Editor’s note: We do appreciate comments and congratulations but we also welcome constructive criticism regarding the journal or individual contributions.

School bus related injuries

EDITORS.—I saw a recent small piece in the last issue of Injury Prevention about school bus related injuries. I have tried to look into school bus related injuries here in the United States, but I have had difficulty in doing so. My hypothesis is that school bus related serious injuries and fatalities are the result of school buses being used for various kinds of field trips and special trips rather than the normal day-to-day transportation of students to and from school. On these special trips, school buses travel at high speeds on highways. Clearly, to me they were not built for this and should never be used for this purpose. Our own National Highway Traffic Safety Administration does not collect the kind of information that would allow me to test this hypothesis. Does anyone out there have any information on where school buses crashes occur? Is the most school activities and roads on which they are being used to transport children?

FREDERICK P RIVARA
Director of the Preventive Injury Prevention and Research Center 325 Ninth Avenue, Bldg 10, Seattle, WA 98104-2499, USA

BOOK REVIEWS


If you are fervently anticar in need of a refresher course on the arguments this book will be a good read. On the other hand, if you believe that to prevent death and injury one has to adopt measures that are practical and achievable, given the social and political climates and that progress can be made at a step at a time, you should steer clear, except to see what you are up against.

The back cover of this paperback carries the telling phrase ‘an essential guide for campaigners’. This accurately sums it up. This book was written by a campaigner for campaigners, not for scientists. Scientists, sometimes inconveniently, are bound by an ethic that requires them to look at the facts and in the light of all sides of an argument to draw a conclusion, however contrary that conclusion may be to their beliefs. Campaigners, by definition, are trying to persuade politicians and decision makers to support their cause — balance is not a word in their armoury.

Robert Davis is a campaigner who has researched death and injury on the roads (principally in the UK) and the current steps being taken for their prevention extensively. He firmly believes that we are going the wrong way about improving highway safety, but there is a conspiracy between organisations with a vested interest in maintaining the status quo and those with the dominant definition of ‘road safety’ (the author’s quotation marks) in modern society is wrong. He delivers every argument in support of his cause that you can imagine. But the nearest that this book comes to a scientific textbook is the fact that the author references his sources fully — over 1000 in total.

Having said all of this, there are many aspects of the book with which most people would readily concur. We have inadequate data systems for road casualty reporting in the UK and the term ‘accident’ is unsatisfactory. We need better measures of exposure, especially for pedestrians, children, and cyclists. There has to be a balance between everyone’s needs on the streets and highways, and we do need to provide safe places for children to play and safe means of getting there. I also fully accept the fact that seat belts have no beneficial effects on pedestrian casualties, just as cycle helmets do not prevent crashes between cars and bikes — although neither of these facts are of course arguments against seat belts and helmets. And perhaps more generally, cars are not good news for the environment or our health. Yes, common sense tells us that we will sooner rather than later have to accept the replacement of the car with an efficient, integrated public transport system for many journeys.

Whether you would regard this as riveting bedtime reading or a punishment (or, as a book reviewer, a duty) depends very much on your existing beliefs. Mine have not been changed by having to wade through these 300 pages.

MICHAEL HAYES
CAPT, London, UK


Over the past 20 years, children with various developmental disabilities have often been referred to in the education and pediatric literature as ‘Children with special needs’. This rubric encompasses the challenges presented to the child, the family, and the informal providers in facilitating cognitive growth as well as teaching tasks of daily living, such as feeding, walking, and communication.

The field of childhood injury control focuses on understanding and preventing hazards in the social and physical environments harming children. One particular hazard is the presence of pedophiles who seek to sexually abuse children. While all children require ‘personal safety skills’ to avoid this danger, children with disabilities require such skills even more, as they are sexually assaulted in frighteningly numbers. Sad, such skills may be now seen as necessary tasks of daily living.

In her book, Developing Personal Safety Skills in Children with Disabilities, author Freda Briggs discusses the need to adapt safety skills curricula with the special needs of children with disabilities in mind. Part one describes the rationale for such an approach, emphasizing the importance of parent involvement. Sadly, such skills may be now seen as necessary tasks of daily living.
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Part two