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ABSTRACT

Truancy has well-documented short-term and long-term consequences, but there are few studies that look at its impact on injury-related mortality. This study evaluated the rate of injury-related mortality for 2006–2010 among youth (11–17 years old) with a history of severe truancy compared with youth without such history. There were 168 injury-related deaths (51 homicide, 29 suicide and 88 unintentional injury deaths) among youth in Dallas County. Fifteen of these deaths were among youth with a history of severe truancy. Injury-related mortality was more than five times higher among youth with history of severe truancy compared with youth without such history. Youth with a history of severe truancy have an increased risk of injury-related death. Further research may be warranted to evaluate the part of severe levels of truancy on mortality and to study the effectiveness of truancy intervention programmes on the risk of death from injuries.

INTRODUCTION

There are many short-term and long-term consequences of truancy. In the short term, truancy has been associated with alcohol and drug use,1–4 stealing,4,5 medically treated injury6 and poor academic performance.6–9 In the long term, truancy has consequences that extend into adulthood, including occupational disadvantages and relationship troubles.8

Despite these known consequences of truancy, we could find few, if any, studies that examined the mortality consequences of truancy. We used Dallas County Child Death Review Team (CDRT) data to calculate the rates of injury-related mortality among youth 11–17 years of age with and without a history of severe truancy. We expected that those with a history of severe truancy would have a higher rate of injury-related mortality.

METHODS

Definitions

For purposes of this analysis, a youth was defined as a person 11–17 years of age for all numerator, denominator and rate calculations.

Contempt of Court for Truancy was defined as a truant youth who missed school after appearing before a judge for truancy, or a truant youth who did not participate in court-ordered community service or programme as ordered by the Judge or Justice of the Peace. We used contempt of court for truancy in this study because accurate counts of denominators were available to us, which allowed calculation of rates. Severe truancy, for this study, was used interchangeably with contempt of court for truancy.

Data

The study period for this analysis was 1 January 2006 to 31 December 2010. The Dallas County CDRT acquired information from a review of medical examiner reports, team meetings and death certificates. The CDRT reviews all injury-related deaths among children <18 years of age for which the incident occurred in Dallas County. Included in this study were all youth who died due to injury (homicide, suicide or unintentional injury) during the study period. The names of youth who fit these criteria were cross-referenced by a CDRT member (JE) at the Dallas County Juvenile Justice Department who identified whether each youth who died due to injury had a history of severe truancy during the study period. For each deceased youth with a history of severe truancy, the date of first entry into the juvenile system during the study period was recorded. Prior to analysis, all personal identifiers were removed from the database.

Numerator data for rate calculations were composed of injury-related deaths during the study period among youth who were identified through the CDRT and classified by history of severe truancy. The denominator for youth with a history of severe truancy, by age and year, was obtained from the Dallas County Juvenile Department. Dallas County population data for youth 11–17 years of age was obtained from the Texas State Data Center for the study period. To estimate the denominator for each year during the study period for youth who did not have a history of severe truancy, we subtracted the number of youth with such a history from the total population estimates for Dallas County for youth (eg, # youth without history of severe truancy = # youth total population − # youth with history of severe truancy).

Statistical analysis

Rates were calculated as number of injury-related deaths per 100 000 person-years of follow-up. A rate was calculated for youth with history of severe truancy and for youth without such history. RR of death was expressed as an incidence density ratio (eg, RR=mortality rate for youth with history of severe truancy/mortality rate for youth without history of severe truancy). Comparisons of RR of death were calculated with a conditional maximum likelihood estimate of the RR with exact confidence limits.

Cumulative incidence rates were calculated for those with a history of severe truancy. For numerator data for youth who died with a history of severe truancy, we calculated duration of follow-up as the difference in months between the first offense in the juvenile justice system and death. For numerator data for youth who died without history
of severe truancy, we calculated duration of follow-up as the difference in months between first eligibility for follow-up (the beginning of the study period or when the youth turned 11 years old) and death. Denominator data for severe truants and non-truants was calculated by determining the number of months eligible for follow-up during the study period after turning 11 years of age and until turning 18 years of age. For the non-truant denominator, we assumed that 1/12 of the 17-year-old population turned 18 years of age each month and adjusted the estimate for the 17-year-old population accordingly. We calculated cumulative incidence as 1 minus the survival probability. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov equality of distributions test was conducted for comparison of cumulative mortality rates.

Data were analysed with Epi Info™ 3.5.3 (CDC, Atlanta, Georgia, USA) and STATA V.9.0 (STATA, College Station, Texas, USA). This study was deemed to have exempt status by the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center Institutional Review Board.

RESULTS
Between 2006 and 2010, the average annual number of truant youth in Dallas County was 1881 and the average annual number of non-truant youth was 239,627. In Dallas County between 2006 and 2010, there were 168 injury-related deaths (51 homicide, 29 suicide and 88 unintentional injury deaths) among youths 11–17 years of age. Among those 168 deceased youth, 15 (8.9%) youth had a history of severe truancy.

Injury-related mortality rate was 73.0 per 100,000 person-years among youth with history of severe truancy compared with 13.5 per 100,000 person-years among youth without such a history (RR, 5.4, 95% CI 3.1 to 9.0, p<0.01). RR for those with a history of severe truancy was highest for deaths due to homicide (RR, 10.3, 95% CI 4.5 to 21.0, p<0.01), followed by suicide (RR, 4.1, 95% CI 0.7 to 14.6, p=0.11) and unintentional injury (RR, 3.3, 95% CI 1.2 to 7.6, p<0.03). (Table 1).

Cumulative mortality rate
The cumulative mortality rate for youth with and without history of severe truancy is shown in figure 1. There was a significant difference between those with a history of severe truancy and those without (p<0.001 by Kolmogorov–Smirnov equality of distributions test). The highest mortality rates occurred in the first 3 years after the offense for severely truant youth.

DISCUSSION
We found that youth with a history of severe truancy had an elevated risk of injury-related mortality. Homicide had the greatest RR for severe truants, followed by suicide and unintentional injuries. Previous studies have found truancy to be a risk factor for short-term risk behaviours1–7 and for longer-term consequences.8 However, we could find only one previous study which reported that youth who died due to homicide had a history of truancy as well as other social issues, but the risks were not quantified.7 We found no studies which reported that

Figure 1 Comparison of cumulative mortality rates between youth with or without history of severe truancy, by months of follow-up, Dallas County, 2006–2010.

Table 1 Injury-related mortality rate* for youth with and without history of severe truancy Dallas County, Texas, USA, 11–17 years of age, 2006–2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of injury deaths with history</th>
<th>Person-years with history</th>
<th>Mortality rate* with history (95% CI)</th>
<th>Number of injury deaths without history</th>
<th>Person-years without history</th>
<th>Mortality rate* without history (95% CI)</th>
<th>RR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Homicide</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20,536</td>
<td>39.0 (12.0 to 65.9)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1,132,116</td>
<td>3.8 (2.7 to 5.0)</td>
<td>10.3 (4.5 to 21.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicide</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20,536</td>
<td>9.7 (0.0 to 23.2)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1,132,116</td>
<td>2.4 (1.4 to 3.3)</td>
<td>4.1 (0.7 to 14.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unintentional</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20,536</td>
<td>24.4 (3.0 to 45.7)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>1,132,116</td>
<td>7.3 (5.8 to 8.9)</td>
<td>3.3 (1.2 to 7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All injury</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20,536</td>
<td>73.0 (36.1 to 110.0)</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>1,132,116</td>
<td>13.5 (11.4 to 15.7)</td>
<td>5.4 (3.1 to 9.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mortality rates per 100,000 person-years.
truant youth were at elevated risk of death due to suicide or unintentional injuries.

There are several limitations to this study. First, for youth who died, we did not include the case in the truancy numerator if all offenses occurred before 2006, which may have underestimated truancy-related mortality rates. Additionally, the CDRT did not identify deaths among youth after the age of 17 years. This may have led to an underestimation of mortality due to the fact that some youth who were truant before age 18 years may have died of an injury after that age, and would not have been included in either numerator for rate calculations. Furthermore, we did not have access to data on less severe truancy, such as truancy that did not escalate to the level of contempt of court for truancy; thus, we could not calculate the impact of less severe truancy on risk of injury-related death. Additionally, we could not identify whether youth who died in Dallas County had a history of truancy elsewhere or if youth who were counted in the population of Dallas County died elsewhere. None of the youth who met the definition for severe truancy were out-of-county residents. Finally, the association between severe truancy on risk of injury-related death. Additionally, we did not have access to data on less severe truancy, such as truancy that did not escalate to the level of contempt of court for truancy; thus, we could not calculate the impact of less severe truancy on risk of injury-related death. Additionally, we could not identify whether youth who died in Dallas County had a history of truancy elsewhere or if youth who were counted in the population of Dallas County died elsewhere. None of the youth who met the definition for severe truancy were out-of-county residents. Finally, the association between early mortality risk and truancy is likely due to a complex set of factors, including drug use, fighting, antisocial behaviour, and so on, for which truancy is a marker, rather than a cause. We could not separate those other factors in the calculations.

It appears from our local data that youth with severe truancy have the highest risk of injury-related death in the first 3 years after their recorded offense in the juvenile system. Since truancy appears to be a marker for risk of death, identification and intervention before youth meet this high-risk criterion has the potential to decrease risk of injury-related death. Further research is warranted to evaluate effectiveness of truancy intervention programmes on the risk of death from injuries.
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