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Abstract

Objectives—To assess the associations between age, experience, and motorcycle injury.

Setting—Motorcycle riding on non-residential roads between 6 am and midnight over a three year period from February 1993 in Auckland, New Zealand.

Methods—A population based case-control study was conducted. Cases were 490 motorcycle drivers involved in a crash and controls were 1518 drivers identified at random roadside surveys. Crash involvement was defined in terms of a motorcycle crash resulting in either a driver or pillion passenger being killed, hospitalised, or presenting to a public hospital emergency department with an injury severity score ≥5.

Results—There was a strong and consistent relationship between increasing driver age and decreasing risk of moderate to fatal injury. In multivariate analyses, drivers older than 25 years had more than 50% lower risk than those aged from 15–19 years (odds ratio (OR) 0.46; 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.26 to 0.81). In univariate analyses, a protective effect from riding more than five years compared with less than two years was observed. However, this protection was not sustained when driver age and other potential confounding variables were included in the analyses. Familiarity with the specific motorcycle was the only experience measure associated with a strong protective effect (OR ≥10 000 km experience) 0.52; 95% CI 0.35 to 0.79) in multivariate analyses.

Conclusions—Current licensing regulations should continue to emphasise the importance of increased age and might consider restrictions that favour experience with a specific motorcycle.
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Between 1990 and 2020, it is estimated that road traffic crashes will move from the ninth to the third largest cause of death and disability globally. Over 90% of this death and disability will occur in the developing world, in which a large proportion of vehicles are two or three wheeled. In many developed countries, motorcyclists suffer a disproportionate number of road traffic crashes. In New Zealand, for example, motorcycles account for fewer than 2% of kilometres driven, but 9% of reported injuries and 13% of fatalities from all road traffic crashes. Given the relative importance of injuries from motorcycle crashes, effective strategies are needed to reduce this injury burden.

Driver age and experience are used internationally as components of licensing restrictions aiming to reduce the injury burden from road traffic crashes. Increased age of the motorcycle driver has been strongly and consistently shown to protect against the risk of fatal and non-fatal injury from motorcycle crashes. However, while increasing experience has been widely reported as a protective factor for motorcycle injury, few studies have considered the relationships between age and experience together. This paper reports the results of a case-control study in which associations were examined between age, different measures of road traffic experience, and risk of moderate to fatal injury resulting from a motorcycle crash.

Subjects and methods

The population from which study participants were selected included all motorcyclists riding on non-residential public roads in the Auckland region of New Zealand, between 6 am and midnight, over a three year period from February 1993 to February 1996. A motorcycle was defined using the International Classification of Diseases, ninth revision, clinical modification (1980) definition of a two wheeled vehicle. Because of the low traffic volumes on residential roads and between midnight and 6 am (less than 2% of riders), motorcyclists riding on these roads and at these times, were excluded. The Auckland region has a population of approximately 950 000 people of whom 93% live in urban Auckland (1991 census).

SELECTION OF CASES

Over the study period, all motorcycle drivers or pillion passengers who were killed, hospitalised, or presented within 24 hours of a crash to a public hospital emergency department with an injury severity score of ≥5 were identified. Tricode, a personal computer injury coding software was used to provide an objective measure of injury severity using text injury descriptions. To ensure comprehensive case finding, a number of methods were used, including reviewing emergency department attendance registers, hospital admission lists, the coroner’s database, and police reported lists of fatal and injury motorcycle crashes. All motorcycle drivers involved in these incidents were then invited to participate in the study as cases.
Controls were motorcycle drivers identified from 150 roadside survey sites. Each site was selected randomly, from a listing of all non-residential roads in the region, in proportion to the total length of the road. Each site was sampled over a 90 minute period, at three random times, during the study period and all motorcyclists passing the site were invited to participate in the study. This resulted in a random sample of motorcycle riding.

DATA COLLECTION
Case interviews were conducted face to face in hospital, or by telephone if they were discharged. For those who were killed, the next of kin was contacted after six weeks and asked to nominate a person for interview. All control motorcyclists were photographed and if possible stopped for the driver to be breathalysed. Telephone details were obtained for a later interviewer administered questionnaire. For roadside survey sites on motorways, or those that were at times when it was too dangerous to stop motorcyles, controls were followed up via the motorcycle registration number and interviews conducted by telephone.

Both case and control drivers were administered identical questionnaires, except that details of exposures at the time of the crash among cases were substituted for exposures at the time of the survey for controls. Data were collected on a range of experience variables as well as age, gender, and other covariates. The experience variables included the total years of regular on and off-road riding and car driving; the frequency of on and off-road riding and car driving in the previous year; whether the driver had previously driven on the road before; and the total distance the driver had ridden on that motorcycle (familiarity).

The other covariates included socioeconomic status (assessed in terms of occupation), posted speed limit (<100 km per hour or >100 km per hour), self reported speeding (riding more than 20 km per hour over the speed limit v not), presence of a male or female pillion passenger, time of day and day of week riding, use of headlights, motorcycle cc rating, road conditions (wet v dry), current licence status (full v partial v unlicensed), and self reported recent alcohol consumption (any consumption in last 12 hours v none).

Ethical approval for the conduct of the study was obtained from the Northern Regional Health Authority ethics committee.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
Univariate and adjusted odds ratios were calculated using unconditional logistic regression in SAS.22 A comprehensive multivariate model was developed that included the exposures of interest as well as potentially important confounding variables identified from the literature, as proposed by Rothman.23

Results
There were 490 case motorcycle drivers identified (32 were fatally injured) with 60 passengers. Interviews were completed for 463 drivers (95%), including 35 completed by proxy respondents. There were 13 case drivers who refused to participate and 14 who could not be contacted. Telephone interviews were conducted with 63% of the interviewed case drivers.

There were 1518 control motorcyclists identified with 151 pillion passengers. Altogether 931 (61%) were identified at sites where motorcyclists were stopped and 58 (39%) from photograph only sites, such as motorways or wet roads. Interviews were completed for 1233 drivers (81%), including nine completed by proxy respondents. The majority of drivers not interviewed could not be contacted, with only 3% actively refusing to participate. Telephone interviews were conducted with 96% of the interviewed control drivers.

In both groups, those aged 25 years or older comprised the largest age group, and 94% were male (table 1). High proportions (>48%) had been riding motorcycles and driving cars regularly for more than five years; more than 70% had driven a motorcycle three days a week or more in the previous year; high proportions (>75%) had ridden more than 1000 km on the same (current) motorcycle; and more than 95% had previously ridden on the road where they crashed or were surveyed.

There was a strong and consistent relationship between increasing driver age and decreasing risk of moderate to fatal injury from a motorcycle crash (table 2). In multivariate analyses, drivers aged 25 years or older had more than a 50% lower risk than those aged from 15–19 years (odds ratio (OR) 0.46; 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.26 to 0.81). There was no evidence of any association between gender and risk.

Table 1 Distribution of age, gender, and measures of experience for cases and controls*  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>No (%) controls</th>
<th>No (%) case</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15–19</td>
<td>132 (10.6)</td>
<td>87 (18.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–24</td>
<td>323 (26.0)</td>
<td>153 (32.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25+</td>
<td>786 (63.3)</td>
<td>235 (49.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>72 (5.8)</td>
<td>27 (5.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1169 (94.2)</td>
<td>448 (94.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years regular on-road motorcycle riding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>197 (16.1)</td>
<td>97 (21.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–5</td>
<td>338 (27.5)</td>
<td>136 (30.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td>692 (56.4)</td>
<td>221 (48.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years regular car driving</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>174 (34.6)</td>
<td>85 (18.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–5</td>
<td>219 (18.4)</td>
<td>104 (23.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td>799 (67.0)</td>
<td>261 (58.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-road motorcycle riding in last year (days/week)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>130 (10.5)</td>
<td>60 (13.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>217 (17.6)</td>
<td>63 (13.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2</td>
<td>888 (71.9)</td>
<td>336 (73.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familiarity with the specific motorcycle (km)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1000</td>
<td>166 (33.7)</td>
<td>99 (22.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000–9999</td>
<td>428 (93.9)</td>
<td>179 (40.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥10 000</td>
<td>571 (47.0)</td>
<td>166 (37.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familiarity with the road†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never driven before</td>
<td>28 (2.3)</td>
<td>21 (4.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driven before</td>
<td>1204 (97.7)</td>
<td>438 (95.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Totals may not add to 100% due to rounding.

*Column totals may differ due to inclusion of data for cases and controls for whom completed interviews were not obtained and missing data from those who completed interviews.
†The road where the crash occurred or control was identified.
Many previous studies of experience have reported only univariate results or controlled for a limited range of potential confounding variables in their analyses. \textsuperscript{7} \textsuperscript{15} \textsuperscript{17} \textsuperscript{18} and not surprisingly, their findings are consistent with the unadjusted results from this study. Of the four previous studies that have examined both age and experience, three have reported a protective effect of increasing experience. However, biases in the selection of study participants, the measures of exposure and the outcome measures, may account for these findings. A cross sectional study by Bragg \textit{et al}, of over 7000 randomly selected drivers from Ontario, reported that motorcyclists \textit{licensed less than two years} were at increased risk of injury. \textsuperscript{9} Additionally, the response rate in this study was only 31%. McKnight and Robinson followed a cohort of 12 000 \textit{newly licensed} drivers in Pennsylvania for one year. \textsuperscript{12} After controlling for the age of the motorcyclist, they reported that those with less than two years’ experience had two to four times as many crashes. A cross sectional study by Taylor and Lockwood analysed data from a random sample of 10 000 \textit{registered owners} of motorcycles in the United Kingdom and reported that the frequency of all \textit{self reported crashes (including minor spills)} decreased rapidly with increasing experience. \textsuperscript{10} One study, by Rutter and Quine, who further studied 4000 of the original Taylor and Lockwood cohort, reported that young drivers were at increased risk of a crash independent of experience. \textsuperscript{19} However this study had a 13% response rate and included all minor spills.

The current study has several major strengths. The control population was a random sample of motorcycle riding rather than a sample of motorcyclists. Thus it was unnecessary to control for current exposure to motorcycle riding (distance ridden or time spent riding) as a measure of exposure and the frequency of riding the specific motorcycle. Variables included were age, gender, years of regular motorcycle and other vehicle driving, socio-economic status, post speed limit, self reported speeding, familiarity with the motorcycle and the road, presence of a male or female pillion passenger, riding frequency in the last year, time of day and day of week riding, use of headlight, motorcycle cc rating, road conditions, licence status, self reported recent alcohol consumption.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Unadjusted, age adjusted, and multivariate adjusted odds ratios (OR) and 95\% confidence intervals (95\% CI) of sustaining a motorcycle injury associated with age, gender, and experience.}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
 & \textbf{Unadjusted OR (95\% CI)} & \textbf{Age adjusted OR (95\% CI)} & \textbf{Multivariate adjusted OR (95\% CI)} \\
\hline
\textbf{Age (years)} & & & \\
15–19 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
20–24 & 0.72 (0.52 to 1.00) & 0.69 (0.43 to 1.11) & 0.46 (0.26 to 0.81) \\
25+ & 0.45 (0.33 to 0.62) & 0.46 (0.26 to 0.81) & 0.46 (0.26 to 0.81) \\
\hline
\textbf{Gender} & & & \\
Female & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
Male & 1.04 (0.66 to 1.64) & 1.03 (0.65 to 1.64) & 0.90 (0.51 to 1.60) \\
\hline
\textbf{Years regular on-road motorcycle riding} & & & \\
<2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
2–5 & 0.82 (0.60 to 1.12) & 0.97 (0.70 to 1.34) & 1.10 (0.73 to 1.68) \\
>5 & 0.65 (0.49 to 0.86) & 1.05 (0.74 to 1.51) & 1.27 (0.96 to 2.58) \\
\hline
\textbf{Years regular car driving} & & & \\
<2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
2–5 & 0.97 (0.68 to 1.38) & 1.01 (0.70 to 1.45) & 0.94 (0.61 to 1.46) \\
>5 & 0.67 (0.50 to 0.90) & 1.12 (0.78 to 1.62) & 0.88 (0.56 to 1.38) \\
\hline
\textbf{On-road motorcycle riding in last year (days/week)} & & & \\
<1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1–2 & 0.63 (0.42 to 0.95) & 0.64 (0.42 to 0.98) & 0.81 (0.55 to 1.19) \\
>3 & 0.82 (0.59 to 1.14) & 0.81 (0.58 to 1.13) & 0.81 (0.52 to 1.27) \\
\hline
\textbf{Familiarity with the specific motorcycle (km)} & & & \\
<1000 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1000–9999 & 0.63 (0.46 to 0.85) & 0.62 (0.46 to 0.84) & 0.60 (0.41 to 0.88) \\
\geq 10 000 & 0.49 (0.36 to 0.66) & 0.54 (0.40 to 0.74) & 0.52 (0.35 to 0.79) \\
\hline
\textbf{Familiarity with the road} & & & \\
Never driven before & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
Driven before & 0.49 (0.27 to 0.87) & 0.55 (0.31 to 0.98) & 1.49 (0.69 to 3.22) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

The unadjusted odds ratio for riding more than five years compared with less than two years showed a strong protective effect (OR 0.65; 95\% CI 0.49 to 0.86). However there was no evidence of a protective effect after adjusting for age (OR 1.05; 95\% CI 0.78 to 1.51) and other potential confounders (OR 1.57; 95\% CI 0.96 to 2.58).

Familiarity with the motorcycle was the only measure of experience associated with a strong protective effect in multivariate analyses. Drivers who had driven their current motorcycle 10 000 km or more had a 48\% reduced risk (95\% CI 0.35 to 0.79) compared with those who had driven their motorcycle less than 1000 km. There was no evidence of any clear protective effect with increasing years of regular car driving, riding frequently in the previous year, or being familiar with the road. Other variables that were also investigated and showed no evidence of any association with risk were off-road motorcycle riding and the frequency of driving another vehicle in the previous year.

\textbf{Discussion}

This study confirms the strong association found previously between increasing age and lower risk of motorcycle injury,\textsuperscript{11} with drivers aged 25 years or over having less than half the risk of those aged under 20 years. However, there is little evidence that increasing years of regular motorcycle or car driving reduce the risk of moderate to fatal injury from a motorcycle crash, once the age of the driver and other factors are also considered. Familiarity with the specific motorcycle is the only experience measure associated with a strong protective effect.
specific motorcycle is protective. However, the evidence is neither strong nor consistent for the protective effects of other aspects of driver experience. These findings suggest that licensing policies should continue to place emphasis on the age of the driver. They also suggest that policies might be developed that maximise young drivers’ familiarity with a particular motorcycle as part of the licensing process. However, as there is little evidence to support the protective effects associated with other experience factors, including previous car driving, regulations based on these other experience measures might well be reviewed.
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