaker associations were found with barrels of water (OR 2.4; 95% CI 1.0 to 5.6) and underground cisterns (OR 2.1; 95% CI 0.8 to 5.2), while bathtubs, buckets/tubs, and basin fronts did not show any significant association.

From table 4 we can also estimate the prevalence of different bodies of water found in Guadalajara. As we see from the controls 53.5% of households have underground cisterns, 12.6% have a bathtub, 74.0% a bucket/tub of 20 or more litres, 20% a barrel of water, 30% a basin front of 35 or more litres, 6.0% a well, and 2.0% a swimming pool. The prevalence of all bodies of water except bathtubs and buckets/tubs were higher in case households: underground cisterns in 60.6%, bathtub in 12.1%, buckets/tubs in 66.7%, barrel of water in 48.5%, water well in 27.3%, and swimming pool in 6.1%.

Discussion

This study identifies different bodies of water, some not reported previously, in which children 1 to 4 years old drown at home. It provides estimates of the association between drowning and the presence of these deposits. Several characteristics of the home revealed in this study need to be considered when formulating preventive measures to diminish the frequency of this public health problem.

The study shows that almost any water

Table 2 Characteristics of the mother and head of the family: cases and controls

	Cases	Controls	OR crude	95% CI*	OR adjusted†	95% CI*
Characteristics of the mother						
Age (years)						
<20	4	8	3.6	1.0 to 12.6	1.2	0.2 to 6.3
≥20	27	192	1.0			
Marital status						
Unmarried	5	14	2.5	0.8 to 7.6	1.2	0.3 to 4.3
Married	26	184	1.0			
Employment						
Not working	23	142	1.2	0.5 to 2.8	1.4	0.5 to 4.0
Working	8	58	1.0			
Schooling						
Elementary incomplete	14	43	3.0	1.4 to 6.6	1.4	0.5 to 3.8
Elementary completed	17	157	1.0			
Characteristics of the head of the family						
Age (years)						
<25	8	26	2.3	0.9 to 5.7	2.2	0.8 to 6.4
≥25	23	174	1.0			
Schooling						
Elementary incomplete	16	33	5.4	2.4 to 11.9	5.1‡	2.0 to 1.32
Elementary completed	15	166	1.0			

*CI by $e^{\beta \pm 1.96(se(\beta))}$.
†Adjusted for schooling of the head of the family and walls of the house.
‡Adjusted for schooling of mother and the walls of the house.

Table 3 Characteristics of the family and home cases and controls

	Cases	Controls	OR crude	95% CI*	OR adjusted†	95% CI*
Characteristics of the family						
Monthly family income (US\$)						
<315	13	66	2.0	0.7 to 5.9‡	1.2	0.3 to 4.8‡
315-524	12	58	2.1	0.7 to 6.3‡	1.5	0.4 to 5.7‡
≥525	7	70	1.0		1.0	
No of inhabitants						
≤3	4	42	1.0		1.0	
4-6	19	112	1.8	0.5 to 7.6‡	1.9	0.5 to 11.0‡
≥7	9	46	2.0	0.5 to 9.8‡	0.5	0.1 to 4.5‡
Characteristics of the home						
Materials of the walls						
Brick	24	192	0.1	0.03 to 0.3	0.1§	0.02 to 0.3
Other	7	5	1.0			
Home property						
Owned	18	127	0.7	0.3 to 1.6	1.2	0.5 to 3.2
Rented	14	73	1.0			
Home under construction						
Yes	12	44	1.9	0.9 to 4.2	1.2	0.5 to 3.0
No	20	141	1.0			

*CI by $e^{\beta \pm 1.96(se(\beta))}$.
†Adjusted for schooling of the head of the family and walls of the house.
‡CI by exact calculation.
§Adjusted for schooling of the head of the family.

Table 4 Characteristics of the bodies of water: cases and controls

	Cases	Controls	OR crude	95% CI*	OR adjusted†	95% CI*
Aljibe (underground cistern)						
Yes	20	107	1.3	0.6 to 2.8	2.1	0.8 to 5.2
No	13	93	1.0			
Bathtub						
Yes	4	25	1.0	0.3 to 2.9	0.7	0.2 to 2.9
No	29	173	1.0			
Bucket/tub 20 or more litres						
Yes	22	148	0.7	0.3 to 1.5	0.6	0.2 to 1.5
No	11	52				
Barrel of water						
Yes	16	53	2.6	1.2 to 5.5	2.4	1.0 to 5.6
No	17	147	1.0			
Basin front 35 or more litres						
Yes	16	60	2.2	1.0 to 4.6	1.8	0.8 to 4.4
No	17	140	1.0			
Water well						
Yes	9	12	5.9	2.2 to 15.4	6.8	2.2 to 20.5
No	24	188	1.0			
Swimming pool						
Yes	2	4	3.1	0.6 to 17.9	5.8	0.9 to 37.5
No	31	195	1.0			

*CI by $e^{\beta \pm 1.96(se(\beta))}$.
†Adjusted for schooling of the head of the family and walls of the house.

deposit represents a risk factor for drowning among preschool age children. Due to their frequency or strong association, several demand special attention, such as wells and residential swimming pools. However, because these are scarce in Guadalajara this explains why only six children drowned in them. A less strong association was found with barrels, large basin fronts, and underground cisterns. The latter are popular in Guadalajara and are where most children drown. Because of their high prevalence among controls, however, the association is not as strong as that for wells. Nevertheless, the number of casualties are large enough to warrant a study to identify which part of the cisterns is related to these drownings.

These data do not allow us to measure the drowning risk that buckets and tubs represent. Jumberlic and Chambliss suggested that buckets with a capacity of 20 or more litres represent a major risk,¹⁶ while our results suggest that buckets of this size could represent the same risk as those with a smaller capacity. Without affecting their functional usefulness, such buckets could be designed with an unstable base so that when a child falls into one, the bucket tips easily, allowing the water to spill.

Our results also show that asphyxia by immersion in the home is strongly associated with the socioeconomic conditions of the family. Although family income does not show an important association, the educational level of the head of the household and the characteristics of the home (that also indicate social status) stand out as risk factors. In Guadalajara, families of very low socioeconomic status use material other than brick (or other stone-like materials) for home construction. It is of particular importance to highlight that this characteristic is a proxy that may allow us to identify groups with an increased risk of drowning.

Several limitations require consideration. Not being able to locate some cases influences the results. We believe, however, that this results in a bias toward the null. A previous study in the same city found that 56.1% of drownings at home happened in underground cisterns,¹² while in this study only 39.4% of cases reported being drowned in an aljibe. It seems that families of cases that drowned in underground cisterns change their address more frequently than controls. This would bias our results toward the null hypothesis, because the frequency in which an aljibe is reported for a case would approach that of controls. We do not have previous reports for other bodies of water, but it is reasonable to assume that if families move because they do not wish to live in a place where their child drowned, all other risk estimates will also tend to the null.

A second limitation is that the data collected were based on the informant's memory. It could be that mothers of cases remember more about water systems at home than mothers of controls. However, almost all bodies of water can be observed, and their presence and

characteristics remain for a long time. On the other hand, the absence of previous home drowning studies prompt us to assume that recall bias would be small.

Controlling for confounding could be incomplete. Before this study there were no reports to guide the associations being examined. Possible confounding factors such as age, sex, and indicators of social status have been reported in relation to other injury deaths. Cases and controls were matched by age and sex, and the results show that these did not have a confounding affect. Correlates of social condition were used to control for their obvious effects in the statistical analysis.

Finally, although it was impossible to prevent the interviewers from knowing the case or control status or the subjects interviewed, and this could introduce an information bias, to diminish this we used a standardized questionnaire and trained interviewers.

The study also has several advantages that merit attention. The control group was randomly selected from the community, thus enabling us to estimate the prevalence of bodies of water at home and relative risks for drowning that have not been reported previously. Moreover, the cases and controls were population based and this diminishes the probability of selection bias. As the case and control interviews were identical, the risk of differential misclassification is lessened.

Implications for prevention

This investigation shows that the problem of drowning at home by 1 to 4 year old children in the Metropolitan Area of Guadalajara, although occurring with the same frequency as reported by other investigators, involves different aetiological characteristics than those reported previously in developed countries. Accordingly, the preventive strategies for Guadalajara must also be different. The characteristics of bodies of water not reported previously, and their relation with drowning, should be studied in depth.

- 1 Fingerhut LA, Kleinman JC, Malloy MH, Feldman JJ. Injury fatalities among young children. *Public Health Rep* 1988; 103: 399–405.
- 2 Barell V, Zadka P, Halperin B, Sidransky E. Childhood mortality from accidents in Israel, 1980–84. *Isr J Med Sci* 1990; 26: 150–7.
- 3 Keeling JW, Golding J, Millier HKGR. Non-natural deaths in two health districts. *Arch Dis Child* 1985; 60: 525–9.
- 4 Knobel GJ, de Villiers JC, Parry CD, Bith JL. The causes of non-natural deaths in children over a 15-year period in greater Cape Town. *S Afr Med J* 1984; 66: 795–801.
- 5 De Mello MH. Mortes violentas em menores de 15 años no Brasil. *Bol Oficina Sanit Panam* 1986; 100: 590–603.
- 6 Información Estadística Sector Salud y Seguridad Social. Mexico: Instituto Nacional de Geografía Estadística e Informática, 1989.
- 7 Division of Injury Control, CDC. Childhood injuries in the United States. *Am J Dis Child* 1990; 144: 627–46.
- 8 Pearn RH, Wong RYK, Brown III J, Ching Y, Bart R, Hammar S. Drowning and near-drowning involving children: a five-year total population study from the city and county of Honolulu. *Am J Public Health* 1979; 69: 450–4.
- 9 Dietz PE, Baker SP. Drowning. Epidemiology and prevention. *Am J Public Health* 1974; 64: 303–12.
- 10 Mizuta R, Fujita H, Osamura T, Kidowaki T, Kiyosawa N. Childhood drownings and near-drownings in Japan. *Acta Paediatr Jpn* 1993; 35: 186–92.
- 11 Bases de datos de certificados de defunción. Mexico 1985–1990. INEGI-Secretaría de Salud.

- 12 Celis A. Asfixia por inmersión en Jalisco, 1983–1989. *Salud Publica Mex* 1991; 33: 585–9.
- 13 Budnick LD, Ross DA. Bathtub-related drowning in the United States, 1979–81. *Am J Public Health* 1985; 75: 630–3.
- 14 O'Carroll PW, Alkon E, Weiss B. Drowning mortality in Los Angeles County, 1976 to 1984. *JAMA* 1988; 260: 380–3.
- 15 Pearn J, Nixon J, Wilkey I. Freshwater drowning and near-drowning accidents involving children. A five-year total population study. *Med J Aust* 1976; ii: 942–6.
- 16 Jumberlic MI, Chambliss M. Accidental toddler drowning in 5-gallon buckets. *JAMA* 1990; 263: 1952–3.
- 17 Gardiner SD, Smeeton WM, Koelmeyer TD, Cairns FJ. Accidental drowning in Auckland children. *NZ Med J* 1985; 98: 579–82.
- 18 Mann MC, Weller SC, Rauchshwalbe R. Bucket-related drownings in the United States, 1984 through 1990. *Pediatrics* 1992; 89: 1068–71.
- 19 Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S. *Applied logistic regression*. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1989.
- 20 EGRET. *Reference manual*. Seattle, WA: SERC, 1992.

A BOY DROWNING.

Hundreds of poor boys are drowned every year from not being sensible of the danger of water. They go into ponds and rivers without knowing their depth, and by one fatal step they sink, never to rise again. Boys should never bathe but in baths made for the purpose, or should be attended by those who can help them if necessary. Here we have a picture of two little boys who went out one afternoon to bathe: one of them ventured out too far, and we see him on the point of sinking, extending his arms towards his brother, in hopes of being rescued from a watery grave. What sorrow rests upon his countenance, as he thinks of loving parents, kind friends, and affectionate brothers and sisters! But we hope he will be saved, and prove a useful member of society. Ah! a helping hand is near! The strange position of his brother attracted the notice of a benevolent stranger, who rushed into the water and saved the drowning boy, at the imminent risk of his own life.

From *The Book of Accidents; Designed for Young Children*,
New Haven, 1830.