**ATVs: motorized toys or vehicles for children?**
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**Objectives:** To compare the nature of injuries from all-terrain vehicles (ATVs) to those from bicycling, dirtbikes/motocross, and motor vehicle crashes.

**Design:** Data on injuries from the mechanisms outlined above were obtained through CHIRPP (the Canadian Hospitals Injury Reporting and Prevention Program) and hospital records.

**Setting:** A Canadian tertiary pediatric center.

**Subjects:** Cases presenting to the emergency department over a 10 year period.

**Main outcome measures:** Comparison between demographics, mechanisms and natures of injuries sustained, disposition from the emergency department, and lengths of hospital stay.

**Results:** Contrary to bicycling, ATV related injuries occurred among older ages and appeared to result less often from loss of control. Severe injuries resulting in deep soft tissue trauma and fracture/dislocations were 1.7 and 1.5 times, respectively, more frequent among ATV trauma than bicycling (p<0.01). In addition, ATV related injuries were located more frequently in the trunkal, hip, lower extremity, and spinal regions. Conversely, ATV related trauma bore significant similarities regarding body part and nature of the injury to both motor vehicle crash (MVC) and dirtbike related injuries. Akin to dirtbike and MVC related trauma, ATV related injuries more frequently required admission to the ward or intensive care unit compared to bicycling injuries (30.8% vs 9.6%, p<0.0001), and used a proportionally larger amount of hospital resources with respect to overall in-hospital and intensive care unit days.

**Conclusions:** Although ATVs may be considered recreational for children, their associated injury patterns, severity, and costs to the healthcare system more closely resemble those from motorized vehicles and are more significant than bicycling. Strict policy to reflect this must be developed and acknowledged by the public, industry, and legislative bodies.

**METHODS**

Data comparing injuries from bicycling, dirt/motocross bikes, ATVs, and MVCs presenting to the emergency department of the IWK Health Centre in Halifax, Nova Scotia, between 1 January 1993 and 31 December 2002, and entered into the database of the Canadian Hospitals Injury Reporting and Prevention Program (CHIRPP), were analyzed. The IWK Health Centre is a tertiary pediatric institution and trauma center serving the Maritime Provinces of Canada, with over 2000 annual admissions through the emergency department of children up to age 15 years (inclusive), of which approximately 20% are due to unintentional injuries (poisonings, foreign bodies, and medical errors not included). Information from these cases related to the circumstances of the event, the injuries incurred, and the treatments required are obtained in the form of a questionnaire filled out by both the patient or accompanying adult and the emergency physician at the time of emergency department assessment. Responses regarding circumstances around the injury are reported in textual form, with categorization done at the national CHIRPP Center. Participation is voluntary with vulnerability management procedures in place.

**Abbreviations:** ATV, all-terrain vehicle; CHIRPP, Canadian Hospitals Injury Reporting and Prevention Program; ISS, Injury Severity Score, LOS, length of stay; MVC, motor vehicle crash; PICU, pediatric intensive care unit.
a capture rate into the database of 90% of all injuries seen in the emergency department. Specific data fields analyzed included age group, sex, circumstances of the incident, body parts and nature of the injuries, and disposition. When sufficient linkage data from the CHIRPP database were available (date of birth, date of admission), hospital and pediatric intensive care unit (PICU) lengths of stay (LOS) were obtained from the hospital administrative database. Comparisons between injuries related to ATVs and the other mechanisms were examined using χ² analyses and Student’s t tests. All analyses were done using SAS statistical software, Version 8, (Cary, NC, USA). Ethical approval for the study was obtained through the Research Ethics Board of the IWK Health Centre.

RESULTS

CHIRPP data were available on 3145 injury cases including 2326 from bicycling, (74.0%), 79 from dirtbikes, (2.5%), 130 from ATVs (4.1%), and 610 due to MVCs (19.4%).

Circumstances around the incident varied among the four mechanisms. Most ATV related injuries were found in the older age groupings, with 75% occurring in children aged 10–15 years, comparable to those from dirtbikes (table 1). Bicycling injuries tended to occur in the mid-age ranges, and MVCs had a more uniform age distribution. Compared to bicycling, ATV related trauma was less often reported to be due to “loss of control” and more often to a collision with another object or falling off of the vehicle (table 2). “Collisions” also dominated as the mechanism behind the majority of MVC trauma. Twenty nine percent of those injured on ATVs were riding as passengers, contrasting to bicycling, where all but one patient was the “driver”, and MVCs, where all but 11% were involved as passengers (data not shown).

The types of injuries sustained and the body areas injured are depicted in tables 3 and 4. Head and neck areas were involved in about one third of those on ATVs and bicycles, while bicycling and dirtbiking resulted in comparatively more frequent from ATV related incidents as passengers (table 2). “Collisions” also dominated as the mechanism behind the majority of MVC trauma. Twenty nine percent of those injured on ATVs were riding as passengers, contrasting to bicycling, where all but one patient was the “driver”, and MVCs, where all but 11% were involved as passengers (data not shown).

Spinal injuries, although uncommon, were significantly more frequent from ATV related injuries than bicycling, while bicycling and dirtbiking resulted in comparatively higher rates of upper extremity trauma. Compared to ATVs, bicycling resulted in 1.6-fold fewer deep soft tissue and bony injuries (fractures/dislocations), and 1.4-fold more “cuts and bruises”. The frequencies of trunkal, internal organ, and multisystem trauma were similar between ATV and MVC related incidents, and two- to sixfold higher than seen with bicycling. Overall, ATV related trauma bore more similarities to MVC and dirtbike/motocross related injuries with respect to body part, type, and seriousness, while differing significantly from injuries due to bicycling.

Figures 2 and 3 depict forms of treatment required and resource utilization. Like dirtbike cases, a significantly higher proportion of ATV related injuries required admission to the ward or PICU compared to bicycling (30.8% vs 9.6%, p<0.0001). A significant proportion (64%) of MVC related events seen in the emergency department did not require any

![Figure 1](image) 12 year old child on an all-terrain vehicle.
In many ways, ATVs are both similar to and different from other motor vehicles. In most Canadian jurisdictions, including Nova Scotia, ATVs have few regulations in the context of age limits to ride and mandatory training and testing. Motor vehicles, however, are strictly regulated as drivers must demonstrate competency and follow the rules of the road in order to maintain a safe environment for themselves and others. Increased occupant protection is a priority, with ongoing development of vehicle restraints, airbag systems, and crash worthiness. Advances in ATV development are consumer driven, based primarily on increasing power and versatility. Although both are marketed on a basis of performance and enjoyment of the driving experience, their uses differ. Motorized vehicles are primarily used as a form of transportation. ATVs, although utilitarian on farms and ranches, are increasingly used as recreational vehicles, especially among children. Fundamentally, automobiles and ATVs are distinctly different forms of “motorized activity”.

Despite this, ATV related injuries more closely resemble those associated with MVCs than bicycling. As is common to MVCs, collisions with other objects, both stationary and moving (such as a motor vehicle), are more frequent with ATVs than bicycling, as has been found by others. Although “loss of control” is associated to some degree with most injuries, a specific mechanism could be discerned more often for ATV incidents and MVCs than bicycling. This may partly be due to ATV incidents tending to occur among older children and that identifiable risk taking behaviors by youth are recognized to occur with these vehicles as often occurs in MVCs. Also, many of these children were riding as passengers, and thus were never “in control” of the vehicle at the time of injury, mirroring what happens in the case of a MVC.

The higher speeds and greater mass of ATVs, like motor vehicles, can result in greater transference of kinetic energy, resulting in more severe injury patterns compared to bicycling. Accordingly, more bicycle related trauma resulted in superficial cuts and bruises rather than the deep tissue injuries and bony fractures/dislocations seen more commonly with ATV, dirtbike, and MVC cases. Both bicycling and dirtbiking resulted in more upper extremity injuries compared to ATVs. This may be due to riders being thrown from or falling off the bike more frequently as a mechanism, with a natural instinct to land on and protect themselves with their arms. In contrast, the higher incidence of spinal and trunkal injuries seen with ATVs may be related to the vehicles flipping or rolling as a result of loss of control. Such injuries have been found previously to be associated with this mechanism among children. Like MVC and dirtbike related injuries, those associated with ATVs were more likely to require hospitalization, and proportionally used greater amounts of hospital resources than bicycle related trauma.

Many of the arguments supporting the safety of childhood ATV use emulate those promoting bicycling as a sport. These include promoting it as a fun, family oriented form of recreation. Others contend that more children are injured during seemingly “benign” activities, such as playing basketball or bicycle riding. Such claims, however, fail to...
acknowledge that far more children play basketball or ride bicycles than ATVs, and that the majority of these injuries are minor, do not require hospitalization, use fewer medical resources, and do not result in death. As in this study, others have shown the increased severity of injuries from ATVs compared to bicycling. Hargarten reported that “per vehicle”, ATV related hospitalization and fatality rates were six- and 12-fold higher, respectively, than those from bicycling. Brown et al found that the mean Injury Severity Score (ISS) for hospitalized pediatric ATV related injuries was statistically higher than seen with bicycling, with a twofold greater proportion of cases with an ISS>15. ATVs may actually impart a greater risk of injury than riding in a motor vehicle. Recognizing that most children involved in MVCs may be brought to the emergency department for assessment may only be crashes to which emergency medical services are called to the scene, not just simple “fender-benders”. Intuitively, these would result in some vehicular damage and possibly other injury victims. The fact that almost two thirds of children “injured” in an MVC in this study required no treatment reflects the inherent safety features of motor vehicles and use of safety devices such as child safety seats. Although it is unknown what proportion of ATV crashes would result in emergency department visits not requiring treatment, should the majority of such cases be seen in the emergency department, the lack of analogous safety features associated with ATVs makes it unlikely to be as substantial. In 2001, 3851 Canadian children aged 5–14 years required some form of treatment at a medical facility or died from injuries related to a MVC while riding in vehicles for an estimated 29.86×10⁹ passenger kilometers. Assuming a mean vehicle speed of 80 km per hour, the computed rate of 10.3 injuries per 10⁶ passenger hours is 5.6 times lower than the injury risk estimated for ATV riders under the age of 16 years, of 57.6 per 10⁶ rider hours.

Limitations must be considered when interpreting these results. Because only 90% of trauma cases are captured into the CHIRPP database at this institution, the most severe cases may be underrepresented in as they usually arrive by emergency transport without an accompanying adult, and are treated by the trauma team or directly admitted to the PICU, effectively bypassing the emergency physician and subsequent CHIRPP enrollment. In a similar manner, fatalities may be underrepresented as has been recognized by others. Therefore the differences in proportions of more severe injuries between the mechanisms may actually be greater than these results depict. In addition, some centers have found that adolescents are under-enrolled into the CHIRPP database relative to younger children. If such were the case with this study, then ATV related injuries may be underrepresented compared to bicycling, as proportionally, the former is practiced more by adolescents whereas younger children tend to participate more in bicycling.

The fact that this study and other data indicate that children are at increased risk of injury is incongruent with the reality that children are still permitted to operate these motorized vehicles. Although promoted as recreational, even the manuals of the smaller youth ATV models state: “AN ATV IS NOT A TOY AND CAN BE HAZARDOUS TO OPERATE. An ATV handles differently from other vehicles including motorcycles and cars. A collision or rollover can occur quickly, even during routine maneuvers such as turning and driving on hills or over obstacles, if you fail to take proper precautions.” A warning label is also affixed directly to all ATVs stating: “Improper use of ATVs can result in SEVERE INJURY or DEATH”. Even though bicycling is not without its own risk, it is not so significant that the bicycling industry feels it necessary to affix such explicit warnings.

Numerous health and safety organizations, including the Canadian Paediatric Society and the American Academy of Pediatrics, have raised concerns about ATV related injuries and deaths among children and youth, stating that children under the age of 16 years should not operate ATVs, of any size. However, despite evidence indicating the high risk and severity of childhood ATV related trauma and the positions taken by the medical profession, policy makers have not firmly and consistently addressed ATVs as motorized vehicles which are unsafe for children. The injury risks to children riding these vehicles are just too high. Strict policy to reflect a change in mindset and prevent injuries and unnecessary use of healthcare resources among children and youth must be developed and acknowledged by the public, industry, and legislative bodies.
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This paper was the Editor’s Choice because of the events that followed. It illustrates well that doing solid research is only the first and perhaps easiest step towards achieving preventive objectives. As Yanchar noted in our correspondence, “taking a stand on restricting children from operating motorized vehicles, in a forum such as this Journal, is relatively easy. Translating this for policy-makers and the public, is much tougher. Politics, personal rights, and the interests of industry are forces that compete tenaciously with any scientific evidence in guiding public policy development. Researchers must use their work not only to disseminate evidence but also to influence those that can take that knowledge to the next level. Presenting the evidence works. Researchers and professionals need to move beyond the pages of Journals to advocate on behalf of our communities for what the evidence shows.”
ATVs: motorized toys or vehicles for children?

N L Yanchar, R Kennedy and C Russell

*Inj Prev* 2006 12: 30-34
doi: 10.1136/ip.2005.008466

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://injuryprevention.bmj.com/content/12/1/30

These include:

**References**
This article cites 12 articles, 4 of which you can access for free at:
http://injuryprevention.bmj.com/content/12/1/30#BIBL

**Email alerting service**
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Notes

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/