Resale of recalled children’s products online: an examination of the world’s largest yard sale
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Objective: To examine an online auction site for the presence and sale of children’s products and toys previously recalled because of safety concerns.

Methods: Targeted items were randomly selected from US Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) press releases of recalled children’s products dated 1992–2004. Auction listings from eBay were searched for the 150 targeted recalled items for 30 days. Item, seller, and buyer information were recorded from the auction listings.

Results: 190 auctions contained or were suspected to contain a recalled children’s item from the target list. Most of the recalled items were listed for sale from addresses within the United States, with sellers from Canada, Australia, Great Britain, and Ireland also represented. On average, six bids were placed on each recalled item, with 70% of auctions eventually in a sale.

Conclusions: Recalled children’s products were found to be available for sale online and were sold most of the time, presenting a risk of injury to children. Although the CPSC is charged with notifying the public of recalled items, these results suggest that potentially hazardous products are recirculating online. A multi-front initiative to decrease the presence of hazards in online auctions is needed. This initiative should include increased manufacturer efforts to improve recall return rates, a requirement by online auction sites that sellers verify non-recall status before item posting, and parental checks of government recall websites before item purchase. Investigation of parental understanding and awareness of recalls and the potential risks associated with recall announcements is needed.

According to the National Electronic Injury Surveillance System of the US Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC), an estimated 230,000 annual visits to emergency departments in the United States are due to injuries associated with nursery products and toys.1 Poorly designed children’s products have been associated with suffocation, entrapment, asphyxiation, burns, poisonings, falls, and lacerations.2,3 From 1999 to 2001, 149 deaths associated with nursery products were reported for children age 5 and younger, and medical case studies have been described.4–7 In many cases, injuries associated with poorly designed children’s products are preventable.8

In the US, products that have either violated safety standards or present a significant risk of injury to the public are recalled and announced to the public via press releases by the CPSC. Since the Consumer Product Safety Act of 1972, over 1000 different child products and toys have been recalled and are currently described on the CPSC website (www.cpsc.gov). Recalls on children’s products have been found to account for 43% of total recalls announced by the CPSC and account for over 50% of injuries due to recalled products reported.9–10 In the last decade there have been 60 million units of child products recalled in the US. However, there are many unsafe products “at large”, with only 16–18% return rates reported for all recalled products.11 Children’s products may have particularly low return rates because they are lower cost items and often do not include product registration cards (CPSC recall effectiveness meetings, 2003).12–14

Previously recalled products have been found to be associated with a continuing number of child injuries, including crib-related deaths.15 These and other recalled children’s products that have not been returned to the manufacturer for destruction or repair have been found for sale in the secondhand marketplace. Upon examination of 301 thrift stores in the US, 69% of stores were selling at least one hazardous product.16 With the recent advancement of technology and the popularity of online auction sites, such as eBay, recalled children’s toys have the potential to be resold at high rates. Parents may find the competitive prices and ease of use as particularly attractive features of online auction sites.17 To date, the safety of children’s products auctioned online has not been evaluated.

There has been concern noted by the CPSC regarding the potential for online auction sites to perpetuate the use of recalled products by consumers.18 The CPSC promoted a “Recall Double Check”, suggesting that both buyers and sellers check the recall status of an item before listing or bidding.18 eBay has a policy prohibiting the sale of recalled products (www.pages.ebay.com/help/policies/recalled.html), with violations potentially resulting in cancellation of the listing, loss of account privileges, or account suspension. To date, there has been no formal evaluation of the effectiveness of these preventative measures in the literature. It is unclear if consumers of children’s toys are utilizing existing methods of determining the recall status of these products, such as by consulting the CPSC website (www.cpsc.gov). The goal of this study is to provide an initial examination of recalled child-product sales on eBay and determine implications for prevention of injury from unsafe second-hand children’s products.

METHODS
Observation of an online auction site
The most popular online auction site (eBay) was monitored for 30 consecutive days for the presence of 141 child and infant products (herein referred to as “target items”) that had been previously recalled. All target items were abstracted from the CPSC website (www.cpsc.gov) and were featured in press releases dated from 1992 to 2004. An initial sample of 150 children’s toys and products was randomly selected from...
recalled items that met the following criteria: there were at least 5000 recalled units or <5000 units and associated with a hazard that could eventuate in fatality; there was a detailed picture, drawing, or description of the items sufficient for identification purposes; and the items were intended for use with or by children and recalled because of risk of injury. Owing to the presumed difficulty in identifying recalled clothing, clothing items were excluded from the search. In addition, automotive-related items, such as child passenger safety seats, are outside the jurisdiction of the CPSC, and therefore were not included among target items. Nine of the original target products were excluded from the search because of insufficient detail provided in press releases for visual identification necessary for this type of online search. The resulting target items consisted of 20 different types of child products and toys (see Appendix A, available online at http://ip.bmj.com/supplemental).

The 141 target items were entered individually into the eBay “search” function using at least three different search terms moving from specific to more generic product identifiers, including manufacturer and product name, product name only, and more general product type. Active eBay auction listings were searched for each target item once every 7 days for 4 consecutive weeks. Only auction listings that included at least one photograph were observed in order to improve ability to identify potential “hits”.

All potential “hits” on the recalled target items were crossmatched with the descriptions and photographs found in the CPSC press releases. Company websites and telephone numbers found in the recall press releases were consulted to better determine recall status. If any disconfirming information was found and the recall was not supported, the item was no longer tracked.

For all recalled children’s products and toys found at the online auction site, item specifics (eg, product type) and consumer behavior (eg, number of bids, selling price) were documented. In addition, seller information available to the public in the auction listing was collected. This information included the date that the seller registered with eBay, the percentage of positive feedback received from fellow eBay members based on prior auction participation, and self-reported state and/or country of residency.

RESULTS

Item characteristics

During the search period, 190 web-based auctions contained a recalled or a probably recalled children’s product. Of these auctions, 144 items (76%) were matched via model number or product characteristics (eg, color, size, year of production), and an additional 46 items (24%) were found to have a high probability of being a recalled item. For these high probability items, product description (eg, photograph) matched; however, it was impossible to confirm the date of item production. In most of these cases, the products were manufactured again after the recall with the same product characteristics (eg, color), making it difficult to determine if the product was indeed part of the recall. Forty five different recalled products of the initial 141 target items were found (see Appendix A).

Of the 190 recalled or probably recalled listings, 124 (65%) of the auctions resulted in a sale of the item. Of the unsold items, 10 (5%) were relisted during the observation period, and eight (80%) relistings resulted in a sale. Thus, 69% (n = 132) of the recalled items tracked during the search period were sold via online auction. A mean (SD) of 3.06 (2.08) independent bidders vied for each of the suspected recalled items, with each item receiving a mean of 6.76 (6.78) bids. The median bid was US$38.82 (€29.14, €19.68) (range US$0.99–325.00; €0.74–244; £0.50–165).

Auction listings featured items from CPSC press releases dated 1992 and 1996–2004 and included some child products recalled because of documentation of child fatality (n = 12). Other reasons for recalls for the products found at the online auction included products that placed children at risk of asphyxiation (n = 75), electrical fire (n = 7), laceration, pinching, or bruising (n = 39), fall (n = 50), other hazard (eg, lead poisoning; n = 11), or a combination of the above hazards (n = 8). Several items were recalled as a preventative measure, and were not associated with any reported injuries or fatalities.

Seller characteristics

Demographic information provided by sellers in auction listings was reviewed. Over 90% of sellers, who listed a recalled or probably recalled item, were from 39 states in the US (n = 156) with Australia (n = 4), Canada (n = 4), Great Britain (n = 3), and Ireland (n = 1) also represented. Twelve of the sellers of recalled or probably recalled products listed multiple recalled items in separate auction listings during the search period.

Sellers of recalled children’s items had been registered with eBay for a mean (SD) of 32.9 (19.8) months, with a range of 1–80 months of eBay membership. Sellers had positive feedback ratings of 99.5 (1.4%), based on a median of 199 feedbacks (range 0–12 821) regarding each of the seller’s previous sales or purchases. Table 1 lists item and seller characteristics for auctions featuring recalled items.

DISCUSSION

During the observation period, several children’s products that had been recalled were present on eBay, despite its policy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Item and seller characteristics for online auctions featuring recalled children’s products and toys</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item characteristics</td>
<td>Toys (n = 70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest bid (US$)</td>
<td>23.90 (34.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest bid (US$)</td>
<td>13.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of bidders</td>
<td>2.4 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of bids</td>
<td>4.2 (4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sold (%)</td>
<td>58.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relisted (%)</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sold (%)</td>
<td>61.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seller characteristics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active (months)</td>
<td>33.54 (20.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive feedback (%)</td>
<td>99.5 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feedback (mean number)</td>
<td>570.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feedback range</td>
<td>0–12821</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD), median, or as indicated.
against the sale of recalled products. These potentially dangerous products were resold over half of the time, placing other children at risk of injury. Although the present search consisted of less than 10% of all recalled children’s items and was for a relatively short period of time, many questionable items surfaced during the online search period. Recalled or probably recalled items that were listed for sale were diverse in form and function, and included such items as bassinets, play yards, baby walkers, and safety devices, as listed in Appendix A. Almost one third of target recalled items were found, with many of these items having multiple listings. The potential injuries associated with the recalled toys found represented a wide spectrum of injury types and fatality, although it cannot be determined from this study what the level of risk was for the specific families who may have purchased these items for use with children. Several of the found items were from recalls released more than 5 years before the data collection period, demonstrating that children’s products can recirculate many years after initial production.

Seller characteristics
Sellers of the recalled or probably recalled products were experienced and reputable dealers, as evidenced by the average length of time registered with eBay, feedback ratings from fellow eBay members, and the number of previous online auction interactions—that is, sales and purchases. The sellers had been members of the online auction site for an average of nearly 3 years and had participated, either as a seller or a buyer, in a median of nearly 200 auctions. Even sophisticated eBay users appear to be unaware and/or uncooperative of the policies to keep recalled products from being exchanged. Further, the positive ratings that fellow eBay members gave these sellers suggest that parents may trust the safety of the items, because a seller’s reputation has been found to be a proxy for product quality characteristics.19

One noted advantage of web-based auctions is the elimination of geographical barriers.17 However, the wide dissemination of recalled products complicates this public health concern, because there are no international laws regarding the resale of recalled products or mechanisms by which to alert consumers to keep recalled products from being exchanged. Further, the positive ratings that fellow eBay members gave these sellers suggest that parents may trust the safety of the items, because a seller’s reputation has been found to be a proxy for product quality characteristics.19

Key points

- A variety of previously recalled children’s products were found for sale on the internet’s most popular auction site.
- Mechanisms to prevent the resale of unsafe children’s products via online auctions appear to be largely unsuccessful, with recalled items resold most of the time.
- Several of the products observed for sale were recalled more than 5 years ago, thus increasing manufacturer efforts to decrease the shelf-life of recalled children’s products are needed.
- Auction sites should require additional product information that would aid in the identification and removal of unsafe children’s products and toys.
methodology. Some bidders may have been attempting to acquire products for alternative reasons (eg, collectables), and may not have intended to use the items with children. Nevertheless, the lack of discretion by the sellers and potential buyers we did observe suggests that current measures to protect children from online hazards are in need of improvement.

Implications for prevention
To address the concerns documented by this study, online auction sites should require more information about a product before posting. For example, sellers should be required to check that the products they propose to auction have not been recalled by the CPSC and electronically sign a statement indicating that the items have not been previously recalled or have been repaired by the manufacturer. Sellers can use federal websites, www.recalls.gov or www.cpsc.gov, to check the recall status of their items. Auction listings should require the following product information: product and company name, model and/or serial number, where the product was purchased. The policies against the sale of recalled items should be enforced by internet auction sites, just as “bricks and mortar” vendors are required to remove such products from shelves. Enforcement of this policy, as compared with enforcement of other policies (eg, prohibition of the sale of firearms) appears to be lacking. Direct links to product recall websites such as www.recalls.gov, the UK’s www.recallnotice.co.uk, and Canada’s www.hc-sc.gc.ca should be featured prominently at the bottom of each auction listing. Further, a centralized database of product recalls from various nations would assist consumers in making decisions regarding the safety of products sold in international auctions.

These recommendations address only a part of the larger public health concern of consumer product safety. The primary goal should be to decrease the number of units of recalled products “at large” via interventions to increase product returns for repair or replacement. By decreasing the average life of recalled children’s products, faulty products will be less likely to be found for second-hand resale. In the US, manufacturers should be required to be more aggressive in retrieval of recalled products, and the CPSC should investigate alternative ways to advertise present and past recalls.44 Manufacturers should be required to change product names and/or color and design features after a recall to increase the likelihood that recalled products are identified more readily.

This study highlights the concerns for child safety as the internet has made the circulation of recalled products via online markets inexpensive, convenient, and expeditious. Even the most vigilant parents may have difficulty safely navigating the online marketplace because of the volume of recalls and the limited product information available. A broader examination of the role of the internet in the distribution of recalled children’s products is needed, as is the computation of injury rates that may result from this health threat. Further, reasonable goals in reducing the risk of “recall recirculation” have yet to be established by the field. Efforts to decrease the presence and exchange of recalled products via parent awareness, manufacturer efforts, and changes in online auction procedures should be systematically examined.

Appendix A is available online at http://ip.bmj.com/supplemental.
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