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SOURCE OF SOCIAL SUPPORT AND POST-INJURY DEPRESSION AND ANXIETY AMONG COLLEGE STUDENT-ATHLETES

Lindsay Sullivan, Kele Ding, Heather Tattersall, Jingzhen Yang. Center for Injury Research and Policy at The Abigail Wexner Research Institute at Nationwide Children’s Hospital

Purpose To examine the effects of different sources of social support (team vs. family/friends) during recovery from injury on post-injury depression and anxiety symptoms among college student-athletes.

Methods College student-athletes from two Big 10 universities were prospectively enrolled at the beginning of the 2007–2008 through 2011–2012 sport seasons and followed for injury. Depression and anxiety scores were assessed at baseline and at multiple time points post-injury until return-to-play. Linear mixed models were used to examine the effects of social support from family/friends or from the team (coaches, athletic trainers, and teammates) during recovery, along with satisfaction with the support received, on changes in post-injury depression and anxiety scores.

Results A total of 597 injuries (sustained by 397 athletes) were included in the analysis. Of these injuries, 67% occurred in males, 39.9% occurred during football, and 11.9% were concussions. Average depression and anxiety scores at baseline were 10.7 and 41.3, respectively. Results showed that the quantity of social support received from family/friends during recovery significantly influenced post-injury depression scores (β=0.60, p=0.028). No such relationship was found between social support received from the team and depression scores. Furthermore, overall satisfaction with social support received during recovery, regardless of the source of social support, was negatively associated with post-injury scores in depression (ρ<0.01) and anxiety (ρ<0.01).
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MOVING BEYOND ‘SO WHAT?’ INTO ACTION

Christen Rexing, Sara Shuman, Paige Pantalone. La Salle University

National conversations about gun deaths are largely framed as community violence or mass shootings. However, gun suicides disproportionately contribute to the increase of gun deaths. Pennsylvania’s gun deaths and suicides outpace national rates, with rural communities disproportionately bearing the burden. Laws reducing gun access (e.g., ERPOS and Child Access Prevention) reduce gun suicides and overall gun deaths. Despite the evidence, Pennsylvania lawmakers fail to pass gun safety laws due to special interest pressures, ideology, and incorrect perceptions of the problem and corresponding solutions. The purpose of the study was to explore Pennsylvania lawmakers’ perceptions of gun suicides, if/how they use science to identify solutions, and their perceptions of legislative impasse. We conducted semi-structured interviews with a sample (n=9) of members of the Judiciary Committees from the Pennsylvania House of Representatives and Senate. Rural and suburban lawmakers identified suicide as a top concern in their districts. Few lawmakers relied on injury scientists to identify solutions or use it for framing the issue to colleagues and constituents. Some lawmakers relied on news stories or special interest groups, very few reported contacting researchers, despite robust local/regional expertise. Participants identified two roadblocks to law passage: 1) key colleagues captured by the NRA, and 2) rural constituents’ lack of understanding of the issue. They identified the need to message to constituents on gun suicides. Regardless of party, all lawmakers wanted to address this pressing health issue, with Republicans searching for answers outside of scientific communities due to fears of bias. Moving the impact out of journals and into the hands of constituents and lawmakers is critical.