

Figure S1 A typical wooden Nepali home in rural area of Nepal

Figure taken by an author with the permission of household member to use in publication

Table S1 Univariable logistic-regression results for the association between any injury and potential confounding variables

Potential confounding variables	No injury N = 800 n (%)	Any injury	Adjusted for clustering at household level		
		N = 233 n (%)	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P-value	Wald test P value
Siblings under 18 years					
0 siblings	88 (79.3)	23 (20.7)			
1-4 siblings	635 (77.5)	184 (22.5)	1.11 (0.68, 1.80)	0.678	0.713
> 4 siblings	77 (74.8)	26 (25.2)	1.29 (0.69, 2.42)	0.424	
Caregiver's age (years)		<u>.</u>		•	
≤ 30	376 (76.0)	119 (24.0)			0.265
> 30	424 (78.8)	114 (21.2)	0.85 (0.64, 1.13)	0.265	0.265
Caregiver's education level	1	•	-	N.	1
Not literate	334 (74.1)	117 (25.9)			
Reading & writing ability	181 (75.7)	58 (24.3)	0.94 (0.64, 1.31)	0.626	0.007
School education	285 (83.1)	58 (16.9)	0.58 (0.41, 0.82)	0.002	
Caregiver's occupation	1			ı	1
Employed/able to work	622 (77.1)	185 (22.9)			
Unemployed/unable to work	178 (78.8)	48 (21.2)	0.91 (0.64, 1.28)	0.577	0.577
Family size			, , , ,	1	
≤ 4 people (small)	135 (75.8)	43 (24.2)	·		
5-8 people (medium)	462 (76.6)	141 (23.4)	0.96 (0.65, 1.42)	0.830	0.368
> 8 people (large)	203 (80.6)	49 (19.4)	0.76 (0.48, 1.20)	0.234	
Family members over 18 years o	of age	. /	, , ,	I	
≤ 2 people	304 (74.0)	107 (26.0)			
> 2 people	496 (79.7)	126 (20.3)	0.72 (0.54, 0.96)	0.027	0.027
Ethnic groups*			* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
Underprivileged	629 (78.7)	170 (21.3)			
Privileged	171 (73.1)	63 (26.9)	1.36 (0.97, 1.92)	0.076	0.076
Household income per month in	2 quantiles (NR	s.)		1	
Q 1 (NRs. 1000-10,000)	431 (77.9)	122 (22.1)			
Q 2 (NRs. 1000-1,40,000)	369 (76.9)	111 (23.1)	1.06 (0.80, 1.42)	0.678	0.678
No. of floors in the house				I	
1-2	536 (78.6)	146 (21.4)			
3	264 (75.2)	87 (24.8)	1.21 (0.90, 1.63)	0.214	0.214
House ownership			* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
Owner-occupied	770 (78.2)	215 (21.8)			
Rented	30 (62.5)	18 (37.5)	2.15 (1.17, 3.64)	0.013	0.013
House age			* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
≤ 20 years	577 (78.0)	163 (22.0)			
> 20 years	223 (76.1)	70 (23.9)	1.11 (0.82, 1.51)	0.504	0.504
No. of rooms	\ \ \ \ /	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	, . ,		
1-3	583 (78.0)	164 (22.0)			
	\/	(-/	Į.		0.447

Table S2 Univariable logistic-regression results for the association between fall-related injury and potential confounding variables

Potential	No fall	Fall		clustering at household level		
confounding variables	N = 944 n (%)	N = 89 n (%)	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P-value	Wald test P value	
Siblings under 18 years of age						
0 siblings	101 (91.0)	10 (9.0)				
1-4 siblings	748 (91.3)	71 (8.7)	0.96 (0.48, 1.92)	0.905	0.950	
> 4 siblings	95 (92.2)	8 (7.8)	0.85 (0.31, 2.37)	0.757		
Caregiver's age (years)						
≤ 30	448 (90.5)	47 (9.5)			0.242	
> 30	496 (92.2)	42 (7.8)	0.81 (0.52, 1.26)	0.343	0.343	
Caregiver's education level		•		•	•	
Not literate	412 (91.4)	39 (8.6)				
Reading & writing ability	215 (90.0)	24 (10.0)	1.18 (0.68, 2.05)	0.560	0.590	
School education	317 (92.4)	26 (7.6)	0.87 (0.52, 1.45)	0.588		
*Caregiver's occupation	•	· ·			•	
Employed/able to work	728 (90.2)	79 (9.8)			0.012	
Unemployed/unable to work	216 (95.6)	10 (4.4)	0.43 (0.22, 0.83)	0.013	0.013	
Family size					1	
≤ 4 people (small)	157 (88.2)	21 (11.8)				
5-8 people (medium)	549 (91.0)	54 (9.0)	0.74 (0.42, 1.28)	0.247	0.076	
> 8 people (large)	238 (94.4)	14 (5.6)	0.44 (0.22, 0.90)	0.024		
Family member over 18 years	of age	1	'	I		
≤ 2 people	370 (90.0)	41 (10.0)			0.046	
> 2 people	574 (92.3)	48 (7.7)	0.75 (0.48, 1.18)	0.216	0.216	
Ethnic group	, , ,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Underprivileged	744 (93.1)	55 (6.9)				
Privileged	200 (85.5)	34 (14.5)	2.30 (1.43, 3.69)	0.001	0.001	
Household income per month	in 2 quantiles (NRs	i.)			1	
Q 1 (NRs. 1000-10,000)	512 (92.6)	41 (7.4)				
Q 2 (NRs. 1000-1,40,000)	432 (90.0)	48 (10.0)	1.39 (0.89, 2.16)	0.148	0.148	
No. of floors in the house	/		1		1	
1-2	633 (92.8)	49 (7.2)				
3	311 (88.6)	40 (11.4)	1.66 (1.06, 2.60)	0.026	0.026	
House ownership				1		
Owner-occupied	907 (92.1)	78 (7.9)				
Rented	37 (77.1)	11 (22.9)	3.46 (1.73, 6.91)	<0.001	<0.001	
House age	<u> </u>	1 \ -/	, ,			
≤ 20 years	677 (91.5)	63 (8.5)	l			
> 20 years	267 (91.1)	26 (8.9)	1.05 (0.64, 1.70)	0.855	0.855	
*No. of rooms	1 (/ ***)	1 == (0.5)	(,)		1	
1-3	694 (92.9)	53 (7.1)	T	·		
4-10	250 (87.4)	36 (12.6)	1.89 (1.19, 2.98)	0.006	0.006	

^{*}Ethnic groups like Dalit and Disadvantaged Janajatis made up the 'underprivileged' category. Relatively advantaged Janajatis and Upper caste groups made up the 'privileged' category

[#] A positive association of caregiver's occupation, ethnic group and no. of rooms to the outcome "Falls" is observed. These observed associations could be related to the level of supervision. For example, children whose caregivers were unemployed or unable to work (elderly) may be more likely to stay at home and thus have more time to supervise the

children. This may reduce the risk of fall-related injury in comparison to children whose caregivers were employed or able to work. Similarly, privileged families may be more likely to have bigger homes with larger numbers of room or stories, and this may limit the caregiver's ability to supervise children that are mobile and may increase the risk of falls on stairs or from balconies. The same rationale may explain the increased risk of fall-related injuries in children living in households with 4-10 rooms when compared to children living in households with 1-3 rooms. These observed univariable associations should not be over interpreted as they were not consistently found across other types of injuries and therefore need to be tested in future studies.

Table S3 Univariable logistic-regression results for the association between fire-related/burn/scald injury and potential confounding variables

Potential	No burn	Burn	Adjusted for cluster	ing at househo	ld level
confounding variables	N = 966 n (%)	N = 67 n (%)	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P-value	Wald test P value
Siblings under 18 years			. , , ,		•
0 siblings	104 (93.7)	7 (6.3)			
1-4 siblings	766 (93.5)	53 (6.5)	1.03 (0.45, 2.32)	0.947	0.988
> 4 siblings	96 (93.2)	7 (6.8)	1.08 (0.38, 3.10)	0.881	
Caregiver's age (years)					
≤ 30	459 (92.7)	36 (7.3)			0.222
> 30	507 (94.2)	31 (5.8)	0.78 (0.48, 1.28)	0.323	0.323
Caregiver's education level	·				
Not literate	414 (91.8)	37 (8.2)			
Reading & writing ability	227 (95.0)	12 (5.0)	0.59 (0.29, 1.19)	0.143	0.147
School education	325 (94.8)	18 (5.2)	0.62 (0.35, 1.10)	0.101	
Caregiver's occupation	·				
Employed/able to work	757 (93.8)	50 (6.2)			0.466
Unemployed/unable to work	209 (92.5)	17 (7.5)	1.23 (0.70, 2.16)	0.466	0.400
Family size	·				
≤ 4 people (small)	165 (92.7)	13 (7.3)			
5-8 people (medium)	562 (93.2)	41 (6.8)	0.93 (0.47, 1.82)	0.824	0.587
> 8 people (large)	239 (94.8)	13 (5.2)	0.69 (0.31, 1.54)	0.366	
Family member over 18 years	of age				
≤2 people	377 (91.7)	34 (8.3)			0.059
> 2 people	589 (94.7)	33 (5.3)	0.62 (0.38, 1.02)	0.059	0.039
Ethnic group*					
Underprivileged	747 (93.5)	52 (6.5)			0.957
Privileged	219 (93.6)	15 (6.4)	0.98 (0.55, 1.77)	0.957	0.937
Household income per month	in 2 quantiles (NRs	s.)			
Q 1 (NRs. 1000-10,000)	511 (92.4)	42 (7.6)			0.118
Q 2 (NRs. 1000-1,40,000)	455 (94.8)	25 (5.2)	0.67 (0.40, 1.10)	0.118	0.110
No. of floors in the house					
1-2	638 (93.5)	44 (6.5)			0.949
3	328 (93.4)	23 (6.6)	1.02 (0.61, 1.70)	0.949	0.747
House ownership					
Owner-occupied	921 (93.5)	64 (6.5)			1.000
Rented	45 (93.8)	3 (6.3)	0.96 (0.30, 3.07)	FE 1.000	1.000
House age					
≤ 20 years	691 (93.4)	49 (6.6)			0.773
> 20 years	275 (93.9)	18 (6.1)	0.92 (0.54, 1.59)	0.773	0.773

No. of rooms						
1-3	697 (93.3)	50 (6.7)			0.653	
4-10	269 (94.1)	17 (5.9)	0.88 (0.51, 1.53)	0.653	0.033	
*Ethnic groups like Dalit and Disadvantaged Janajatis made up the 'underprivileged' category. Relatively advantaged						

Table S4 Univariable logistic-regression results for the association between cut/crush-induced injury and potential confounding variables

Potential	No cut	Cut	Adjusted for clustering at household level		
confounding variables	N = 980 n (%)	N = 53 n (%)	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P-value	Wald test P value
Siblings under 18 years of age		12 (13)	(9370 CI)		1 value
0 siblings	106 (95.5)	5 (4.5)			
1-4 siblings	779 (95.1)	40 (4.9)	1.09 (0.42, 2.81)	0.861	0.440
> 4 siblings	95 (92.2)	8 (7.8)	1.79 (0.56, 5.64)	0.324	_
Caregiver's age (years)					-1
≤30	470 (94.9)	25 (5.1)			0.010
> 30	510 (94.8)	28 (5.2)	1.03 (0.60, 1.78)	0.910	0.910
Caregiver's education level					-1
Not literate	422 (93.6)	29 (6.4)			
Reading & writing ability	223 (93.3)	16 (6.7)	1.04 (0.56, 1.94)	0.891	0.022
School education	335 (97.7)	8 (2.3)	0.35 (0.16, 0.77)	0.009	
Caregiver's occupation	•	<u> </u>	•		•
Employed/able to work	771 (95.5)	36 (4.5)			0.062
Unemployed/unable to work	209 (92.5)	17 (7.5)	1.74 (0.97, 3.13)	0.063	0.063
Family size	•	_			II.
≤ 4 people (small)	172 (96.6)	6 (3.4)			
5-8 people (medium)	570 (94.5)	33 (5.5)	1.66 (0.69, 3.99)	0.258	0.504
> 8 people (large)	238 (94.4)	14 (5.6)	1.69 (0.64, 4.42)	0.288	-
Family member over 18 years	of age		•	•	
≤2 people	388 (94.4)	23 (5.6)			0.556
> 2 people	592 (95.2)	30 (4.8)	0.85 (0.49, 1.48)	0.576	0.576
Ethnic group*	•		•	•	
Underprivileged	758 (94.9)	41 (5.1)			0.000
Privileged	222 (94.9)	12 (5.1)	1.00 (0.52, 1.92)	0.998	0.998
Household income per month	in 2 quantiles (NRs	s.)			II.
Q 1 (NRs. 1000-10,000)	527 (95.3)	26 (4.7)			0.405
Q 2 (NRs. 1000-1,40,000)	453 (94.4)	27 (5.6)	1.21 (0.70, 2.09)	0.497	0.497
No. of floors in the house	•	_	-		II.
1-2	645 (94.6)	37 (5.4)			0.545
3	335 (95.4)	16 (4.6)	0.83 (0.46, 1.51)	0.547	0.547
House ownership	•	<u> </u>	•		•
Owner-occupied	934 (94.8)	51 (5.2)			1.000
Rented	46 (95.8)	2 (4.2)	0.80 (0.19, 3.32)	FE 1.000	1.000
House age	,	L		- '	•
≤ 20 years	703 (95.0)	37 (5.0)			0.761
> 20 years	277 (94.5)	16 (5.5)	1.10 (0.60, 2.00)	0.761	0.761
No. of rooms					II.

1-3	705 (94.4)	42 (5.6)		•••	0.247		
4-10	275 (96.2)	11 (3.8)	0.67 (0.34, 1.32)	0.247	0.247		
*Ethnic groups like Dalit and Disadvantaged Janajatis made up the 'underprivileged' category. Relatively advantaged							