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Are older drivers actually at higher risk of involvement in collisions resulting in deaths or non-fatal injuries among their passengers and other road users?

E R Braver, R E Trempe

OBJECTIVES: With more older drivers on the road, public concern has been expressed about their impact on traffic safety. This study revisited the question of driver age in relation to the risks of older drivers and others sharing the road with them, including pedestrians, passengers in the same vehicle, and occupants of other vehicles.

METHODS: Using United States federal data on fatal and non-fatal crashes, injury rates per driver were calculated for different types of road users. In addition, using data supplied by nine insurers, insurance claims per insured vehicle year were examined by driver age. The reference drivers were aged 30–59.

RESULTS: For fatal crashes, older drivers’ major impact on road users other than themselves was an increase in death rates among their passengers, who also tended to be elderly and thus more vulnerable to injuries (rate ratio (RR) for drivers aged 75+ 2.52; 95% confidence interval (CI) 2.39 to 2.66). For non-fatal crashes, drivers aged 75+ had a RR of 1.10 (95% CI 0.98 to 1.24) for involvement in collisions resulting in injuries to other passenger vehicles’ occupants compared with 30–59 year old drivers. The oldest drivers (aged 85+?) had significant increases in insurance claims for injuries to other road users in crashes in which they were deemed at fault (RR 1.8; 95% CI 1.71 to 1.89).

CONCLUSIONS: These findings suggest that the oldest drivers, a group with low average annual mileage, pose some increased risks to occupants of other vehicles, and pose the most serious risks to themselves and their passengers.

As the population in the United States aged 65 and older increases, public concern has been expressed about the effects of this demographic shift on traffic safety.1 Drivers 65 and older are expected to be one fifth of all drivers by 2030.2 The prevalence of medical impairments, including cognitive deficits, rises with age, along with decreased strength of bones and internal organs,2,3 which may increase both susceptibility to injury in crashes and driving errors that lead to crashes.2,4,5

Much of the concern regarding older drivers is about potential dangers to persons sharing the roads with them, particularly after well publicized crashes involving an older driver reported as at fault.5 This has led some states to require road tests or more frequent license renewals upon reaching a certain age, as well as research to determine valid methods to identify hazardous older drivers.6,7,8

Whether older drivers constitute a substantial hazard to other road users besides themselves is unclear. On average, older drivers drive fewer miles annually, which lessens the risk of crash involvement per driver;6,9 although they do have marked increases in fatal involvements per mile.2,9 Research suggests that frailty is the overriding factor resulting in increased older driver deaths per mile; excessive crash involvement, which affects other road users, plays a lesser part and becomes apparent by age 75.10

In two-vehicle collisions in Wisconsin involving drivers 75 and older, Dulisse reported a modestly increased risk of both deaths and hospitalizations per mile among other vehicle occupants.20 Dellinger et al. also reported excess risks per mile to other road users from older drivers.21

Evans reported that older drivers were involved in few pedestrian deaths, with lower pedestrian deaths per licensed driver than young and middle aged drivers, but higher pedestrian deaths per unit of travel for the oldest drivers.22 Citing the lower quantities of travel among older drivers, Evans concluded: “Granting a license for another year to an 80-year-old driver poses substantially less threat to other road users than granting a license to a 40-year-old driver”.

Williams and Shabanova reported that drivers 75 and older were considered at fault by police for about 70%–80% of deaths in two-vehicle crashes.23 A lower percentage (36%–43%) of drivers aged 30–69 were deemed at fault for deaths in such crashes. Older drivers primarily were at fault for deaths among the drivers themselves and, to a lesser extent, deaths among their passengers. Older drivers were considered at fault for deaths among other vehicle occupants less often than drivers younger than 60.

The purpose of this study was to revisit the question of driver age in relation to risks of drivers and others sharing the road with them, including pedestrians, passengers in the same vehicle, and occupants of other passenger vehicles. Unlike most previous studies, crashes resulting in non-fatal injuries and insurance injury claim data were examined in addition to fatalities. Exploring the effect of older drivers on themselves and other road users provides a more complete understanding of traffic safety risks associated with aging.

METHODS

Data sources

Multiple databases were used to study the risk per passenger vehicle driver by driver age for four categories of road users: drivers, their passengers, occupants of other passenger vehicles, pedestrians, and others sharing the road.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; FARS, Fatality Analysis Reporting System; GES, General Estimates System; NPTS, Nationwide Personal Transportation Survey; RR, rate ratio
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vehicles colliding with them in two-vehicle crashes, and non-occupants in one-automobile crashes, including pedestrians, bicyclists, and motorcyclists.

Drivers
Active drivers by driver age, with the exception of ages 30–34, were estimated using the 1995 Nationwide Personal Transportation Survey (NPTS). NPTS data from telephone interviews of a national sample of United States residents (97,881 persons in 1995) were used because standard federal licensure data include drivers dying before scheduled license renewals and license holders who no longer drive. Thus, standard data overstate the number of older persons who drive, which results in underestimates of crash rates. For ages 30–34, standard federal data were used because the NPTS estimate for this age group was 11.5% higher—about the same as the census estimate. Compared with federal licensure statistics, NPTS estimated about 29% fewer drivers aged 80 and older, which likely is more accurate than standard data. For other age groups, the two databases yielded similar estimates of drivers.

To estimate active drivers during 1993–97, NPTS 1995 driver estimates were multiplied by five. This procedure appeared reasonable because licensed drivers among the different age groups increased linearly during 1993–97; also, the licensed driver counts for 1993–97 resembled the 1995 NPTS counts multiplied by five, except for ages 80+ and 30–34.

Deaths
The Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS), the information source for motor vehicle related deaths during 1993–97, is a census of fatal crashes in the United States occurring on public roads in which a death takes place within 30 days of the crash.

Non-fatal injuries
The General Estimates System (GES), the information source for non-fatal motor vehicle injuries during 1993–97, is a national sample of police reported crashes. The 55,000 annual crashes in the GES are weighted according to their probability of selection so as to provide national crash estimates. The GES translates police judgments of injury severity to the KABCO scale (K = killed, A = incapacitating injury, B = visible but non-incapacitating injury, C = complaint of pain, O = no injury). This study analyzed both A and B injuries.

Insurance claims
Insurance databases provide a comprehensive picture of the most common types of crashes because police are not notified of many crashes reported to insurers, and police reported crashes are more likely to involve severe injury. Also, data on driver fault are more directly addressed than for involvement in police reported crashes. Another advantage of using insurance data was that the claims experience for ages 75–79, 80–84, and 85 and older could be computed separately rather than combining them in a single age category because, unlike FARS, GES, and NPTS, there were sufficient numbers of insured drivers in these groups to permit stable claim rate estimates.

Insurance claims by driver age—primarily non-fatal crashes—were supplied by nine insurers for vehicles three years old or newer: model years 1999–2001 during calendar years 1998–2001. The actual ages of drivers in crashes resulting in insurance claims were unknown; rather, the ages of drivers listed for those vehicles in insurance records—referred to as rated drivers—were analyzed. The rated driver usually is the main driver for that vehicle, but some insurers assign the highest risk driver in a household to its most valuable vehicle. This may have led to some misclassification of crashes by driver age, but likely had little effect on older drivers, who usually share a household only with other older people.

For injury claims, this study included only those made for medical expenses under bodily injury liability policies. Bodily injury liability claims are made by persons injured by a driver deemed at fault and typically are occupants of other passenger vehicles or non-occupants struck by the insured vehicle. Bodily injury liability claims, which are the strongest indicator of responsibility for other road users’ injuries, were studied in all states and the District of Columbia.

Property damage liability claims, which cover property damage to other vehicles caused by insured drivers, also were analyzed in all 50 states and the District of Columbia. Property damage liability claims, which are filed more often than injury claims, are not necessarily associated with injuries, but are another indicator of the crash patterns of drivers in different age groups.

Analytic methods
The magnitude of the public health problem of motor vehicle injuries by driver age is shown by absolute numbers of vehicle related deaths and non-fatal injuries. Risks per driver or per insured vehicle are another measure of impact on the population exposed to vehicle travel.

Rate ratios (RR) and their 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated to quantify differences among driver age groups with regard to deaths per driver, persons with non-fatal injuries (incapacitating and visible non-incapacitating) per driver, and claims per insured vehicle year. Ages 30–59 were used as a reference group to facilitate comparisons among younger, older, and middle range drivers. Analyses were done using SAS, Microsoft Excel, and SUDAAN.

RESULTS
Deaths
Young drivers
Table 1 presents deaths during 1993–97 and NPTS estimates of active drivers by driver age. The greatest numbers of deaths arose from collisions involving teenage drivers and drivers aged 20–29. Per driver younger than 30—especially those under 25—raised RRs of death were observed among all road user types compared with drivers aged 30–59. Deaths among non-occupants and other vehicle occupants frequently occurred in collisions with younger drivers. A striking finding was a RR of 5.79 (95% CI 5.50 to 6.09) for passenger deaths in vehicles of teenage drivers. Passenger deaths per driver also were significantly raised among drivers in their 20s.

Older drivers
Among drivers aged 75 and older, significantly raised RRs were observed only for driver deaths (RR 3.02; 95% CI 2.86 to 3.19) and passenger deaths (RR 2.52; 95% CI 2.39 to 2.66) relative to ages 30–59 (table 1; fig 1). Smaller elevations were observed among drivers aged 70–74 (RR for passenger deaths 1.35; RR for driver deaths 1.31). The absolute number of passenger deaths associated with the oldest drivers was far lower than passenger deaths for young drivers.

Most passengers of older drivers were elderly. In both police reported towaway and fatal crashes during 1993–97, about 75% of passengers transported by drivers aged 75 and older were 70 and older.

Among drivers aged 60–74, risks of death among occupants of other passenger vehicles and non-occupants were significantly lower compared with ages 30–59. When drivers reached age 75, there was an upturn in fatality risk among
these types of road users, but their RRs relative to 30–59 year old drivers remained below 1.0.

Two thirds of the deaths in crashes involving drivers 75 and older were the drivers themselves. Drivers younger than 60 comprised fewer than 50% of motor vehicle deaths in their collisions.

### Non-fatal injuries

**General Estimates System**

Persons with non-fatal injuries described by police as incapacitating or visible but non-incapacitating were studied. RRs for the two injury severities were similar so they were combined (table 2; fig 2).

#### Young drivers

Younger drivers had much higher involvement rates in crashes resulting in non-fatal injuries to themselves than 30–59 year old drivers. As was true for fatalities, teenage drivers posed a greater risk of non-fatal injury to their passengers, non-occupants, and occupants in other vehicles than drivers in any other age group. The fatal and non-fatal injury rates per driver of passengers of teenage drivers both were more than five times as high as those of 30–59 year old drivers. For both fatal and non-fatal crashes, drivers in their 20s had lower risks than teenage drivers but their risks still were raised.

#### Older drivers

The pattern for non-fatal injuries was for drivers aged 60–74 to have RRs for injuries well below 1.0 compared with ages 30–59, but for drivers 75 and older to have modest upturns in risk that were not as high as those of 30–59 year old drivers. For both fatal and non-fatal crashes, drivers in their 20s had lower risks than teenage drivers but their risks still were raised.

### Table 1

| Driver age group | No of passenger vehicle drivers | Drivers of passenger vehicles | Passengers in same vehicle as driver | Occupants in other passenger vehicles: two-vehicle crashes | Non-occupants: one-auto crashes | Weighted Raw Deaths | RR | 95% CI | Deaths | RR | 95% CI | Deaths | RR | 95% CI | Deaths | RR | 95% CI | Deaths | RR | 95% CI |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----|-------|--------|----|-------|--------|----|-------|--------|----|-------|--------|----|-------|--------|----|-------|--------|
| 16–19           | 49089550                       | 3312                          | 1178                              | 2.70                                                     | 2.57 to 2.84                 | 9871                  | 5.79 | 5.50 to 6.09 | 6363 | 2.51 | 2.39 to 2.64 | 2733 | 2.48 | 2.38 to 2.60 |
| 20–24           | 70882300                       | 4335                          | 1422                              | 2.30                                                     | 2.20 to 2.42                 | 9363                  | 3.80 | 3.63 to 4.00 | 7944 | 2.17 | 2.07 to 2.27 | 3642 | 2.15 | 2.05 to 2.26 |
| 25–29           | 88576550                       | 5828                          | 11281                             | 1.43                                                     | 1.37 to 1.49                 | 5936                  | 1.93 | 1.85 to 2.01 | 6828 | 1.49 | 1.43 to 1.56 | 2864 | 1.48 | 1.43 to 1.65 |
| 30–34           | 101418615                      | 11188                         | 10480                             | 1.00                                                     | 1.00                         | 3964                  | –    | –                  | 6768 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2019 | 1.00 |
| 35–39           | 95654450                       | 8265                          | 7863                              | 1.00                                                     | 1.00                         | 2921                  | –    | –                  | 6508 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1305 | 0.85 |
| 40–44           | 83390550                       | 7578                          | 7934                              | 1.00                                                     | 1.00                         | 2203                  | –    | –                  | 6426 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1598 | 1.02 |
| 45–49           | 66949600                       | 6494                          | 6898                              | 1.00                                                     | 1.00                         | 2033                  | –    | –                  | 6236 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1021 | 0.97 |
| 50–54           | 54914850                       | 5691                          | 5834                              | 1.00                                                     | 1.00                         | 2033                  | –    | –                  | 5808 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1286 | 0.97 |
| 55–59           | 45000750                       | 4354                          | 4792                              | 1.00                                                     | 1.00                         | 1664                  | –    | –                  | 4549 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1274 | 0.97 |
| 60–64           | 37685400                       | 3120                          | 3539                              | 1.00                                                     | 1.00                         | 1598                  | –    | –                  | 3738 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 9871 | 5.79 |
| 65–69           | 3102                          | 3102                          | 3102                              | 1.00                                                     | 1.00                         | 1598                  | –    | –                  | 3102 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 9871 | 5.79 |
| 70–74           | 2744                          | 2744                          | 2744                              | 1.00                                                     | 1.00                         | 1598                  | –    | –                  | 2744 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 9871 | 5.79 |
| 75+             | 2201                          | 2201                          | 2201                              | 1.00                                                     | 1.00                         | 1598                  | –    | –                  | 2201 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 9871 | 5.79 |

*FARS (1993–97): weighted driver data were multiplied by five to estimate numbers of active drivers during 1993–97 for all age groups except ages 30–34, for whom 1995 Federal Highway Administration license data were used.

**Figure 1** Deaths per 100 000 drivers by driver age and person type, 1993–97 FARS and 1995 NPTS.
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Compared with ages 60–74, the increase was statistically significant. Insurance claims Table 3 shows distributions of bodily injury liability claims (primarily non-fatal injuries), property damage liability claims, and insured vehicle-years by driver age, together with RRs and confidence intervals. Figure 3 depicts claims per 1000 insured vehicle-years.

Young drivers Teenage drivers had the highest bodily injury liability claim frequencies per insured vehicle-year (RR 3.32; 95% CI 3.26 to 3.38). Drivers aged 20–24 had twice the bodily injury liability claim rate as drivers aged 30–59 (RR 2.0; 95% CI 1.97 to 2.03) and drivers 25–29 had a smaller elevation in bodily injury liability claim rates. Property damage liability claim rates per insured vehicle-year also were raised among drivers younger than 30, with risks more than doubling among drivers aged 16–19 (RR 2.13; 95% CI 2.11 to 2.15).

Older drivers As with crashes leading to fatal and non-fatal injuries, older drivers in their 60s had significantly lower bodily injury liability claim frequencies per insured vehicle-year compared with ages 30–59, with RRs of about 0.80. At age 70, bodily injury liability claim rates and RRs started to increase, with higher rates for each successive five year age group. The bodily injury liability claim rate was 1.8 times as high for drivers 85 and older as for drivers 30–59 (95% CI 1.71 to 1.89), albeit lower than that for teenage drivers. Property damage claims per insured vehicle-year among the oldest drivers (aged 85+) were as high as among teenage drivers (RR 2.09 for drivers 85+; 95% CI 2.05 to 2.13).

DISCUSSION Public concern exists about potential hazards from the aging driver population. Older people suffered the most serious consequences of crashes involving older drivers, consistent with other research. Significant increases in fatalities per driver did not begin until ages 70–74, and the most likely victims were the older drivers followed by their passengers, who also were older and thus more fragile. Throughout the

Table 2 People with non-fatal injuries,* rate ratios (RR) for injured people per driver,† and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for RRs by driver age and road user type, 1993–97

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Driver age groups</th>
<th>Injured drivers of passenger vehicles</th>
<th>Injured passengers in same vehicle as driver</th>
<th>Injured occupants in other passenger vehicles: two-vehicle crashes</th>
<th>Injured non occupants: One-auto crashes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weighted</td>
<td>Raw</td>
<td>RR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16–19</td>
<td>379851</td>
<td>7847</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>3.37 to 4.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–24</td>
<td>358656</td>
<td>4890</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>2.29 to 2.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–29</td>
<td>394351</td>
<td>7133</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.51 to 1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–34</td>
<td>418332</td>
<td>6333</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35–39</td>
<td>380351</td>
<td>5621</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–44</td>
<td>311997</td>
<td>4599</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–49</td>
<td>228501</td>
<td>3497</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–59</td>
<td>166986</td>
<td>2505</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–64</td>
<td>120389</td>
<td>1862</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65–69</td>
<td>99222</td>
<td>1475</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>145063</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Police reports of incapacitating and visible non-incapacitating injuries from GES (1993–97). †Denominators for rates were weighted drivers from 1995 NPTS multiplied by five, except for ages 30–34, for whom 1993 Federal Highway Administration licensure data were multiplied by five. ‡Non-occupants include pedestrians, bicyclists, and motorcyclists. Reference group is ages 30–59 combined.

Figure 2 People with injuries (incapacitating and non-incapacitating/visible) per 100 000 drivers by driver age and person type, 1993–97 GES and 1995 NPTS.
Older drivers and other road users

Analyses of non-fatal injuries and insurance claims showed that other road users did experience some of the injury burden and property losses associated with older drivers. This study differed from past studies, which observed the effect of older passenger vehicles (1–3 years old), which might have higher annual repair costs, but non-occupants, risks to occupants of other passenger vehicles, and non-occupants. The travel patterns of older drivers, who travel less at night and more on roads with speed limits below 55 mph, may reduce fatality risk.31

Older drivers experienced the most serious consequences of their collisions: two thirds of the deaths in crashes involving drivers 75 and older were the drivers themselves.

Table 3  Insurance claims,* rate ratios (RR) for claims per insured vehicle-year, and 95% confidence intervals (CI) by driver age and type of claim, model years 1999–2001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Driver age groups†</th>
<th>Insured vehicle-years</th>
<th>Claims</th>
<th>RR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16–19</td>
<td>602994</td>
<td>15358</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>3.26 to 3.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–24</td>
<td>1917585</td>
<td>29428</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.97 to 2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–29</td>
<td>2606488</td>
<td>25346</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.25 to 1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–59†</td>
<td>1671662</td>
<td>128185</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–64</td>
<td>1479610</td>
<td>9084</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.78 to 0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65–69</td>
<td>1146822</td>
<td>7205</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.80 to 0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70–74</td>
<td>911061</td>
<td>6788</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.95 to 0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75–79</td>
<td>648005</td>
<td>5938</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.17 to 1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80–84</td>
<td>317667</td>
<td>3519</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.39 to 1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+</td>
<td>109891</td>
<td>1515</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.71 to 1.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insured vehicle-years</th>
<th>Claims</th>
<th>RR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16–19</td>
<td>432669</td>
<td>74986</td>
<td>2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–24</td>
<td>119682</td>
<td>135489</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–29</td>
<td>177646</td>
<td>153535</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–59†</td>
<td>134838</td>
<td>74969</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–64</td>
<td>184584</td>
<td>56425</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65–69</td>
<td>141668</td>
<td>44898</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70–74</td>
<td>110376</td>
<td>40290</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75–79</td>
<td>761288</td>
<td>34080</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80–84</td>
<td>362798</td>
<td>20483</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+</td>
<td>124304</td>
<td>8964</td>
<td>2.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Rated drivers.

Key points

- With the exception of deaths among their passengers, many of whom were older than 70 and thus more fragile, older drivers were not overinvolved in crashes in which other road users were killed.
- Drivers aged 75+ appeared at modestly increased risk of involvement in two-vehicle collisions in which occupants of other vehicles received non-fatal injuries.
- Drivers aged 85+ had an 80% increase in bodily injury liability claims per insured vehicle-year relative to 30–59 year-old drivers.
- Drivers in their teens and 20s posed the greatest risk to their passengers and other road users.
- Older drivers experienced the most serious consequences of their collisions: two thirds of the deaths in crashes involving drivers 75 and older were the drivers themselves.
valid methods to detect unsafe drivers and avoid falsely characterizing safe drivers as hazardous.\textsuperscript{17} Research on driver screening should continue, because screening might provide useful information to drivers and physicians when considering whether modifications in driving might be appropriate for individuals. Efforts to enhance the protection afforded to older vehicle occupants, such as improving restraint systems and vehicle occupant contact areas, are necessary. \textsuperscript{19} People are more susceptible to injury and will continue to travel in vehicles whether or not they are driving.\textsuperscript{20,33}
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