Are life vests worn? A multiregional observational study of personal flotation device use in small boats

Linda Quan, Elizabeth Bennett, Peter Cummings, Michaela N Trusty, Charles D Treser

Abstract

Objective—Although life vest use is thought to prevent drowning, their use by boaters has not been described. This study sought to determine the use of personal flotation devices (PFDs) in small boats.

Methods—Boaters were observed between April and June 1995 in Washington and Oregon and classified by their age, gender, PFD use, and boat type.

Results—Among 4181 boaters, 25% wore a PFD. Use was highest in <5 year olds (91%) and lowest in those over 14 years (13%). Those in kayaks were most likely (78%) and those in motor boats (19%) were least likely to wear a PFD. Females were more likely to wear a PFD than males (relative prevalence 1.5, 95% confidence interval 1.3 to 1.6). When a child less than 15 years was in a boat with an adult, PFD use was 65% if no adult wore a PFD and 95% if at least one accompanying adult wore a PFD (p=0.001).

Conclusions—Generally, PFD use by boaters was low in the Northwestern US. Efforts to increase PFD use should target adolescents, adults and specific boating populations, especially those in motor boats.

(Injury Prevention 1998;4:203–205)
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Between 1990 and 1995, there were 3143 boat related deaths in the US. Boating drowning rates in Canada, Sweden, Norway, and Finland, are nearly two to sevenfold higher than the US rates.1 4 In Canada, boating drownings comprise 40% of all drownings and primarily involve the recreational use of small boats. Personal flotation devices (PFDs) have the potential to prevent such drownings. Life vests were not being used by 92% of Canadian and 86% of US victims of boating drowning, despite recommendations for their use in the US by the Coast Guard, the National Transportation Safety Board, and the American Academy of Pediatrics.5 5 5 Only one study has described PFD use by recreational boaters, primarily on lakes, in one county in Washington state.7 Observations of boaters in several settings and regions are needed to more fully portray patterns of PFD use and thus form the basis for preventive interventions.

This study was conducted to determine the extent of PFD use by recreational boaters in several regions of Washington and Oregon, to describe the characteristics associated with their use, and to identify those who do not wear PFDs so as to focus efforts to increase use.

Methods

Observations of boaters were conducted in six of the eight emergency medical/trauma regions of Washington State, and the Portland, Oregon area. These regions represent the full range of geographic and climatic variability in the Northwest, from dense forest to desert, and include all types of bodies of water, from Puget Sound to large and small rivers and lakes. Sites were selected using the following criteria: (1) a popular boating recreation area with a high volume of small boat traffic used by families with children; (2) a safe place for an observer to sit. From a list of sites meeting these criteria, using a random selection process, a total of 19 sites were chosen, with several in each region. Sites were classified as lake/reservoir, river, or saltwater (bay or sound).

A total of 19 drowning prevention coordinators and volunteer observers from each of the study regions were trained in classrooms and practiced at on site sessions using an established protocol. Observers were taught how to use the data collection form and how to classify weather, water conditions, and boat types. Observers were scheduled for 30 to 90 minute observation periods on Fridays through Sundays, from April through June 1995. Observations were conducted between 6am and 3pm, with numerous observations conducted at each site. All observations were limited to persons in boats less than 19 feet. Persons on personal watercraft (jet skis), rowing sculls, and sailboards were excluded. At the time this study was conducted, PFD use was not legally required of any person in boats in either Washington or Oregon, except for those on personal watercraft (jet skis).

Observation variables included the estimated age (<5 years, 5–14 years, and >14 years) and gender of each boater, the type of boat, the weather, wind, and water conditions, and PFD use, defined as wearing a PFD. If observers could not determine with reasonable certainty if the person was wearing a PFD, these boaters were excluded.

DATA ANALYSIS

To estimate differences in the prevalence of PFD use among various categories of boaters (such as age group) adjusted for other factors associated with use (such as boat type), we used logistic regression.8 Because PFD use is
not rare, estimated odds ratios provided by logistic regression did not approximate the relative prevalence of wearing a PFD. To estimate relative prevalence, we redid the analyses using Mantel-Haenszel stratified relative risk methods, adjusted for variables found to be important confounders in the logistic models. Similarly, the prevalence of PFD use by children in a boat with at least one adult who wore a PFD was compared with the prevalence of use by children in a boat in which no adult wore a PFD. This part of the analysis was restricted to boats with at least one child less than 15 years and at least one other person over 14 years. To account for the lack of independence of observations within boats, we used a generalized estimating equation modification of logistic regression.

**Results**

Of 4210 boaters observed, 4181 had complete data for age, gender, and PFD use. Observation sites included 17 lakes, eight rivers, and four salt water locations at which an average of four observation sessions (range one to eight) were conducted. The number of boaters observed per site ranged from 15 to 535, and averaged 145 with a median of 131. The number of boaters observed per observer ranged from 22 to 643, mean 233.9, median 174. However, observation sites in each region were usually on only one type of water (rivers, lakes, or salt-water) reflecting each region’s geography and major recreational water sites.

PFD use was high (91%) in children less than 5 years, regardless of sex or type of boat. For those age 5–14 years, use was less frequent (63%), but varied with the type of boat. Among persons over 14 years, use was low (13%) and varied with type of boat and gender. If no one over 14 years wore a PFD, 65% of the children/youth in the same boat wore a PFD, but if at least one older person wore a PFD, 95% of the children/youth in the same boat did so. These differences in PFD use according to type of boat and age and sex have been previously noted in other studies. The phenomenon of PFD legislation on drowning mortality may be difficult to ascertain in this age group.

This study suggests that further efforts to increase PFD use by adults are needed, particularly by older children and adults. The phenomenon observed in this study of increased child use of a protective device when an adult wore a protective device was also noted in bicycle helmet and in seat restraint use studies. The relationship between adult and child use has been attributed to the effect of peer and adult role models and has led to recommendations that adult seat restraint use be required to achieve increased seat restraint use by children. Similarly, efforts to increase PFD use by adults could be used to increase child use.

This study documents that adult PFD use was low. Boating deaths represent a major proportion (40%) of drowning deaths in Washington State and boating drowning rates are highest in 15–34 year old males. Some of the high mortality in these age and gender groups is explained by increased exposure to boating; even in our data, which emphasized areas where children would be in boats, 65% of boaters were male and 52% were males over age 14 years. The high boating drowning death rates in adult males have been attributed to increased alcohol use. Alcohol use would not explain all boating drowning deaths however, as blood alcohol was detected in only 18% of adolescent drowning victims in this region and in up to 50% in adults drowning in other states. While PFD use has not been proven to decrease drownings, the very low use of over 14 years was a PFD, 65% of the children/youth in the same boat wore a PFD, but if at least one older person wore a PFD, 95% of the children/youth in the same boat did so. These differences in PFD use according to type of boat and age and sex have been previously noted in other studies. The phenomenon of PFD legislation on drowning mortality may be difficult to ascertain in this age group.

This study suggests that further efforts to increase PFD use by adults are needed, particularly by older children and adults. The phenomenon observed in this study of increased child use of a protective device when an adult wore a protective device was also noted in bicycle helmet and in seat restraint use studies. The relationship between adult and child use has been attributed to the effect of peer and adult role models and has led to recommendations that adult seat restraint use be required to achieve increased seat restraint use by children. Similarly, efforts to increase PFD use by adults could be used to increase child use.

This study documents that adult PFD use was low. Boating deaths represent a major proportion (40%) of drowning deaths in Washington State and boating drowning rates are highest in 15–34 year old males. Some of the high mortality in these age and gender groups is explained by increased exposure to boating; even in our data, which emphasized areas where children would be in boats, 65% of boaters were male and 52% were males over age 14 years. The high boating drowning death rates in adult males have been attributed to increased alcohol use. Alcohol use would not explain all boating drowning deaths however, as blood alcohol was detected in only 18% of adolescent drowning victims in this region and in up to 50% in adults drowning in other states. While PFD use has not been proven to decrease drownings, the very low use of over 14 years was a PFD, 65% of the children/youth in the same boat wore a PFD, but if at least one older person wore a PFD, 95% of the children/youth in the same boat did so. These differences in PFD use according to type of boat and age and sex have been previously noted in other studies. The phenomenon of PFD legislation on drowning mortality may be difficult to ascertain in this age group.
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of PFDs by adolescents and adults in our study suggests that infrequent PFD use may be a factor in the higher incidence of boat related drowning in these age groups.

Limitations of this study include possible misclassifications of PFD use and user characteristics. However, important misclassification of gender, boat type, or age by PFD use seems unlikely. Non-differential misclassification would have biased our estimates of associations towards the null, and we are not aware of any reason to think that systematic misclassification of these characteristics would be likely. Because some PFDs may have been worn under clothing or incorporated into a jacket, our observations probably underestimated PFD use to some degree.

While the strength of this study is documented use based on direct observations, a remaining limitation includes the limited season of the observations. The observations were conducted early in the spring when children and families might be less likely to be on the water. On the other hand, observation hours and sites were chosen for when and where children would be likely to be in boats; these might have selected a population of boaters more likely to wear PFDs. Although this study was statewide, it is still regional. Thus, its findings cannot be generalized to the entire country.

Although we did not assess boat related activities, such as fishing or water skiing, we identified very different patterns of PFD use among boaters. Understanding the relationship between water related recreational activities and use might allow even more specific targeting of PFD use messages. The differing use patterns we observed between kayakers and motor boaters reflect obviously different knowledge and/or attitudes, including perceived risk. Knowledge and attitudes could be further defined among other recreational boater types, such as water skiers and fishermen. With this information, according to theories of social marketing, more specific messages should be created to be more effective.11 For instance, better marketing of fishing vests with built in PFDs for fishermen might increase use in this low use population, just as kayak specific PFDs and water skier specific PFDs have been successfully marketed to these recreational groups. Knowledge of user attitudes and targeting messages to specific groups form the mainstay of social marketing in injury prevention; the bicycle helmet campaign is a good example of an effective, successful program that targeted a specific group to increase use of a device that prevents injuries.12

Implications for prevention

PFD use was low in the US Northwest, but use varied by age, gender, and type of boat. In this region, parents put their preschoolees in PFDs when in small boats. Efforts to increase use are needed for those who do not wear PFDs: older youth and adults, and specific boating groups. Boaters in small motor boats are a large group with the lowest prevalence of PFD use. Whether campaigns targeted for these groups to encourage use can be successful seems worthy of investigation.
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