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Abstract

Objectives—To examine child characteristics (age, gender) and child care center environments (socioemotional quality, physical safety) that jointly predict injuries for preschool children.

Methods—A two year prospective study of 360 preschool children, ages 2–6 years, was conducted in four urban child care centers. Composite scores for center quality and physical safety were derived from on-site observations, and injury rates were based on teacher reports. Poisson regression analyses examined age, gender, center quality, center safety, and the interactions of gender with quality and safety as predictors of injury incidence over one child year.

Results—Age was significantly associated with injury rates, with younger children sustaining higher rates. An interaction between gender and center quality also significantly predicted injury incidence: girls in low quality centers experienced more injuries, while girls in high quality centers sustained fewer injuries than their male peers. Finally, an interaction between gender and center safety showed that girls in high safety centers sustained more injuries than boys, while girls in low safety centers sustained fewer injuries.

Conclusions—Injuries occur even in relatively safe environments, suggesting that in child care settings, the socioemotional context may contribute, along with physical safety, to the incidence of injury events. Further, gender specific differences in susceptibility to environmental influences may also affect children’s vulnerability and risks of injuries. The prevention of injuries among preschool children may thus require attention to and modifications of both the physical and socioemotional environments of child care.

(Injury Prevention 2000;6:214–218)
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Injury studies conducted in child care centers generally identify either demographic or environmental factors associated with injury rates, but seldom examine the combined effects of both. Younger preschool children, for example, are known to have more injuries than older preschool children, and boys often have more severe injuries than girls. Further, it has been established that the physical environment and structural characteristics of outdoor play equipment, such as type, height, and impact surfaces, can also affect child injury rates. It is not yet known, however, whether age or gender interacts with such structural characteristics in the prediction of injury incidence or severity.

Although attention to the physical environment is clearly important, other aspects of the environment may also affect injury risk. For example, the socioemotional quality of a child care center’s environment—for example, aspects of curriculum, teacher-child interactions, staff stability, group size, adult-to-child ratios, and teacher education—is also plausibly related to injury rates in young children. Children’s relationships to their environments are also transactional in nature, and while an environment may have differential impacts on individual children (for example, children of different genders and temperaments), children’s behavior may also change their environments. Thus, behavioral characteristics, such as activity level and aggression, may alter play activities and peer relationships, thereby affecting the risk of injury in a child care setting.

Although severe childhood injuries are a major public health concern, children in child care centers rarely sustain severe injuries. These account for only 1% of those reported in US centers. Children who sustain frequent minor injuries, however, are more likely to sustain subsequent severe injuries. Thus, studies of frequent minor injuries may identify important risk factors for the prevention of more severe injury events. The research question addressed by this study was: Do child demographic characteristics (age, gender) and child care center environments (socioemotional quality, physical safety) jointly predict child injury rates (minor and severe) for preschool age children attending urban child care centers?

Methods

The reported data were collected as one component of a larger research project investigating the relation between environmental stressors and health in preschool age children attending child care centers.

Subjects

A total of 360 children enrolled in four urban child care centers were studied during a two year period from January 1990 through December 1991. Information on date of birth, gender, date of study enrollment, and center...
leaves data were obtained from center records. This study was approved by the Committee for Human Research of the University of California, San Francisco and the Committee for Protection of Human Subjects of the University of California, Berkeley.

Ages at study entry ranged from 2–6 years (mean=3.7 years), and 55% (n=197) were boys. While girls were more evenly distributed by age, there were more boys in the 3.5–6 year age group (61%) than there were in the 2–3.5 year age group (49%). The mean time children were enrolled in the study was 46 weeks or 1840 child care hours.

INJURY DEFINITION AND DATA COLLECTION
Data were collected on standardized reporting forms completed by teachers when an injury met the study definition, as “an event resulting in bodily harm, reflected by a physical mark or a sustained complaint more than five minutes in duration”. The definition was based on objective, observable indicators in order to reduce subjective reporting. Injuries were designated as minor (superficial cuts, bumps, bruises, and bites), moderate (deep cuts, crush injuries, multiple cuts, burns, chipped teeth, or fractures), or severe (those requiring medical attention and/or telephone contact with parents).

Injury rates were calculated as: (total number of injuries/total exposure time in hours) × 2000 exposure hours. The denominator (base value) of 2000 hours was equivalent to a full time child’s presence in a child care center for 50 weeks, eight hours/day. Total exposure time was the number of hours enrolled in the center during the study period, calculated as: \((n \text{ weeks enrolled}) \times (n \text{ days/week})) \times (\text{hours/day}).

CHILD CARE CENTERS
Two centers were university affiliated, and two were private, but non-profit. Children were in the centers an average of eight hours/day, and centers were open an average of 50 weeks/year. Each center was licensed and in compliance with California state regulations.

Injury data were collected over two study years on all study children in three of the four centers. In the remaining center, only first year’s data were analyzed because of inconsistent data collection in the second. Data were also collected on: (a) the quality of the center’s socio-emotional environment and (b) physical safety measures.

The quality of the child care environment included three dimensions: the global socio-emotional environment, staff stability, and proportion of full time staff (table 1). The global environment was evaluated with the early childhood environmental rating scale (ECERS), an observational measure with 37 items comprising seven subscales: language and reasoning activities, social development, creative activities, personal care, fine/gross motor activities, furnishings/display, and adult facilities/opportunities. The total ECERS score was used because it had the strongest internal consistency (Cronbach’s α = 0.83) and the subscales were highly intercorrelated. Annual observations were conducted in each classroom of all four centers by a trained researcher who had achieved 90% inter-rater reliability with an expert. Classroom ratings were averaged to create quality scores for each center. Staff stability was calculated as ((number of staff who left in one year/average number of staff/year) × 100). The full time/all staff ratio reflected the number of staff a child related to during an eight hour day, and was calculated as the number of full time staff divided by total number of full and part time staff.

Because the three environmental quality dimensions were highly correlated, a composite score was created by standardizing and summing the three dimensions for each center annually. Each child was assigned a quality composite score based on the center attended. The physical safety of the playgrounds also included three dimensions: equipment height, ratio of adults to children, and child density ratio (table 1). As with the score for environmental quality, a safety composite score was derived by standardizing and summing the three component measures.

DATA ANALYSES
Mean and median injury rates were calculated by gender and age category (2.0–3.5 and 3.6–18.0 years). Injury data were analyzed using chi-square tests and generalized estimating equations.
Results

Altogether 1886 injuries were reported over the two year study period, with a mean (median) child injury rate of 5.7 (3.8) per 2000 exposure hours. The distribution was skewed, with a range of 0–47 injuries per 2000 hours. Ninety per cent of injuries were minor, while 10% were moderate-severe; 74% occurred on the playground.

Table 1 summarizes the gender distributions, outdoor equipment, quality and safety dimension measures, and composite scores for the four child care centers. Figure 1 shows that the distributions of quality and safety composite scores by center reveal no notable covariance in composite scores within centers. Higher scores reflect higher quality and better safety, and in this study there were no centers with both high quality and high safety.

Median injury rates differed significantly by gender (Z statistic = −2.53, p=0.01) and age category (Z statistic = 2.72, p=0.01) (table 2). Boys had significantly higher rates of injury than girls, and younger children were at significantly greater risk than their older peers. However, there was also a significant gender difference in the mean time enrolled in the study (girls’ mean = 12 months, boys’ mean = 9.6 months) (t(df)=2.49(342), p=0.01).

Poisson regression analyses indicated that age, gender, and interactions between gender and center environment (both safety and quality) significantly predicted rates of injury (table 3). For each one year increase in age, there was a 29% decrease in injury rate (rate ratio 0.71, confidence interval 0.67 to 0.75).

Gender was a statistically significant predictor of injury rates as a main effect (p<0.01) and in interaction with center quality (p=0.01) and safety (p<0.01). Although center quality and safety showed significant main effects with no interaction terms in the model, these effects were subsumed in the interaction terms in the larger regression model. Importantly, with all main and interaction terms included, the effects of center quality and safety on injury rates were modified by the influence of gender. Specifically, both girls and boys had higher rates in centers characterized by low quality and high safety, but the effects were more apparent in girls than in boys. The injury rate among girls in low quality centers was 7 per 2000 exposure hours, compared with a rate of 4 per 2000 among girls in high quality centers (fig 2). In contrast, boys had similar rates regardless of center quality.

Paradoxically, and unexpectedly, girls had the highest expected injury rates in high safety centers (8 per 2000 exposure hours) and the lowest rates in low safety centers (4 per 2000 exposure hours) (fig 3). Boys had similar rates regardless of center quality.

Supplementary analyses with moderate-severe injuries as the dependent variable revealed the same set of predictor variables (age, gender, quality, and safety) except for the safety composite score.

Table 3 Results of Poisson regression model predicting the injury rate ratio (RR) (n=360) *

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Z statistic</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>RR</th>
<th>95% CI (RR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>−0.34</td>
<td>−11.00</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.67 to 0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender†</td>
<td>−0.24</td>
<td>−4.90</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.72 to 0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.85 to 1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.97 to 1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender and quality†</td>
<td>−0.20</td>
<td>−3.11</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender and safety</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Log likelihood = −1180.221.
†Gender: 1 = male; 2 = female. CI = confidence interval.
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LIMITATIONS

Our study had several limitations that constrain its generalizability beyond similar populations attending mid to high quality child care centers. Although the sample was moderately large, the number of centers was small (n=4).

Further, while the safety composite score included important information on center characteristics that may affect safety, the score omits other predictive factors, such as time spent on playground equipment, the number and types of equipment, and the condition and age of equipment.

Finally, both quality and safety composite scores included observable, objective indicators of environmental attributes, but did not address children's behavior. Therefore, the study overlooked contextual risks created by the presence of other children.

Future child care injury research should attend to both physical and socioemotional environmental factors, as well as family and child behavioral characteristics, in order to elucidate the combined effects of child and context on early childhood injury rates.

Implications for prevention

These findings support injury prevention efforts designed to improve physical and socioemotional environmental standards for child care, and that foster parent education about quality indicators in child care. Every state in the US should regulate child care standards to ensure low child density ratios, and appropriate equipment condition and
height,\(^8\) because these factors, and others, affect the physical safety of the environment. Preschool injuries are influenced, however, not only by the physical safety of the environment, but by the socioemotional quality of the child care centers, as well. Child care quality, in this study, exerted significant, independent, and measurable effects on injury rates. The socioemotional environment is affected by child care staffing standards, such as adult/child ratios, staff stability, and staff educational level. Low adult/child ratios affect the supervising staff’s ability to prevent injuries by intervening before they occur, teaching conflict resolution skills, and encouraging cooperative, nonviolent play. Prevention efforts can also focus on staff stability and education. Centers that pay staff higher, above standard wages have lower staff turnover,\(^9\) and a stable staff provides closer, trusting teacher-child relationships. Higher education standards for child care teachers (for example, teachers with college degrees), would improve the quality of teacher-child interactions, support a developmentally appropriate curriculum, and likely encourage more gender-neutral play.\(^9\) Children attending high quality centers with highly trained staff have better developmental, cognitive, and health outcomes than children in low quality centers.\(^10\) Parents with young children need more information about known quality indicators when choosing child care for their children. It would be helpful, for example, if parents were informed about the risk factors identified in this and other studies affecting child care injury rates. Multifaceted injury prevention efforts, with an emphasis on high standards for both physical safety and socioemotional environmental quality, may yield even greater reductions in avoidable injuries to preschool children.
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