

### 324 IMPACTS OF SAFE COMMUNITY PROGRAMS IN JAPANESE COMMUNITIES

Yoko Shiraishi. *Japan Institution for Safe Communities, Japan*

10.1136/injuryprev-2016-042156.324

**Background** In Japan, the movement of community safety promotion called “Safe Community (SC)” was firstly launched by a community in 2006 and it was designated in 2008. Since then, the movement has been gradually expanding across the country. As of March 2016, there are 13 designated communities in Japan and another few more are working on safety promotion based on the SC model.

Although the “designation” as members of the Safe Community network is a good way of branding the communities, the communities are more interested in the impacts of SC in various aspects such as improvement of safety and accompanying changes in the communities. This study therefore aims to provide a broad-ranging analysis of the impacts of the SC programs at the municipality level.

**Methods** The annual reports submitted by the designated Japanese communities were examined to see changes in the structure, mechanism, resources of safety promotion at the community level, outputs of programs and impacts such as the mortality from external causes. Based on the information from the written materials, semi-structured interview were conducted to the relevant parties such as politicians, city government, citizens and so on.

**Results** In all communities working on the community safety promotion with the SC model, the structures of cross sectoral collaboration and the cycle of the program operation as Plan-Do-Check-Act was developed along with their situations. In addition, the citizens’ involvement in the movements has been promoted. Those improvements have also caused changes in outputs. As a result of a sequence of those changes, some impacts have been observed in mortality and morbidity from some external causes such as traffic accidents, suicide, falling and so on. In addition, the newly established surveillance system related to the medical data have made it possible to see the impacts on the medical cost to those injuries.

**Conclusions** Although comprehensiveness and multiplicity of the movements are features of the SC, these aspects have made it difficult to see the clear impacts of the SC programs. Those difficulties can drive politicians cautious about application of the SC model into their community governance. Currently, the improvements in the infrastructure and outcomes have been already evaluated in many communities but it is still difficult to identify the outcomes. Therefore, the evaluation schemes in relation to the medical cost which are now under the development in some communities will shed light on the impacts evaluation in other communities. Once the firm assessment system is developed and become made good use at the community level, decision makers and practitioners can see how their efforts change their communities and it will contribute to the dynamic community involvement.

### 325 EVALUATING COMPLEX COMMUNITY-BASED VIOLENCE AND INJURY PREVENTION INTERVENTIONS: A STATISTICAL FRAMEWORK

<sup>1</sup>Shrikant I Bangdiwala, <sup>2</sup>Yoko Shiraishi. <sup>1</sup>University of North Carolina Injury Prevention Research Centre, Chapel Hill, NC, USA; <sup>2</sup>Japan Institute for Safe Communities, Osaka, Japan

10.1136/injuryprev-2016-042156.325

**Background** Violence and injury prevention interventions conducted in community settings are complex due to multiple reasons, including: (1) they address a complex situation by addressing many risk factors simultaneously, (2) they are often overlapped in their implementation, (3) they are implemented in phases over time, (4) implementation must be consistent with community priorities and budgetary limitations, and (5) they are not conducted in randomised, controlled studies. Thus, evaluating their impact is not statistically straightforward.

**Methods** A methodological analytic framework is proposed that uses random effects meta-regression methodology and incorporates a taxonomy that allows for complex interventions to be ‘disentangled’ into their active components. The framework is illustrated with pilot study data from communities in Japan participating in the Safe Communities global effort, using motor vehicle related deaths and hospitalizations as the indicators of effectiveness.

**Results** Information from 8 communities in Japan for 2008–2011 (4 years each) provided information. Being designated implied a reduction of 1.6/100,000 MV related deaths annually, and that being designated implied a statistically significant reduction in 81/100,000 MV related hospitalizations! We also found that media campaigns were somewhat effective in explaining some of the reduction in hospitalizations in these communities in Japan, but that education was not.

**Conclusions** The proposed statistical framework is very useful to understand the effectiveness of community-based, multi-component, dynamic interventions. The framework may help researchers and policy-makers evaluating the effectiveness and impact of complex intervention programs.

### 326 HEALTHY PUBLIC SPACE AS BASIC PRINCIPLE FOR WALKABILITY IN MEXICO CITY

Perla Castañeda. *Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, Mexico City*

10.1136/injuryprev-2016-042156.326

**Background** Car reliance is the most costly transport mode because of its negative externalities. The city administration in Mexico City (CDMX) has tried to solve traffic by increasing roads to prioritise motorised vehicles, thus, inducing traffic. Only 24% population drives cars, the rest walk, cycle or use public transport. Hence, there is an urgent appeal and grants for walkability and making infrastructure improvements to protect pedestrians to reduce risk of road traffic injuries.

**Methods** Public space has been studied from different theoretical perspectives. Revised literature has not related Health Promotion to public space. The epistemological and pragmatic approaches of public space have led to reach the materiality of pedestrian infrastructure as *healthy public space*.

**Results** Since 2009, I targeted efforts to produce *healthy public space* by detecting a case study aimed to regenerate a long sidewalk, with 45 years of decay that runs along an urban high-way. This potential footpath belongs to the second most populated borough in CDMX with 1.2 million, but mostly is the daily

walkway of 1,200 students because their school is embraced by this public space. Once identified and brought in the authorities for this case study, the people's involvement (the students) pursued a safe community that leads healthy lives within their surrounding. The government accountability represents central and local administration. In 2010 central government issued to the local borough officials the technical guidelines and the monetary quote for restoring the sidewalk, but local officials disregarded them. In 2011, we obtained the funds at the CDMX Legislative Assembly, but the public work (2012–2013) resulted in a poor executed pedestrian infrastructure that remains unsafe so far.

**Conclusions** Central and local governments are ultimately accountable to their people for the health consequences of their actions. People should encourage the potential for producing and promoting *healthy public spaces* on the recognition of a fundamental human right and sound social investment.

## Child and Traffic Safety

Parallel Tue 3.2

### 327 ADVOCATING FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF GRADUATED DRIVER LICENSING IN THE UK

Sarah J Jones. *Public Health Wales*

10.1136/injuryprev-2016-042156.327

**Background** Although crash rates in the UK are some of the lowest in the world and are at their lowest levels ever, improvements are still possible and necessary. Analysis of crash data has shown that young drivers, aged 17 to 19 years, have crash rates that are disproportionately high when considered against the numbers of licence holders and size of the population of this age group.

**Description of the problem** Young drivers hold only around 2% of driving licences, but are involved in 10–16% of crashes, casualties and fatalities. Review of the evidence has shown that Graduated Driver Licensing (GDL) has successfully reduced crashes in other parts of the world, but it is not currently used in the UK. This paper discusses efforts to advocate for the implementation of GDL in the UK.

**Results** The project began in 2008. The author is an epidemiologist/public health specialist so, analysed UK data, with guidance from experts from New Zealand, to determine whether young drivers crashed in circumstances reasonably covered by GDL. These data, along with evidence from Cochrane reviews and primary research, were then used in presentations to raise the profile of GDL. Efforts were made to present the evidence and data as widely as possible and to engage with politicians, policy makers, policy enforcers, the media and members of the general public. Understanding political drivers, both directly and indirectly related to road safety, has been vital. It has also been important to work, and develop strong links, with other academic sectors, mainly psychology, as well as the voluntary sector. Efforts have also been made to regularly update the evidence and reanalyse the data.

**Conclusions** There is no simple “how to” guide to public health advocacy, nor a quick way to get things done. GDL has not yet been implemented in the UK; but it is being more widely discussed and has a higher profile than at any time previously. Advocacy takes a very long time.

### 328 TRANSLATING TO PRIMARY CARE PHYSICIANS AN EFFECTIVE SAFETY PROGRAM FOR PARENTS OF YOUNG DRIVERS

<sup>1</sup>Jean Shope, <sup>1</sup>Jennifer Zakrajsek, <sup>2</sup>Stacia Finch, <sup>1</sup>Raymond Bingham, <sup>3</sup>Joseph O'Neil, <sup>4</sup>Stephen Yano, <sup>2</sup>Richard Wasserman, <sup>6</sup>Bruce Simons-Morton. <sup>1</sup>University of Michigan, USA; <sup>2</sup>American Academy of Paediatrics, USA; <sup>3</sup>Riley Hospital for Children, USA; <sup>4</sup>Children's Medical Associates, Inc., USA; <sup>5</sup>University of Vermont, USA; <sup>6</sup>National Institutes of Health, USA

10.1136/injuryprev-2016-042156.328

**Background** Crashes among US novice young drivers remain too high. Addressing this problem, one effective program for parents is Checkpoints, which raises parents' awareness of risks to their young drivers and offers ways to reduce those risks. But its most effective approach was in driver education classrooms and not widely available. A federally-funded study adapted Checkpoints to a website that could be promoted in several ways. This study promoted the Checkpoints website in brief interventions by Primary Care Practitioners (PCPs), and examined dissemination to/implementation by parents.

**Methods** The website, *youngdriverparenting.org* (with an interactive parent-teen driving agreement, PTDA), and brief intervention protocol were developed in collaboration with leadership of Paediatric Research in Office Settings at the American Academy of Paediatrics. PCPs delivered interventions and materials to parents, referred them to the website, and completed follow-up surveys. Google Analytics was used to assess parents' website use.

**Results** Focus groups of parents/teens determined the website adaptation successful. Most of the 133 PCPs from 16 states reported delivering interventions with fidelity, and thought the program important and feasible. Brief interventions/website referrals, averaging 4.4 minutes, were delivered to 3,465 (87%) of 3,990 eligible parents over an 18-week average in 2012–2013. Website visits (1,453) were made by 42% of parents exposed to the intervention, who spent on average 3.53 minutes viewing an average of 4.2 pages. The PTDA was viewed by 24%, and 10% registered for an interactive PTDA.

**Conclusions** Translation of an evidence-based parent program to a PCP-promoted website was demonstrated. Delivering the brief intervention/website referral was feasible and acceptable to PCPs. This program costs little (its website, training and promotional materials are available) and could be one component of a comprehensive approach to reducing young driver crashes.

### 329 A RANDOMISED TRIAL TO IMPROVE NOVICE DRIVING

<sup>1</sup>Corinne Peek-Asa, <sup>1</sup>Cara Hamann, <sup>2</sup>Michelle Reyes, <sup>2</sup>Dan McGehee. <sup>1</sup>University of Iowa Injury Prevention Research Centre, USA; <sup>2</sup>University of Iowa Public Policy Centre, USA

10.1136/injuryprev-2016-042156.329

**Background** Motor vehicle crashes are a leading cause of death worldwide, and novice drivers have the highest crash risk. Interventions that integrate parents in motivating safe teen driving are a promising strategy.

**Methods** A randomised trial tested two intervention strategies: in-vehicle video feedback and a parent-focused communication program called “Steering Teens Safe (STS).” For the in-vehicle video feedback, two small video cameras with GPS recorded driving and driving errors (exceeding a threshold for acceleration/deceleration or lateral movement). A blinking light alerted drivers of an error, and parents received a weekly report card with video clips and a summary. STS trained parents to improve the quality